# AN INEQUALITY FOR CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A NEW MULTIPLIER TRANSFORMATION

### S R SWAMY $^{1}*$ , ROHAN R $^{1}$ AND NIRMALA J $^{2}$

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R V College of Engineering, Mysore Road, Bangalore - 560 059, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Maharani's Science College for women, Palace road, Bangalore-560 001, India

(Received on: 16-11-12; Revised & Accepted on: 19-12-12)

#### **ABSTRACT**

In this paper, the authors investigate an interesting differential inequality of certain analytic functions, defined by a new multiplier transformation in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ .

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words and Phrases: Multivalent function, Starlike function, Convex function, Multiplier transformation.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A_n(n)$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, (p, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3...\}).$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . In particular, we set  $A_p(1) = A_p$ ,  $A_1(n) = A(n)$  and  $A_1(1) = A_1 = A(1) = A$ , a well-known class of normalized analytic functions in U.

For  $0 \le \rho < p, p, n \in N$ , we denote by  $S_p^*(n, \rho)$  and  $K_p(n, \rho)$  the subclasses of  $A_p(n)$  consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, n-p-valent starlike of order  $\rho$  and n-p-valent convex of order  $\rho$ . Note that  $S_1^*(1, \rho) = S^*(\rho)$  and  $K_1(1, \rho) = K(\rho)$  are, respectively, the usual classes of univalent starlike functions of order  $\rho$  and univalent convex functions of order  $\rho$ ,  $0 \le \rho < 1$ . We also write  $S^*(0) = S^*$  and K(0) = K, which are the classes of univalent starlike (w.r.t origin) and univalent convex functions in U.

**Definition 1.1.** ([18, 19]). Let  $p, n \in N$ ,  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\beta \ge 0$  and  $\alpha$  a real number with  $\alpha + p\beta > 0$ . Then we define the operator  $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m : A_p(n) \to A_p(n)$ , by

$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{0}f(z) = f(z), (f \in A_{p}(n)),$$

$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{1}f(z) = \frac{\alpha f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{\alpha + p\beta},$$

...,  $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m} f(z) = I_{p,\alpha,\beta} (I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m-1} f(z)).$ 

**Remark 1.2**. We observe that  $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m$  is a linear operator and for f(z) given by (1.1), we have

Corresponding author: S R SWAMY<sup>1</sup>\*, <sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R V College of Engineering, Mysore Road, Bangalore - 560 059, India.

$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m} f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha + k\beta}{\alpha + p\beta} \right)^{m} a_{k} z^{k}.$$

$$(1.2)$$

It follows from (1.2) that

$$I_{p,\alpha,0}^{m} f(z) = f(z),$$
 (1.3)

$$(\alpha + p\beta)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z) = \alpha I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) + \beta z (I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z))', \tag{1.4}$$

We note that

- $I_{1,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z) = I_{\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)$  (See [17]).
- $I_{p,\alpha,1}^m f(z) = I_p^m(\alpha) f(z), \alpha > -p$  (See [1], [14] and [16]).
- $I_{p,l+p-p\beta,\beta}^{m} f(z) = I_{p}^{m}(\beta,l) f(z), l > -p, \beta \ge 0$  (See Catas [6]).
- $I_{n,0,\beta}^m f(z) = D_n^m f(z)$  (See [4], [9] and [12]).

**Remark 1.3** i)  $I_p^m(\alpha)f(z)$  was considered in [1],[14] and [16], for  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $I_p^m(\beta,l)f(z)$  was defined in [6] for  $l \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ , ii)  $I_p^m(l)f(z) = I_p^m(1,l)f(z), l > -p$ , iii)  $I_p^m(\beta,0)f(z) = D_p^m(\beta)f(z)$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ , was mentioned in Aouf et.al. [3], iv)  $D_1^m(\beta), \beta \geq 0$ , was introduced by Al-Oboudi [2],v)  $D_1^m(1)f(z) = D^m f(z)$  was defined by Salagean [13] and was considered for  $m \geq 0$  in [5], vi)  $I_1^m(\alpha)f(z), \alpha \geq 0$ , was investigated in [7] and [8] and vii)  $I_1^m(1)$  was due to Uralegaddi and Somanatha[20].

**Definition 1.4.** A function  $f \in A_p(n)$  is said to be in the class  $S_p^m(n,\alpha,\beta,\rho)$  for all z in U if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}\right) > \frac{\rho}{p},\tag{1.3}$$

 $\text{ where } 0 \leq \rho < p, \ p,n \in N, \ m \in N_0 = N \bigcup \{0\}, \ \beta \geq 0 \ \text{and} \ \alpha \ \text{a real number with } \alpha + p\beta > 0 \ .$ 

We note that  $S_p^m(1,\alpha,1,\rho)$  is the class considered in [15] for  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we also note that

$$S_p^0(n,0,1,\rho) = S_p^*(n,\rho) \text{ and } S_p^1(n,0,1,\rho) = K_p(n,\rho).$$

The basic tool in proving our result is the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.5** [10, 11]). Let  $\Omega$  be a set in the complex plane C. Suppose that the function  $\Psi: C^2 \times U \to C$  satisfies the condition  $\Psi(ix_2, y_1; z) \notin \Omega$  for all  $z \in U$  and for all real  $x_2$  and  $y_1$  such that

$$y_1 \le \frac{n}{2}(1+x_2^2).$$

If p (z) = 1 + c  $_n$  z  $^n$  + ... is analytic in E and for z  $\in$  E,  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \subset \Omega$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$  in U.

#### 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\lambda \geq 0, \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \rho < p$  be real numbers such that  $\gamma \leq \lambda$  and  $\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{p}\right) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\beta \geq 0, \alpha$  a real number such that  $\alpha + p\beta > 0$  and let

$$M(p,n,\lambda,\gamma,\alpha,\beta,\rho) = \frac{(1-\lambda)(\rho/p) + \lambda(\rho/p)^2 - \frac{n\lambda\beta(1-(\rho/p))}{2(\alpha+p\beta)}}{1-\gamma(1-(\rho/p))}.$$

If  $f \in A_n(n)$  satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z) + \lambda I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z) + \mathcal{Y}_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}\right) > M(p,n,\lambda,\gamma,\alpha,\beta,\rho),\tag{2.1}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}\right) > \frac{\rho}{p}$$

i.e.,  $f(z) \in S_p^m(n,\alpha,\beta,\rho)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $0 \le \rho < p$ , let us write  $\tau = \frac{\rho}{p}$ . Thus, we have  $0 \le \tau < 1$ . Now we define

$$\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)} = \tau + (1-\tau)p(z), z \in U.$$
(2.2)

Therefore p(z) is analytic in U and p(0) = 1. Differentiating (2.2) logarithmically and using (1.4), we obtain

$$\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+2}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)} = \left[\tau + (1-\tau)p(z)\right] + \frac{(1-\tau)\beta z p'(z)}{(\alpha + p\beta)[\tau + (1-\tau)p(z)]}.$$
(2.3)

A simple calculation yields

$$\left(\frac{(1-\lambda)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)+\lambda I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)+\gamma I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}\right)=\psi(p(z),zp'(z);z),$$

where

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) = \frac{(1 - \lambda)[\tau + (1 - \tau)p(z)] + \lambda[\tau + (1 - \tau)p(z)]^{2} + \frac{(1 - \tau)\lambda\beta}{(\alpha + p\beta)}zp'(z)}{(1 - \gamma) + \gamma[\tau + (1 - \tau)p(z)]}.$$
(2.4)

Let  $p(z) = u_1 + iu_2$  and  $zp'(z) = v_1 + iv_2$ , where  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$  are real numbers with  $v_1 \le -\frac{n}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\psi(iu_2, v_1; z)) \le \frac{S + Ru_2^2}{(1 - \gamma + \gamma \tau)^2 + \gamma^2 (1 - \tau)^2 u_2^2} = \phi(u_2) \le \max \phi(u_2), \tag{2.5}$$

where,

$$S = \left[ (1 - \lambda)\tau + \lambda\tau^2 - \frac{n\lambda\beta(1 - \tau)}{2(\alpha + p\beta)} \right] (1 - \gamma + \gamma\tau)$$

and

$$R = (1 - \tau)^{2} (\gamma - \lambda + \lambda \gamma \tau) - (1 - \gamma + \gamma \tau) \frac{n \lambda \beta (1 - \tau)}{2(\alpha + p\beta)}.$$

It can be easily verified that  $\phi'(u_2) = 0$  implies  $u_2 = 0$  and  $\phi''(0) < 0$ , under the given conditions. Therefore,

$$\max \phi(u_2) = \phi(0) = M(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \rho). \tag{2.6}$$

Let

$$\Omega = \{ w : \text{Re}(w) > M(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \rho) \}.$$

Then from (2.1) and (2.4), we have  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$  for all  $z \in U$ , but  $\psi(iu_2, v_1; z) \notin \Omega$ , in view of (2.5) and (2.6). Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and (2.2), we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m}f(z)}\right) > \frac{\rho}{p}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** Taking  $\beta = n = 1$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain Theorem 2.2 of Singh et.al. [15] (Considered for  $\alpha \ge 0$ ). Our result hold true for  $\alpha > -p$ .

 $\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 2.1 yields

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $\lambda \ge 0, \gamma \le 1, 0 \le \rho < p$  be real numbers such that  $\gamma \le \lambda$  and  $\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{p}\right) < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let

$$T(p,n,\lambda,\gamma,\rho) = \frac{(1-\lambda)(\rho/p) + \lambda(\rho/p)^{2} - \frac{n\lambda(1-(\rho/p))}{2}}{1-\gamma(1-(\rho/p))}.$$

If  $f \in A_n(n)$  satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{p}^{m+1}f(z)+\lambda D_{p}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)D_{p}^{m}f(z)+\gamma D_{p}^{m+1}f(z)}\right) > T(p,n,\lambda,\gamma,\rho),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D_p^{m+1}f(z)}{D_p^mf(z)}\right) > \frac{\rho}{p}.$$

**Remark 2.4.** In the case when p = n = 1, Corollary 2.3 reduces to Corollary 3.1 of Singh *et. al* [15].

Taking  $\alpha = l + p - p\beta, l > -p$ , in Theorem 2.1, we obtain

Corollary 2.5. Let  $\lambda \geq 0, \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \rho < p$  be real numbers such that  $\gamma \leq \lambda$  and  $\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{p}\right) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\beta \geq 0$  and

l > -p and let

$$T(p,n,\lambda,\gamma,l,\beta,\rho) = \frac{(1-\lambda)(\rho/p) + \lambda(\rho/p)^2 - \frac{n\lambda\beta(1-(\rho/p))}{2(l+p)}}{1-\gamma(1-(\rho/p))}.$$

If  $f \in A_n(n)$  satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda)I_{p}^{m+1}(l,\beta)f(z)+\lambda I_{p}^{m+2}(l,\beta)f(z)}{(1-\gamma)I_{p}^{m}(l,\beta)f(z)+\gamma I_{p}^{m+1}(l,\beta)f(z)}\right) > \operatorname{T}(p,n,\lambda,\gamma,l,\beta,\rho),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{I_p^{m+1}(l,\beta)f(z)}{I_p^{m}(l,\beta)f(z)}\right) > \frac{\rho}{p}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aghalary, R. M. Ali, S. B. Joshi and V. Ravichandran, Inequalities for functions defined by certain linear operator, Int. j. Math. Sci., 4, no.2 (2005), 267-274.
- [2] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math.Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429 1436.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah and S. M. El-Deeb, Some inequalities for certain p-valent functions involving extended multiplier transformations, Proc. Pak. Acad. Sci.,46(4)(2009), 217-221.

- [4] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, On a subclasses of n-p-valent prestarlike, functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 55(2008), 851-861.
- [5] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Swamy, On certain classes of analytic functions, Soochow J. Math., 20, no.1, (1994), 1 9.
- [6] A. Catas, On certain class of p-valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations, Proceedings book of the international symposium on geometric function theory and applications, August, 20-24, 2007, TC Isambul Kultur Univ., Turkey, 241 250.
- [7] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, Math. Comput. Modeling, 37(1-2) (2003), 39-49.
- [8] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly Close-to-Convex functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40(3) (2003), 399 410.
- [9] M. Kamali and H. Orhan, On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 41(2004), 53-71.
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane, J. Diff. Eqns.,, 67(1987), 199 –211.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics (N.225), Marcel Dekker, New York and Besel, 2000.
- [12] H. Orhan and H. Kiziltunc, A generalization on subfamily of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput. 155(2004)521-530.
- [13] G. St. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Proc. Fifth Rou. Fin. Semin. Buch. Complex Anal., Lect. notes in Math., Springer –Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362 372.
- [14] S. Shivaprasad Kumar, H. C. Taneja and V. Ravichandran, Classes of Multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformation, Kyungpook Math. J., 46(2006), no.1, 97-109.
- [15] S. Singh, S. Gupta and S. Singh, On starlikeness and convexity of analytic functions satisfying a differential inequality, J. Ineq. Pure. Appl. Math., 9, no.3, (2008), Article 81, 7 pp.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, K. B. Suchitra, A. Stephen and S. Sivasubramanian, Inclusion and neighborhood properties of certain subclasses of multivalent functions of complex order, JIPAM, 7,Issue (2006), article 7,1-8
- [17] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, Int. Math. Forum, 7, no.36, (2012), 1751 1760.
- [18] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized multiplier transformation, Int. J. Math. Anal., 6, no. 32, (2012), 1553 1564.
- [19] S. R. Swamy, Some properties of analytic functions defined by a new generalized multiplier transformation, J. Math, Comput, Sci. 2, no.3, (2012), 759-767.
- [20] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, Current topics in analytic function theory, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, N. Y., (1992), 371 374.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared