

AN INEQUALITY FOR CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A NEW MULTIPLIER TRANSFORMATION

S R SWAMY<sup>1\*</sup>, ROHAN R<sup>1</sup> AND NIRMALA J<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R V College of Engineering, Mysore Road, Bangalore - 560 059, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Maharani's Science College for women, Palace road, Bangalore-560 001, India

(Received on: 16-11-12; Revised & Accepted on: 19-12-12)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the authors investigate an interesting differential inequality of certain analytic functions, defined by a new multiplier transformation in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ .

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words and Phrases: Multivalent function, Starlike function, Convex function, Multiplier transformation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A_p(n)$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} a_k z^k, (p, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . In particular, we set  $A_p(1) = A_p, A_1(n) = A(n)$  and  $A_1(1) = A_1 = A(1) = A$ , a well-known class of normalized analytic functions in  $U$ .

For  $0 \leq \rho < p, p, n \in N$ , we denote by  $S_p^*(n, \rho)$  and  $K_p(n, \rho)$  the subclasses of  $A_p(n)$  consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, n-p-valent starlike of order  $\rho$  and n-p-valent convex of order  $\rho$ . Note that  $S_1^*(1, \rho) = S^*(\rho)$  and  $K_1(1, \rho) = K(\rho)$  are, respectively, the usual classes of univalent starlike functions of order  $\rho$  and univalent convex functions of order  $\rho, 0 \leq \rho < 1$ . We also write  $S^*(0) = S^*$  and  $K(0) = K$ , which are the classes of univalent starlike (w.r.t origin) and univalent convex functions in  $U$ .

**Definition 1.1.** ([18, 19]). Let  $p, n \in N, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, \beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha$  a real number with  $\alpha + p\beta > 0$ .

Then we define the operator  $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m : A_p(n) \rightarrow A_p(n)$ , by

$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^0 f(z) = f(z), (f \in A_p(n)),$$

$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^1 f(z) = \frac{\alpha f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{\alpha + p\beta},$$

...

$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z) = I_{p,\alpha,\beta}(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m-1} f(z)).$$

**Remark 1.2.** We observe that  $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m$  is a linear operator and for  $f(z)$  given by (1.1), we have

**Corresponding author:** S R SWAMY<sup>1\*</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, R V College of Engineering, Mysore Road, Bangalore - 560 059, India.

$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha + k\beta}{\alpha + p\beta} \right)^m a_k z^k. \quad (1.2)$$

It follows from (1.2) that

$$I_{p,\alpha,0}^m f(z) = f(z), \quad (1.3)$$

$$(\alpha + p\beta)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1} f(z) = \alpha I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z) + \beta z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z))', \quad (1.4)$$

We note that

- $I_{1,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z) = I_{\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)$  (See [17]).
- $I_{p,\alpha,1}^m f(z) = I_p^m(\alpha) f(z)$ ,  $\alpha > -p$  (See [1], [14] and [16]).
- $I_{p,l+p-p\beta,\beta}^m f(z) = I_p^m(\beta, l) f(z)$ ,  $l > -p$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  (See Catas [6]).
- $I_{p,0,\beta}^m f(z) = D_p^m f(z)$  (See [4], [9] and [12]).

**Remark 1.3** i)  $I_p^m(\alpha) f(z)$  was considered in [1],[14] and [16], for  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $I_p^m(\beta, l) f(z)$  was defined in [6] for  $l \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ , ii)  $I_p^m(l) f(z) = I_p^m(1, l) f(z)$ ,  $l > -p$ , iii)  $I_p^m(\beta, 0) f(z) = D_p^m(\beta) f(z)$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ , was mentioned in Aouf et.al. [3], iv)  $D_1^m(\beta)$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ , was introduced by Al-Oboudi [2],v)  $D_1^m(1) f(z) = D^m f(z)$  was defined by Salagean [13] and was considered for  $m \geq 0$  in [5], vi)  $I_1^m(\alpha) f(z)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , was investigated in [7] and [8] and vii)  $I_1^m(1)$  was due to Uralegaddi and Somanatha[20].

**Definition 1.4.** A function  $f \in A_p(n)$  is said to be in the class  $S_p^m(n, \alpha, \beta, \rho)$  for all  $z$  in  $U$  if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1} f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)} \right) > \frac{\rho}{p}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $0 \leq \rho < p$ ,  $p, n \in N$ ,  $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha$  a real number with  $\alpha + p\beta > 0$ .

We note that  $S_p^m(1, \alpha, 1, \rho)$  is the class considered in [15] for  $\alpha \geq 0$ . we also note that

$$S_p^0(n, 0, 1, \rho) = S_p^*(n, \rho) \text{ and } S_p^1(n, 0, 1, \rho) = K_p(n, \rho).$$

The basic tool in proving our result is the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.5** [10, 11]). Let  $\Omega$  be a set in the complex plane  $C$ . Suppose that the function  $\Psi : C^2 \times U \rightarrow C$  satisfies the condition  $\Psi(ix_2, y_1; z) \notin \Omega$  for all  $z \in U$  and for all real  $x_2$  and  $y_1$  such that

$$y_1 \leq \frac{n}{2}(1 + x_2^2).$$

If  $p(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + \dots$  is analytic in  $E$  and for  $z \in E$ ,  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \subset \Omega$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$  in  $U$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\lambda \geq 0, \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \rho < p$  be real numbers such that  $\gamma \leq \lambda$  and  $\gamma \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{p} \right) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$  a real number such that  $\alpha + p\beta > 0$  and let

$$M(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \rho) = \frac{(1-\lambda)(\rho/p) + \lambda(\rho/p)^2 - \frac{n\lambda\beta(1-(\rho/p))}{2(\alpha+p\beta)}}{1-\gamma(1-(\rho/p))}.$$

If  $f \in A_p(n)$  satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{(1-\lambda)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z) + \lambda I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z) + \gamma I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)} \right) > M(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \rho), \quad (2.1)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)} \right) > \frac{\rho}{p}$$

i.e.,  $f(z) \in S_p^m(n, \alpha, \beta, \rho)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $0 \leq \rho < p$ , let us write  $\tau = \frac{\rho}{p}$ . Thus, we have  $0 \leq \tau < 1$ . Now we define

$$\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)} = \tau + (1-\tau)p(z), \quad z \in U. \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $p(0) = 1$ . Differentiating (2.2) logarithmically and using (1.4), we obtain

$$\frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+2}f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)} = [\tau + (1-\tau)p(z)] + \frac{(1-\tau)\beta zp'(z)}{(\alpha + p\beta)[\tau + (1-\tau)p(z)]}. \quad (2.3)$$

A simple calculation yields

$$\left( \frac{(1-\lambda)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z) + \lambda I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z) + \gamma I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}f(z)} \right) = \psi(p(z), zp'(z); z),$$

where

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) = \frac{(1-\lambda)[\tau + (1-\tau)p(z)] + \lambda[\tau + (1-\tau)p(z)]^2 + \frac{(1-\tau)\lambda\beta}{(\alpha + p\beta)} zp'(z)}{(1-\gamma) + \gamma[\tau + (1-\tau)p(z)]}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $p(z) = u_1 + iu_2$  and  $zp'(z) = v_1 + iv_2$ , where  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$  are real numbers with  $v_1 \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\psi(iu_2, v_1; z)) \leq \frac{S + Ru_2^2}{(1-\gamma + \gamma\tau)^2 + \gamma^2(1-\tau)^2 u_2^2} = \phi(u_2) \leq \max \phi(u_2), \quad (2.5)$$

where,

$$S = \left[ (1-\lambda)\tau + \lambda\tau^2 - \frac{n\lambda\beta(1-\tau)}{2(\alpha + p\beta)} \right] (1-\gamma + \gamma\tau)$$

and

$$R = (1-\tau)^2(\gamma - \lambda + \lambda\gamma\tau) - (1-\gamma + \gamma\tau) \frac{n\lambda\beta(1-\tau)}{2(\alpha + p\beta)}.$$

It can be easily verified that  $\phi'(u_2) = 0$  implies  $u_2 = 0$  and  $\phi''(0) < 0$ , under the given conditions. Therefore,

$$\max \phi(u_2) = \phi(0) = M(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \rho). \quad (2.6)$$

Let

$$\Omega = \{w : \operatorname{Re}(w) > M(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \rho)\}.$$

Then from (2.1) and (2.4), we have  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$  for all  $z \in U$ , but  $\psi(iu_2, v_1; z) \notin \Omega$ , in view of (2.5) and (2.6). Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and (2.2), we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{m+1} f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^m f(z)} \right) > \frac{\rho}{p}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** Taking  $\beta = n = 1$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain Theorem 2.2 of Singh et.al. [15] (Considered for  $\alpha \geq 0$ ). Our result hold true for  $\alpha > -p$ .

$\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 2.1 yields

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $\lambda \geq 0, \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \rho < p$  be real numbers such that  $\gamma \leq \lambda$  and  $\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{p}\right) < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let

$$T(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, \rho) = \frac{(1-\lambda)(\rho/p) + \lambda(\rho/p)^2 - \frac{n\lambda(1-(\rho/p))}{2}}{1-\gamma(1-(\rho/p))}.$$

If  $f \in A_p(n)$  satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{(1-\lambda)D_p^{m+1} f(z) + \lambda D_p^{m+2} f(z)}{(1-\gamma)D_p^m f(z) + \gamma D_p^{m+1} f(z)} \right) > T(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, \rho),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{D_p^{m+1} f(z)}{D_p^m f(z)} \right) > \frac{\rho}{p}.$$

**Remark 2.4.** In the case when  $p = n = 1$ , Corollary 2.3 reduces to Corollary 3.1 of Singh et. al [15].

Taking  $\alpha = l + p - p\beta, l > -p$ , in Theorem 2.1, we obtain

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $\lambda \geq 0, \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \rho < p$  be real numbers such that  $\gamma \leq \lambda$  and  $\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{p}\right) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\beta \geq 0$  and

$l > -p$  and let

$$T(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, l, \beta, \rho) = \frac{(1-\lambda)(\rho/p) + \lambda(\rho/p)^2 - \frac{n\lambda\beta(1-(\rho/p))}{2(l+p)}}{1-\gamma(1-(\rho/p))}.$$

If  $f \in A_p(n)$  satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{(1-\lambda)I_p^{m+1}(l, \beta) f(z) + \lambda I_p^{m+2}(l, \beta) f(z)}{(1-\gamma)I_p^m(l, \beta) f(z) + \gamma I_p^{m+1}(l, \beta) f(z)} \right) > T(p, n, \lambda, \gamma, l, \beta, \rho),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{I_p^{m+1}(l, \beta) f(z)}{I_p^m(l, \beta) f(z)} \right) > \frac{\rho}{p}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aghalary, R. M. Ali , S. B. Joshi and V. Ravichandran, Inequalities for functions defined by certain linear operator, Int. j. Math. Sci., **4**, no.2 (2005), 267-274.
- [2] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math.Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429 - 1436.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah and S. M. El-Deeb, Some inequalities for certain p-valent functions involving extended multiplier transformations, Proc. Pak. Acad. Sci., **46**(4)(2009), 217-221.

- [4] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, On a subclasses of n-p-valent prestarlike, functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **55**(2008), 851-861.
- [5] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Swamy, On certain classes of analytic functions, *Soochow J. Math.*, **20**, no.1, (1994), 1 - 9.
- [6] A. Catas, On certain class of p-valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations, *Proceedings book of the international symposium on geometric function theory and applications*, August, 20-24, 2007, TC Isambul Kultur Univ., Turkey, 241 - 250.
- [7] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava , Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, *Math. Comput. Modeling*, **37**(1-2) (2003), 39 - 49.
- [8] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly Close-to-Convex functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **40**(3) (2003), 399 - 410.
- [9] M. Kamali and H. Orhan, On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **41**(2004), 53-71.
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane , *J. Diff. Eqns.*, **67**(1987), 199 –211.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics (N.225), Marcel Dekker, New York and Besel, 2000.
- [12] H. Orhan and H. Kiziltunc, A generalization on subfamily of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **155**(2004)521-530.
- [13] G. St. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Proc. Fifth Rou. Fin. Semin. Buch. Complex Anal.*, Lect. notes in Math., Springer –Verlag, Berlin, **1013**(1983), 362 - 372.
- [14] S. Shivaprasad Kumar, H. C. Taneja and V. Ravichandran, Classes of Multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformation, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **46**(2006), no.1, 97-109.
- [15] S. Singh, S. Gupta and S. Singh, On starlikeness and convexity of analytic functions satisfying a differential inequality, *J. Ineq. Pure. Appl. Math.* , **9**, no.3, (2008), Article 81, 7 pp.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, K. B. Suchitra, A. Stephen and S. Sivasubramanian, Inclusion and neighborhood properties of certain subclasses of multivalent functions of complex order, *JIPAM*, **7**, Issue (2006), article 7,1-8
- [17] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Int. Math. Forum*, **7**, no.36, (2012), 1751 – 1760.
- [18] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized multiplier transformation, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, **6**, no. 32, (2012), 1553 – 1564.
- [19] S. R. Swamy, Some properties of analytic functions defined by a new generalized multiplier transformation, *J. Math, Comput, Sci.* **2**, no.3, (2012), 759-767.
- [20] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, *Current topics in analytic function theory*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, N. Y., (1992), 371 – 374.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**