

ON FUZZY SEMI-PRE-BOUNDARY

Dr. K. Bageerathi*

Department of Mathematics, Govindammal Aditanar College for Women, Tiruchendur-628215, India

(Received on: 27-11-12; Revised & Accepted on: 26-12-12)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce the concept of fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-boundary by using the arbitrary complement function \mathcal{E} and by using fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre closure of a fuzzy topological space where $\mathcal{E}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a function. Let λ be a fuzzy subset of a fuzzy topological space X and let \mathcal{E} be a complement function. Then the fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-boundary of λ is defined as $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$, where $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda$ is the fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-closure of λ and $\mathcal{E}\lambda(x) = \mathcal{E}(\lambda(x))$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. In this paper we discuss the basic properties of fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-boundary.

MSC 2010: 54A40, 3E72.

Key words: Fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-boundary, fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre closure, fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre closed sets and fuzzy topology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Athar and Ahmad [2] defined the notion of fuzzy semi boundary in fuzzy topological spaces and studied [1] the properties of fuzzy semi boundary. The authors introduced the concept of fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closed sets, fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi closed sets, fuzzy \mathcal{E} -pre closed sets and fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-closed sets in fuzzy topological spaces, where $\mathcal{E}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an arbitrary complement function.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy semi-pre-boundary by using the arbitrary complement function \mathcal{E} instead of the usual fuzzy complement function, and by using fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-closure instead of fuzzy semi-pre-closure.

Such a generalized fuzzy semi-pre-boundary is defined as $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$, called the fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-boundary of λ , where $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda$ is the intersection of all fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-closed sets containing λ and $\mathcal{E}\lambda(x) = \mathcal{E}(\lambda(x))$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

For the basic concepts and notations, one can refer Chang [7]. The concepts that are needed in this paper are discussed in the second section. The third section is dealt with the concept of fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre-boundary.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper (X, τ) denotes a fuzzy topological space in the sense of Chang. Let $\mathcal{E}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a complement function. If λ is a fuzzy subset of (X, τ) then the complement $\mathcal{E}\lambda$ of a fuzzy subset λ is defined by $\mathcal{E}\lambda(x) = \mathcal{E}(\lambda(x))$ for all $x \in X$. A complement function \mathcal{E} is said to satisfy

- (i) the boundary condition if $\mathcal{E}(0) = 1$ and $\mathcal{E}(1) = 0$,
- (ii) monotonic condition if $x \leq y \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(x) \geq \mathcal{E}(y)$, for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$,
- (iii) involutive condition if $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}(x)) = x$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

The properties of fuzzy complement function \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{E}\lambda$ are given in George Klir [8] and Bageerathi *et al* [4]. The following lemma will be useful in sequel.

Lemma 2.1[4]. Let $\mathcal{E}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then for any family $\{\lambda_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of fuzzy subsets of X , we have

- (i) $\mathcal{E}(\sup\{\lambda_{\alpha}(x): \alpha \in \Delta\}) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}(\lambda_{\alpha}(x)): \alpha \in \Delta\} = \inf\{(\mathcal{E}\lambda_{\alpha})(x): \alpha \in \Delta\}$ and
- (ii) $\mathcal{E}(\inf\{\lambda_{\alpha}(x): \alpha \in \Delta\}) = \sup\{\mathcal{E}(\lambda_{\alpha}(x)): \alpha \in \Delta\} = \sup\{(\mathcal{E}\lambda_{\alpha})(x): \alpha \in \Delta\}$ for $x \in X$.

Definition 2.2 [4]. A fuzzy subset λ of X is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closed in (X, τ) if $\mathcal{E}\lambda$ is fuzzy open in (X, τ) . The fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closure of λ is defined as the intersection of all fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closed sets μ containing λ . The fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closure of λ is denoted by $Cl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda$ that is equal to $\bigwedge\{\mu: \mu \geq \lambda, \mathcal{E}\mu \in \tau\}$.

Lemma 2.3[4]. If the complement function \mathcal{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, then for any fuzzy subset λ of X , $\mathcal{C}(Int \lambda) = Cl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Cl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda) = Int(\mathcal{C}\lambda)$.

Lemma 2.4[4]. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{C} be a complement function that satisfies the boundary, monotonic and involutive conditions. Then for any family $\{\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of fuzzy subsets of X . we have $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee\{\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}) = \bigwedge\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\bigwedge\{\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}) = \bigvee\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$.

Definition 2.5 [Definition 2.15, [4]]. A fuzzy topological space (X, τ) is \mathcal{C} -product related to another fuzzy topological space (Y, σ) if for any fuzzy subset v of X and ζ of Y , whenever $\mathcal{C}\lambda \not\geq v$ and $\mathcal{C}\mu \not\geq \zeta$ imply $\mathcal{C}\lambda \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu \geq v \times \zeta$, where $\lambda \in \tau$ and $\mu \in \sigma$, there exist $\lambda_1 \in \tau$ and $\mu_1 \in \sigma$ such that $\mathcal{C}\lambda_1 \geq v$ or $\mathcal{C}\mu_1 \geq \zeta$ and $\mathcal{C}\lambda_1 \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu_1 = \mathcal{C}\lambda \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu$.

Lemma 2.6 [Theorem 2.19, [4]]. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be \mathcal{C} -product related fuzzy topological spaces. Then for a fuzzy subset λ of X and a fuzzy subset μ of Y , $Cl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu) = Cl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times Cl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu$.

Definition 2.7 [Definition 3.1, [6]]. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space and \mathcal{C} be a complement function. Then λ is called fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open if there exists a \mathcal{C} -pre open set μ such that $\mu \leq \lambda \leq Cl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu$.

Lemma 2.8[6]. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space and let \mathcal{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then a fuzzy set λ of a fuzzy topological space (X, τ) is

- (i) fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open if and only if $\lambda \leq Cl_{\mathcal{C}}Int Cl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda$.
- (ii) fuzzy \mathcal{C} - semi-pre closed in X if $Int Cl_{\mathcal{C}}Int(\lambda) \leq \lambda$.
- (iii) fuzzy \mathcal{C} - semi-pre closed if and only if $\mathcal{C}\lambda$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} - semi-pre open.
- (iv) the arbitrary union of fuzzy \mathcal{C} - semi-pre open sets is fuzzy \mathcal{C} - semi-pre open.

Lemma 2.9 [3]. If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$ are the fuzzy subsets of X then

$$(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2) \times (\lambda_3 \wedge \lambda_4) = (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_4) \wedge (\lambda_2 \times \lambda_3).$$

Lemma 2.10 [Lemma 5.1, [4]]. Suppose f is a function from X to Y . Then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}\mu) = \mathcal{C}(f^{-1}(\mu))$ for any fuzzy subset μ of Y .

Definition 2.11 [9]. If λ is a fuzzy subset of X and μ is a fuzzy subset of Y , then $\lambda \times \mu$ is a fuzzy subset of $X \times Y$, defined by $(\lambda \times \mu)(x, y) = \min\{\lambda(x), \mu(y)\}$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

Lemma 2.12 [Lemma 2.1, [3]]. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. If $\{\lambda_{\alpha}\}$ a family of fuzzy subsets of Y , then

- (i) $f^{-1}(\bigvee \lambda_{\alpha}) = \bigvee f^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha})$ and
- (ii) $f^{-1}(\bigwedge \lambda_{\alpha}) = \bigwedge f^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha})$.

Lemma 2.13 [Lemma 2.2, [3]]. If λ is a fuzzy subset of X and μ is a fuzzy subset of Y , then $\mathcal{C}(\lambda \times \mu) = \mathcal{C}\lambda \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu$.

3. FUZZY \mathcal{C} -SEMI-PRE-BOUNDARY

In this section, the concept of fuzzy \mathcal{C} - semi-pre-boundary is introduced and its properties are discussed.

Definition 3.1. Let λ be a fuzzy subset of a fuzzy topological space X and let \mathcal{C} be a complement function. Then the fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre-boundary of λ is defined as $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda = SPCL_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}\lambda)$.

Since the arbitrary intersection of fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre-closed sets is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre closed, $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} semi-pre closed.

We identify $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda$ with $SPBd(\lambda)$ when $\mathcal{C}(x) = 1-x$, the usual complement function.

Proposition 3.2. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space and \mathcal{C} be a complement function that satisfies the involutive condition. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of X , $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda = SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}\lambda)$.

Proof. By using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the involutive condition $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \lambda$, that implies $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$.

Again by using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$.

The following example shows that, the word “involutive” can not be dropped from the hypothesis of Proposition 4.2.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{0, \{a_3, b_7\}, \{a_5, b_2, c_6\}, \{a_5, b_7, c_6\}, \{a_3, b_2\}, 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+x^2}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, be the complement function. We note that the complement function \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the involutive condition. The family of all fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closed sets is $\mathcal{C}(\tau) = \{0, \{a_{.642}, b_{.201}, c_1\}, \{a_4, b_{.769}, c_{.294}\}, \{a_4, b_{.201}, c_{.294}\}, \{a_{.642}, b_{.769}, c_1\}, 1\}$.

Let $\lambda = \{a_5, b_8, c_4\}$. Then it can be calculated that $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_5, b_8, c_4\}$.

Now $\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_4, b_{.122}, c_{.517}\}$ and the value of $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_4, b_{.122}, c_{.517}\}$. Hence $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_4, b_{.122}, c_{.517}\}$. Also $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_{.517}, b_{.865}, c_{.381}\}$, $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_{.517}, b_{.865}, c_{.381}\}$. $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_4, b_{.122}, c_{.381}\}$. This implies that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \neq SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda$.

Proposition 3.4. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space and \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. If λ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre closed, then $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq \lambda$.

Proof. Let λ be fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre- closed. By using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 5.6(ii) in [6], we have $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \lambda$. Hence $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \lambda$.

The following example shows that if the complement function \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the monotonic and involutive conditions, then the conclusion of Proposition 3.4 is false.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{0, \{a_6, b_9\}, \{a_7, b_3\}, \{a_6, b_3\}, \{a_7, b_9\}, 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}(x) = \frac{2x}{1+x}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, be a complement function. From this, we see that the complement function \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the monotonic and involutive conditions. The family of all fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closed sets is given by $\mathcal{C}(\tau) = \{0, \{a_{.75}, b_{.947}\}, \{a_{.824}, b_{.462}\}, \{a_{.75}, b_{.462}\}, \{a_{.824}, b_{.947}\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_8, b_3\}$, it can be found that $Int\lambda = \{a_7, b_3\}$, $Cl_{\mathcal{E}}Int\lambda = \{a_{.824}, b_{.462}\}$ and $IntCl_{\mathcal{E}}Int\lambda = \{a_7, b_3\}$. That implies $IntCl_{\mathcal{E}}Int\lambda \leq \lambda$. This shows that λ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -pre closed. Further it can be calculated that $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_{.85}, b_{.632}\}$. Now $\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.889}, b_{.67}\}$ and $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.889}, b_{.67}\}$. Hence $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_{.85}, b_{.632}\}$. This implies that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \not\leq \lambda$. This shows that the conclusion of Proposition 3.4 is false.

Proposition 3.6. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space and \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. If λ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre open then $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq \mathcal{E}\lambda$.

Proof. Let λ be fuzzy \mathcal{E} semi-pre open. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the involutive condition, this implies that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} semi-pre open. By using Lemma 2.8, $\mathcal{E}\lambda$ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre closed. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and the involutive conditions, by using Proposition 3.4, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) \leq \mathcal{E}\lambda$. Also by using Proposition 3.2, we get $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \leq \mathcal{E}\lambda$. This completes the proof.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{0, \{a_3, b_7\}, \{a_5, b_2, c_6\}, \{a_5, b_7, c_6\}, \{a_3, b_2\}, 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+x^2}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, be the complement function. We note that the complement function \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the involutive condition. The family of all fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closed sets is $\mathcal{C}(\tau) = \{0, \{a_{.642}, b_{.201}, c_1\}, \{a_4, b_{.769}, c_{.294}\}, \{a_4, b_{.201}, c_{.294}\}, \{a_{.642}, b_{.769}, c_1\}, 1\}$.

Let $\lambda = \{a_4, b_{.122}, c_{.517}\}$, the value of $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_4, b_{.122}, c_{.517}\}$. Now $\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.517}, b_{.865}, c_{.381}\}$ and $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.517}, b_{.865}, c_{.381}\}$, it follows that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_4, b_{.122}, c_{.381}\}$. This shows that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \not\leq \mathcal{E}\lambda$.

Therefore the conclusion of Proposition 3.6 is false.

Proposition 3.8. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space and \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. If $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre closed then $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq \mu$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ be fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre closed. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 5.6(iv) in [6], we have $\lambda \leq \mu$ implies $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mu$.

By using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$. Since $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mu$, we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mu \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) \leq SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mu$. Again by using Proposition 5.6 (ii) in [6], we have $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mu = \mu$.

This implies that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq \mu$.

The following example shows that if the complement function \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the monotonic and involutive conditions, then the conclusion of Proposition 3.8 is false.

Example 3.9. From Example 3.5, let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $\tau = \{0, \{a_6, b_9\}, \{a_7, b_3\}, \{a_6, b_3\}, \{a_7, b_9\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_7, b_{45}\}$ and $\mu = \{a_{76}, b_5\}$. Then it can be found that $Int\ \mu = \{a_7, b_3\}$, $Cl_{\mathcal{E}}Int\ \mu = \{a_{75}, b_{462}\}$ and $Int\ Cl_{\mathcal{E}}Int\ \mu = \{a_7, b_3\}$. That implies $Int\ Cl_{\mathcal{E}}Int\ \mu \leq \mu$. This shows that μ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -pre closed. It can be computed that $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_8, b_{47}\}$.

Now $\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{824}, b_{62}\}$ and $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{824}, b_{47}\}$. $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_8, b_{47}\}$. This shows that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \not\leq \mu$.

Therefore the conclusion of Proposition 3.8 is false.

Proposition 3.10. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space and \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. If $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre open then $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq \mathcal{E}\mu$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre open. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic condition, by using Proposition 5.6(iv) in [6], we have $\mathcal{E}\mu \leq \mathcal{E}\lambda$ that implies $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\mu \leq SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda$. By using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda$. Taking complement on both sides, we get $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = \mathcal{E}(SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda))$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Lemma 2.1, we have $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = \mathcal{E}(SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) \vee \mathcal{E}(SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda))$. Since $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\mu \leq SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda$, $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) \geq \mathcal{E}(SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\mu) \vee \mathcal{E}(SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda)$, by using Proposition 5.5(ii) in [6], $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) \geq SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\mu \vee SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda \geq pInt_{\mathcal{E}}\mu$. Since μ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre open, $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) \geq \mu$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic conditions, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \leq \mathcal{E}\mu$.

The following example shows that if the complement function \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the monotonic and involutive conditions, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.10 is false.

Example 3.11. From Example 3.5, let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $\tau = \{0, \{a_6, b_9\}, \{a_7, b_3\}, \{a_6, b_3\}, \{a_7, b_9\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_6, b_3\}$ and $\mu = \{a_{65}, b_4\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $Cl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_{75}, b_{462}\}$, $Int\ Cl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_6, b_3\}$ and $Cl_{\mathcal{E}}Int\ \lambda = \{a_{75}, b_{462}\}$. Thus we see that $\lambda \leq Cl_{\mathcal{E}}(Int\lambda)$. By using Lemma 2.8, λ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre open. It can be computed that $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_{85}, b_{632}\}$. Now $\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{75}, b_{462}\}$ and $SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{85}, b_{632}\}$. $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_{85}, b_{632}\}$. This shows that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \not\leq \mathcal{E}\mu$.

Proposition 3.12. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of X , we have $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \vee SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$.

Proof. By using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$. Taking complement on both sides, we get $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = \mathcal{E}(SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda))$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Lemma 2.4(ii), $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = \mathcal{E}(SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) \vee \mathcal{E}(SPCL_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda))$. Also by using Proposition 5.6(ii) in [6], that implies $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) \vee SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}\lambda))$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the involutive condition, $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \vee SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$.

The following example shows that if the monotonic and involutive conditions of the complement function \mathcal{E} are dropped, then the conclusion of Proposition 3.12 is false.

Example 3.13. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $\tau = \{0, \{a_3, b_8\}, \{a_2, b_5\}, \{a_7, b_1\}, \{a_3, b_5\}, \{a_3, b_1\}, \{a_2, b_1\}, \{a_7, b_8\}, \{a_7, b_5\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{E}(x) = \sqrt{x}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$ be the complement function. From this example, we see that \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the

monotonic and involutive conditions. The family of all fuzzy \mathcal{E} -closed sets is $\mathcal{C}(\tau) = \{0, \{a_{.548}, b_{.894}\}, \{a_{.447}, b_{.707}\}, \{a_{.837}, b_{.316}\}, \{.548, b_{.707}\}, \{a_{.548}, b_{.316}\}, \{a_{.447}, b_{.316}\}, \{a_{.837}, b_{.894}\}, \{a_{.837}, b_{.707}\}, 1\}$.

Let $\lambda = \{a_{.6}, b_{.3}\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_{.3}, b_{.1}\}$, $\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.775}, b_{.548}\}$ and $SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.775}, b_{.548}\}$. Thus we see that $SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \vee SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.775}, b_{.548}\}$. It can be computed that $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_{.6}, b_{.8}\}$. Now $\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.775}, b_{.548}\}$, $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.837}, b_{.707}\}$ and $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_{.6}, b_{.707}\}$. Also $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = \{a_{.775}, b_{.840}\}$. Thus we see that $\mathcal{E}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) \neq SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \vee SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda$. Therefore the conclusion of Proposition 3.12 is false.

Proposition 3.14. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of X , we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda))$.

Proof. By using Definition 3.1, we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 5.5(ii) in [6], we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda))$.

The next example shows that if the complement function \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the monotonic and involutive conditions, then the conclusion of Proposition 3.14 is false.

Example 3.15. From Example 3.5, let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $\tau = \{0, \{a_{.6}, b_{.9}\}, \{a_{.7}, b_{.3}\}, \{a_{.6}, b_{.3}\}, \{a_{.7}, b_{.9}\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_{.9}, b_{.5}\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_{.75}, b_{.462}\}$ and $\mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = \{a_{.857}, b_{.632}\}$ and it can be computed that $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = \{a_{.9}, b_{.5}\}$. Now $\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.947}, b_{.667}\}$, $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda = \{a_{.947}, b_{.667}\}$ and $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) = \{a_{.9}, b_{.5}\}$. Also $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) = \{a_{.857}, b_{.5}\}$. Thus we see that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \neq SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda)$. Therefore the conclusion of Proposition 3.14 is false.

Proposition 3.16. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then for any subset λ of X , $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 3.14, we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)))$. Since $SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy \mathcal{E} -semi-pre open, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda))$. Since $SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \leq \lambda$, by using Proposition 5.6(ii) in [6], $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \leq SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$. Thus $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \leq SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda))$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 5.5 in [6], $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \leq SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)$. By using Definition 3.1, we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$.

Proposition 3.17. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 3.14, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)))$. By using Proposition 5.6(iii) in [6], we have $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$, that implies $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)))$. Since $\lambda \leq SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$, that implies $SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \leq SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda))$. Therefore, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \leq SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda))$. By using Proposition 5.5 (ii) in [6], and by using Definition 3.1, we get $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)) \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$.

Theorem 3.18. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{E} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \vee SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\mu$.

Proof. By using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \vee \mu) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}(\lambda \vee \mu))$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 5.7(i) in [6], that implies $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \vee \mu) = (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \vee SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu)) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}(\lambda \vee \mu))$. By using Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 5.7(ii) in [6], $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \leq (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \vee SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu)) \wedge (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\mu))$. That is, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \leq (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda)) \vee (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\mu))$. Again by using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \vee SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu)$.

Theorem 3.19. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Suppose the complement function \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then for any two fuzzy subsets λ and μ of a fuzzy topological space X , we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq (SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu)) \vee (SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda))$.

Proof. By using Definition 3.1, we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \wedge \mu) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}(\lambda \wedge \mu))$. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 5.7(i), Proposition 5.7 (ii) in [6] and by using Lemma

2.4(iv), we get $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq (SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mu)) \wedge (SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}\lambda) \vee SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}\mu))$ is equal to $[SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}\lambda)] \wedge (SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mu) \vee [SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mu) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}\mu)]) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)$. Again by using Definition 3.1, we get $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq (SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mu)) \vee (SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mu) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda))$.

Proposition 3.20. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space. Suppose the complement function \mathcal{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of a fuzzy topological space X , we have

- (i) $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}(SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)) \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda)$
- (ii) $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda$.

Proof. By using Definition 3.1, $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}\lambda)$.

We have $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda = SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}[\mathcal{C}(SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda)] \leq SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda)$. Since \mathcal{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 5.6(ii) in [6], $SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda = \lambda$, where λ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -pre closed.

Here $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -pre closed. So, $SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda) = SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda$. This implies that $SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \leq SPBd_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda$. This proves (i). (ii) Follows from (i).

Proposition 3.21. Let λ be a fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre closed subset of a fuzzy topological space X and μ be a fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre closed subset of a fuzzy topological space Y , then $\lambda \times \mu$ is a fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre closed set of the fuzzy product space $X \times Y$ where the complement function \mathcal{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions.

Proof. Let λ be a fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre closed subset of a fuzzy topological space X . Then by applying Lemma 2.8, $\mathcal{C}\lambda$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open set in X . Also if $\mathcal{C}\lambda$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre-open set in X , then $\mathcal{C}\lambda \times 1$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre-open in $X \times Y$. Similarly let μ be a fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre closed subset of a fuzzy topological space Y . Then by using Lemma 2.8, $\mathcal{C}\mu$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open set in Y . Also if $\mathcal{C}\mu$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open set in Y then $\mathcal{C}\mu \times 1$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open in $X \times Y$. Since the arbitrary union of fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open sets is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open. So, $\mathcal{C}\lambda \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open in $X \times Y$. By using Lemma 2.13, $\mathcal{C}(\lambda \times \mu) = \mathcal{C}\lambda \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu$, hence $\mathcal{C}(\lambda \times \mu)$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre open. By using Lemma 2.8, $\lambda \times \mu$ is fuzzy \mathcal{C} -semi-pre closed of the fuzzy product space $X \times Y$.

Theorem 3.22. Let \mathcal{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. If λ is a fuzzy subset of a fuzzy topological space X and μ is a fuzzy subset of a fuzzy topological space Y , then

- (i) $SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu \geq SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu)$
- (ii) $SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\mu \leq SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu)$.

Proof. By using Definition 2.11, $(SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu)(x, y) = \min\{SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda(x), SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu(y)\} \geq \min\{\lambda(x), \mu(y)\} = (\lambda \times \mu)(x, y)$. This shows that $SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu \geq (\lambda \times \mu)$.

By using Proposition 5.6 in [6], $SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu) \leq SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu) = SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu$. By using Definition 2.11, $(SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\mu)(x, y) = \min\{SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda(x), SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\mu(y)\} \leq \min\{\lambda(x), \mu(y)\} = (\lambda \times \mu)(x, y)$. This shows that $SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\mu \leq (\lambda \times \mu)$. By using Proposition 5.2 in [6], $SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}(SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\mu) \leq SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu)$, that implies $SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\mu \leq SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu)$.

Theorem 3.23. Let X and Y be \mathcal{C} -product related fuzzy topological spaces. Then for a fuzzy subset λ of X and a fuzzy subset μ of Y ,

- (i) $SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu) = SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu$
- (ii) $SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu) = SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPInt_{\mathcal{C}}\mu$.

Proof. By using Theorem 3.22, it is sufficient to show that $SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu) \geq SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda \times SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}\mu$. By using Definition 5.4 in [6], we have $SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu) = \inf\{\mathcal{C}(\lambda_{\alpha} \times \mu_{\beta}) : \mathcal{C}(\lambda_{\alpha} \times \mu_{\beta}) \geq \lambda \times \mu \text{ where } \lambda_{\alpha} \text{ and } \mu_{\beta} \text{ are fuzzy } \mathcal{C}\text{-semi-pre open}\}$. By using Lemma 2.11,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we have } SPCl_{\mathcal{C}}(\lambda \times \mu) &= \inf\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu_{\beta} : \mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu_{\beta} \geq \lambda \times \mu\} \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu_{\beta} : \mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \geq \lambda \text{ or } \mathcal{C}\mu_{\beta} \geq \mu\} \\ &= \min\{\inf\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu_{\beta} : \mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \geq \lambda\}, \inf\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu_{\beta} : \mathcal{C}\mu_{\beta} \geq \mu\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \inf\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{C}\mu_{\beta} : \mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \geq \lambda\} &\geq \inf\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \times 1 : \mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \geq \lambda\} \\ &= \inf\{\mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} : \mathcal{C}\lambda_{\alpha} \geq \lambda\} \times 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$= (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda) \times 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } \inf \{ \mathcal{E}\lambda_{\alpha} \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{E}\mu_{\beta} : \mathcal{E}\mu_{\beta} \geq \mu \} &\geq \inf \{ 1 \times \mathcal{E}\mu_{\beta} : \mathcal{E}\mu_{\beta} \geq \mu \} \\ &= 1 \times \inf \{ \mathcal{E}\mu_{\beta} : \mathcal{E}\mu_{\beta} \geq \mu \} \\ &= 1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The above discussions imply that

$$\begin{aligned} SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda \times \mu) &\geq \min (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \times 1, 1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mu) \\ &= SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mu. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) follows from (i) and using Proposition 5.5 in [6].

Theorem 3.24. Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be a family of \mathcal{E} -product related fuzzy topological spaces. If λ_i is a fuzzy subset of X_i , and the complement function \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, then

$$\begin{aligned} SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right) &= [SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2 \times \dots \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_n] \vee [SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2 \times \dots \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_n] \vee \dots \vee [SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times \\ &SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2 \times \dots \times SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove this for $n = 2$. By using Proposition 3.14,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we have } SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2) &= SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2)) \\ &= (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2) \wedge \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2) \quad [\text{by using Theorem 3.23}] \\ &= (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2) \wedge \mathcal{E}[(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1) \times (SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2 \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2)] \\ &= (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2) \wedge [\mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1) \times 1 \vee 1 \times \mathcal{E}(SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2 \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

[By Lemma 2.13]. Since \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, by using Proposition 5.5(i), Proposition 5.5(i) in [6] and also by using Lemma 2.11,

$$\begin{aligned} SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2) &= (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2) \wedge [(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda_1 \vee SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda_1) \times 1 \vee 1 \times (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda_2 \vee SPInt_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda_2)] \\ &= (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2) \wedge [SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda_1 \times 1 \vee 1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}\lambda_2] \\ &= [(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2) \wedge (SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda_1) \times 1)] \vee [(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1) \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2) \wedge (1 \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda_2))]. \end{aligned}$$

Again by using Lemma 2.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2) &= [(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1 \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda_1)) \times (1 \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2)] \vee [(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_2 \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda_2)) \times (1 \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}\lambda_1)] \\ &= [(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda_1)) \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_2)] \vee [(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_2) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\lambda_2)) \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1)] \end{aligned}$$

$$SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2) = [SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1) \times SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_2)] \vee [SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_1) \times SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda_2)].$$

Theorem 3.25. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a fuzzy continuous function. Suppose the complement function \mathcal{E} satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions. Then

$$SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq f^{-1}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu)), \text{ for any fuzzy subset } \mu \text{ in } Y.$$

Proof. Let f be a fuzzy continuous function and μ be a fuzzy subset in Y . By using Definition 3.1, we have $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}(f^{-1}(\mu)))$. By using Lemma 2.10, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) = SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}\mu))$.

Since f is fuzzy continuous and $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq f^{-1}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu))$, it follows that $SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq f^{-1}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu))$. This together with the above imply that $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq f^{-1}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu)) \wedge f^{-1}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\mu))$. By using Lemma 2.12, $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq f^{-1}(SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu) \wedge SPCl_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}\mu))$. That is $SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \leq f^{-1}(SPBd_{\mathcal{E}}(\mu))$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Athar and B. Ahmad, Fuzzy boundary and Fuzzy semi boundary, *Advances in Fuzzy systems* (2008), Article ID 586893, 9 pages.
- [2] M. Athar and B. Ahmad, Fuzzy sets, fuzzy S-open and fuzzy S-closed mappings, *Advances in Fuzzy systems* (2009), Article ID 303042, 5 pages.

- [3] K.K. Azad, On fuzzy semi-continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, *J. Math. Anal .Appl.* 82(1) (1981), 14-32.
- [4] K.Bageerathi, G. Sutha, P. Thangavelu, A generalization of fuzzy closed sets, *International Journal of fuzzy systems and rough systems*, 4(1) (2011), 1-5.
- [5] K. Bageerathi, P. Thangavelu, A generalization of fuzzy Boundary, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive – 1(3)(2010)*, 73-80.
- [6] K. Bageerathi, A generalization of fuzzy semi-pre open sets (submitted)
- [7] C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological space, *J.Math.Anal.Appl.*24 (1968), 182-190.
- [8] George J. Klir and Bo Yuan, Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic Theory and Applications, *Prentice – Hall, Inc*, 2005.
- [9] K. Katsaras and D.B. Liu, Fuzzy vector spaces and fuzzy topological vector spaces, *J.Math.Anal.Appl.* 8 (3) (1978), 459-470.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared