

Slightly rg -continuity

S. Balasubramanian^{1*}, C. Sandhya² and M. D. S. Saikumar³

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College (A), Karur – 639 005, Tamilnadu

²Department of Mathematics, C.S.R. Sarma College, Ongole - 523 001, Andhraparadesh

³Department of Mathematics, Krishnaveni Degree College, Narasaraopet – 522 601, Andhraparadesh

(Received on: 24-07-12; Accepted on: 18-09-12)

Abstract

In this paper we discuss new type of continuous functions called slightly rg -continuous; somewhat rg -continuous and somewhat rg -open functions; its properties and interrelation with other such functions are studied.

Keywords: slightly continuous functions; slightly semi-continuous functions; slightly pre-continuous; slightly β -continuous functions; slightly γ -continuous functions and slightly ν -continuous functions; somewhat continuous functions; somewhat semi-continuous functions; somewhat pre-continuous; somewhat β -continuous functions; somewhat γ -continuous functions and somewhat ν -continuous functions; somewhat open functions; somewhat semi-open functions; somewhat pre-open; somewhat β -open functions; somewhat γ -open functions and somewhat ν -open functions

AMS-classification Numbers: 54C10; 54C08; 54C05.

1. Introduction

In 1995 T.M. Nour introduced slightly semi-continuous functions. After him T.Noiri and G.I. Chae further studied slightly semi-continuous functions in 2000. T.Noiri individually studied about slightly β -continuous functions in 2001. C.W.Baker introduced slightly precontinuous functions in 2002. Erdal Ekici and M. Caldas studied slightly γ -continuous functions in 2004. Arse Nagli Uresin and others studied slightly δ -continuous functions in 2007. Recently S. Balasubramanian and P.A.S. Vyjayanthi studied slightly ν -continuous functions in 2011. Inspired with these developments we introduce in this paper slightly rg -continuous functions and study its basic properties and interrelation with other type of such functions. Throughout the paper (X, τ) and (Y, σ) (or simply X and Y) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: $A \subseteq X$ is called

- (i) g -closed [rg-closed] if $cl A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .
- (ii) b -open if $A \subseteq (cl\{A\})^\circ \cap cl\{A^\circ\}$.

Definition 2.2: A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be

- (i) continuous [resp: nearly-continuous; α -continuous; ν -continuous; α -continuous; semi-continuous; β -continuous; pre-continuous] if inverse image of each open set is open [resp: regular-open; α -open; ν -open; α -open; semi-open; β -open; preopen].
- (ii) nearly-irresolute [resp: α -irresolute; ν -irresolute; α -irresolute; irresolute; β -irresolute; pre-irresolute] if inverse image of each regular-open [resp: α -open; ν -open; α -open; semi-open; β -open; preopen] set is regular-open [resp: α -open; ν -open; α -open; semi-open; β -open; preopen].
- (iii) almost continuous [resp: almost nearly-continuous; almost α -continuous; almost ν -continuous; almost α -continuous; almost semi-continuous; almost β -continuous; almost pre-continuous] if for each x in X and each open set $(V, f(x))$, \exists an open [resp: regular-open; α -open; ν -open; α -open; semi-open; β -open; preopen] set (U, x) such that $f(U) \subseteq (cl(V))^\circ$.

Corresponding author: S. Balasubramanian^{1*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College (A), Karur – 639 005, Tamilnadu

(iv) weakly continuous[resp: weakly nearly-continuous; weakly α -continuous; weakly ν -continuous; weakly α -continuous; weakly semi-continuous; weakly β -continuous; weakly pre-continuous] if for each x in X and each open set $(V, f(x))$, \exists an open[resp: regular-open; α -open; ν -open; α -open; semi-open; β -open; preopen] set (U, x) such that $f(U) \subset \text{cl}(V)$.

(v) slightly continuous[resp: slightly semi-continuous; slightly pre-continuous; slightly β -continuous; slightly γ -continuous; slightly α -continuous; slightly r -continuous; slightly ν -continuous] at x in X if for each clopen subset V in Y containing $f(x)$, $\exists U \in \tau(X)$ [$\exists U \in \text{SO}(X)$; $\exists U \in \text{PO}(X)$; $\exists U \in \beta\text{O}(X)$; $\exists U \in \gamma\text{O}(X)$; $\exists U \in \alpha\text{O}(X)$; $\exists U \in \text{RO}(X)$; $\exists U \in \nu\text{O}(X)$] containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.

(vi) slightly continuous[resp: slightly semi-continuous; slightly pre-continuous; slightly β -continuous; slightly γ -continuous; slightly α -continuous; slightly r -continuous; slightly ν -continuous] if it is slightly-continuous[resp:slightly semi-continuous; slightly pre-continuous; slightly β -continuous; slightly γ -continuous; slightly α -continuous; slightly r -continuous; slightly ν -continuous] at each x in X .

(vii) almost strongly θ -semi-continuous[resp: strongly θ -semi-continuous] if for each x in X and for each $V \in \sigma(Y, f(x))$, $\exists U \in \text{SO}(X, x)$ such that $f(\text{scl}(U)) \subset \text{scl}(V)$ [resp: $f(\text{scl}(U)) \subset V$].

Lemma 2.1:

- (i) Let A and B be subsets of a space X , if $A \in \text{RGO}(X)$ and $B \in \text{RO}(X)$, then $A \cap B \in \text{RGO}(B)$.
- (ii) Let $A \subset B \subset X$, if $A \in \text{RGO}(B)$ and $B \in \text{RO}(X)$, then $A \in \text{RGO}(X)$.

3. Slightly *rg*-continuous functions

Definition 3.1: A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be slightly *rg*-continuous at x in X if for each clopen subset V in Y containing $f(x)$, $\exists U \in \text{RGO}(X)$ containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$ and slightly *rg*-continuous if it is slightly *rg*-continuous at each x in X .

Note 2: Here after we call slightly *rg*-continuous function as *sl. rg.c* function shortly.

Example 3.1: $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$; $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$. Let f be defined as $f(a) = b$; $f(b) = c$ and $f(c) = a$, then f is *sl. rg.c*.

Theorem 3.1: The following are equivalent:

- (i) f is *sl. rg.c*.
- (ii) $f^{-1}(V)$ is *rg*-open for every clopen set V in Y .
- (iii) $f^{-1}(V)$ is *rg*-closed for every clopen set V in Y .
- (iv) $f(\text{rgcl}(A)) \subseteq \text{rgcl}(f(A))$.

Corollary 3.1: The following are equivalent.

- (i) f is *sl. rg.c*.
- (ii) For each x in X and each clopen subset $V \in (Y, f(x))$ $\exists U \in \text{RGO}(X, x)$ such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.

Theorem 3.2: Let $\Sigma = \{U_i; i \in I\}$ be any cover of X by regular open sets in X . A function f is *sl. rg.c*. iff $f|_{U_i}$ is *sl. rg.c.*, for each $i \in I$.

Proof: Let $i \in I$ be an arbitrarily fixed index and $U_i \in \text{RO}(X)$. Let $x \in U_i$ and $V \in \text{CO}(Y, f_{U_i}(x))$ Since f is *sl. rg.c*, $\exists U \in \text{RGO}(X, x)$ such that $f(U) \subset V$. Since $U_i \in \text{RO}(X)$, by Lemma 2.1 $x \in U \cap U_i \in \text{RGO}(U_i)$ and $(f|_{U_i})U \cap U_i = f(U \cap U_i) \subset V$. Hence $f|_{U_i}$ is *sl. rg.c.*

Conversely Let x in X and $V \in \text{CO}(Y, f(x))$, $\exists i \in I$ such that $x \in U_i$. Since $f|_{U_i}$ is *sl. rg.c*, $\exists U \in \text{RGO}(U_i, x)$ such that $f|_{U_i}(U) \subset V$. By Lemma 2.1, $U \in \text{RGO}(X)$ and $f(U) \subset V$. Hence f is *sl. rg.c*.

Theorem 3.3:

- (i) If f is *rg*-irresolute and g is *sl. rg.c*. [*sl.c.*; *g.c*], then $g \circ f$ is *sl. rg.c*.
- (ii) If f is *rg*-irresolute and g is *g*-continuous, then $g \circ f$ is *sl. rg.c*.
- (iii) If f is *rg*-continuous and g is *sl. rg.c*. [*sl.c.*] then $g \circ f$ is *sl. rg.c*.

Theorem 3.4: If f is *rg*-irresolute, *rg*-open and $\text{RGO}(X) = \tau$ and g be any function, then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is *sl. rg.c* iff g is *sl. rg.c*.

Proof: If part: Theorem 3.3(i)

Only if part: Let A be clopen subset of Z . Then $(g \bullet f)^{-1}(A)$ is *rg*-open subset of X and hence open in X [by assumption]. Since f is *rg*-open $f(g \bullet f)^{-1}(A)$ is *rg*-open $Y \Rightarrow g^{-1}(A)$ is *rg*-open in Y . Thus g is *sl.rg.c*.

Corollary 3.2: If f is *rg*-irresolute, *rg*-open and bijective, g is a function. Then g is *sl.rg.c*. iff $g \bullet f$ is *sl.rg.c*.

Theorem 3.5: If $g: X \rightarrow X \times Y$, defined by $g(x) = (x, f(x))$ for all x in X be the graph function of $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then $g: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ is *sl.rg.c* iff f is *sl.rg.c*.

Proof: Let $V \in \text{CO}(Y)$, then $X \times V$ is clopen in $X \times Y$. Since g is *sl.rg.c.*, $f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(X \times V) \in \text{RGO}(X)$. Thus f is *sl.rg.c*. Conversely, let x in X and F be a clopen subset of $X \times Y$ containing $g(x)$. Then $F \cap (\{x\} \times Y)$ is clopen in $\{x\} \times Y$ containing $g(x)$. Also $\{x\} \times Y$ is homeomorphic to Y . Hence $\{y \in Y: (x, y) \in F\}$ is clopen subset of Y . Since f is *sl.rg.c*. $\cup \{f^{-1}(y): (x, y) \in F\}$ is *rg*-open in X . Further $x \in \cup \{f^{-1}(y): (x, y) \in F\} \subseteq g^{-1}(F)$. Hence $g^{-1}(F)$ is *rg*-open. Thus $g: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ is *sl.rg.c*.

Theorem 3.6: (i) $f: \prod X_\lambda \rightarrow \prod Y_\lambda$ is *sl.rg.c*, iff $f_\lambda: X_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\lambda$ is *sl.rg.c* for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$.

(ii) If $f: X \rightarrow \prod Y_\lambda$ is *sl.rg.c*, then $P_\lambda \bullet f: X \rightarrow Y_\lambda$ is *sl.rg.c* for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$, where $P_\lambda: \prod Y_\lambda$ onto Y_λ .

Remark 1:

- (i) Composition of two *sl.rg.c* functions is not in general *sl.rg.c*.
 - (ii) Algebraic sum and product of *sl.rg.c* functions is not in general *sl.rg.c*.
 - (iii) The pointwise limit of a sequence of *sl.rg.c* functions is not in general *sl.rg.c*.
- However we can prove the following:

Theorem 3.7: The uniform limit of a sequence of *sl.rg.c* functions is *sl.rg.c*.

Note 3: Pasting Lemma is not true for *sl.rg.c* functions. However we have the following weaker versions.

Theorem 3.8: Let X and Y be topological spaces such that $X = A \cup B$ and let $f_A: A \rightarrow Y$ and $g_B: B \rightarrow Y$ are *sl.r.c* maps such that $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A \cap B$. Suppose A and B are *r*-open sets in X and $\text{RO}(X)$ is closed under finite unions, then the combination $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ is *sl.rg.c* continuous.

Theorem 3.9: Pasting Lemma Let X and Y be spaces such that $X = A \cup B$ and let $f_A: A \rightarrow Y$ and $g_B: B \rightarrow Y$ are *sl.rg.c* maps such that $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A \cap B$. Suppose A, B are *r*-open sets in X and $\text{RGO}(X)$ is closed under finite unions, then the combination $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ is *sl.rg.c*.

Proof: Let $F \in \text{CO}(Y)$, then $\alpha^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}(F) \cup g^{-1}(F)$, where $f^{-1}(F) \in \text{RGO}(A)$ and $g^{-1}(F) \in \text{RGO}(B) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(F); g^{-1}(F) \in \text{RGO}(X) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(F) \cup g^{-1}(F) \in \text{RGO}(X)$ [by assumption]. Therefore $\alpha^{-1}(F) \in \text{RGO}(X)$. Hence $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ is *sl.rg.c*.

4. Covering and Separation properties of *sl.rg.c* Functions:

Theorem 4.1: If f is *sl.rg.c*. [resp: *sl.rg.c*] surjection and X is *rg*-compact, then Y is compact.

Proof: Let $\{G_i: i \in I\}$ be any open cover for Y . Then each G_i is open in Y and hence each G_i is clopen in Y . Since f is *sl.rg.c.*, $f^{-1}(G_i)$ is *rg*-open in X . Thus $\{f^{-1}(G_i)\}$ forms a *rg*-open cover for X and hence have a finite subcover, since X is *rg*-compact. Since f is surjection, $Y = f(X) = \cup_{i=1}^n G_i$. Therefore Y is compact.

Corollary 4.1: If f is *sl.sp.c*. [resp: *sl.r.c*] surjection and X is *rg*-compact, then Y is compact.

Theorem 4.2: If f is *sl.rg.c.*, surjection and X is *rg*-compact [*rg*-lindeloff] then Y is mildly compact [mildly lindeloff].

Proof: Let $\{U_i: i \in I\}$ be clopen cover for Y . For each x in X , $\exists \alpha_x \in I$ such that $f(x) \in U_{\alpha_x}$ and $\exists V_x \in \text{RGO}(X, x)$ such that $f(V_x) \subset U_{\alpha_x}$. Since the family $\{V_i: i \in I\}$ is a cover of X by *rg*-open sets of X , \exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $X \subset \{V_x: x \in I_0\}$. Therefore $Y \subset \cup \{f(V_x): x \in I_0\} \subset \cup \{U_{\alpha_x}: x \in I_0\}$. Hence Y is mildly compact.

Corollary 4.2:

- (i) If f is *sl.rg.c* [resp: *sl.r.c*] surjection and X is *rg*-compact [*rg*-lindeloff] then Y is mildly compact [mildly lindeloff].
- (ii) If f is *sl.rg.c*. [resp: *sl.c*; *sl.r.c*] surjection and X is locally *rg*-compact {resp: *rg*-Lindeloff; locally *rg*-lindeloff}, then Y is locally compact {resp: Lindeloff; locally lindeloff}.
- (iii) If f is *sl.rg.c*. [sl.r.c.], surjection and X is locally *rg*-compact {resp: *rg*-lindeloff; locally *rg*-lindeloff} then Y is locally mildly compact {resp: locally mildly lindeloff}.

Theorem 4.3: If f is sl.r.g.c., surjection and X is s-closed then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].

Proof: Let $\{V_i : V_i \in \text{CO}(Y); i \in I\}$ be a cover of Y , then $\{f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I\}$ is rg -open cover of X [by Thm 3.1] and so there is finite subset I_0 of I , such that $\{f^{-1}(V_i); i \in I_0\}$ covers X . Therefore $\{V_i; i \in I_0\}$ covers Y since f is surjection. Hence Y is mildly compact.

Corollary 4.3: If f is sl.r.c., surjection and X is s-closed then Y is mildly compact[mildly lindeloff].

Theorem 4.4: If f is sl.r.g.c., [resp: sl.r.g.c.; sl.r.c.] surjection and X is rg -connected, then Y is connected.

Proof: If Y is disconnected, then $Y = A \cup B$ where A and B are disjoint clopen sets in Y . Since f is sl.r.g.c. surjection, $X = f^{-1}(Y) = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ where $f^{-1}(A) f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint rg -open sets in X , which is a contradiction for X is rg -connected. Hence Y is connected.

Corollary 4.4: The inverse image of a disconnected space under a sl.r.g.c., [resp: sl.r.c.] surjection is rg -disconnected.

Theorem 4.5: If f is sl.r.g.c. [resp: sl.c.], injection and Y is UT_i , then X is rg_i $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof: Let $x_1 \neq x_2 \in X$. Then $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2) \in Y$ since f is injective. For Y is $UT_2 \exists V_j \in \text{CO}(Y)$ such that $f(x_j) \in V_j$ and $\cap V_j = \phi$ for $j = 1, 2$. By Theorem 3.1, $x_j \in f^{-1}(V_j) \in RGO(X)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $\cap f^{-1}(V_j) = \phi$ for $j = 1, 2$. Thus X is rg_2 .

Theorem 4.6: If f is sl.r.g.c., injection; closed and Y is UT_i , then X is rgg_i $i = 3, 4$.

Proof:(i) Let x in X and F be disjoint closed subset of X not containing x , then $f(x)$ and $f(F)$ be disjoint closed subset of Y not containing $f(x)$, since f is closed and injection. Since Y is ultraregular, $f(x)$ and $f(F)$ are separated by disjoint clopen sets U and V respectively. Hence $x \in f^{-1}(U); F \subseteq f^{-1}(V), f^{-1}(U); f^{-1}(V) \in RGO(X)$ and $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \phi$. Thus X is rgg_3 .

(ii) Let F_j and $f(F_j)$ are disjoint closed subsets of X and Y respectively for $j = 1, 2$, since f is closed and injection. For Y is ultranormal, $f(F_j)$ are separated by disjoint clopen sets V_j respectively for $j = 1, 2$. Hence $F_j \subseteq f^{-1}(V_j)$ and $f^{-1}(V_j) \in RGO(X)$ and $\cap f^{-1}(V_j) = \phi$ for $j = 1, 2$. Thus X is rgg_4 .

Theorem 4.7: If f is sl.r.g.c. [resp: sl.c.], injection and

(i) Y is UC_i [resp: UD_i] then X is rgC_i [resp: rgD_i] $i = 0, 1, 2$.

(ii) Y is UR_i , then X is $rg-R_i$ $i = 0, 1$.

Theorem 4.8: If f is sl.r.g.c. [resp: sl.c; sl.r.c] and Y is UT_2 , then the graph $G(f)$ of f is rg -closed in $X \times Y$.

Proof: Let $(x, y) \notin G(f)$ implies $y \neq f(x)$ implies \exists disjoint $V; W \in \text{CO}(Y)$ such that $f(x) \in V$ and $y \in W$. Since f is sl.r.g.c., $\exists U \in RGO(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset W$ and $(x, y) \in U \times V \subset X \times Y - G(f)$. Hence $G(f)$ is rg -closed in $X \times Y$.

Theorem 4.9: If f is sl.r.g.c. [resp: sl.c; sl.r.c] and Y is UT_2 , then $A = \{(x_1, x_2) | f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$ is rg -closed in $X \times X$.

Proof: If $(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X - A$, then $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ implies \exists disjoint $V_j \in \text{CO}(Y)$ such that $f(x_j) \in V_j$, and since f is sl.r.g.c., $f^{-1}(V_j) \in RGO(X, x_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Thus $(x_1, x_2) \in f^{-1}(V_1) \times f^{-1}(V_2) \in RGO(X \times X)$ and $f^{-1}(V_1) \times f^{-1}(V_2) \subset X \times X - A$. Hence A is rg -closed.

Theorem 4.10: If f is sl.r.c. [resp: sl.c.]; g is sl.r.g.c [resp: sl.c.]; and Y is UT_2 , then $E = \{x \in X; f(x) = g(x)\}$ is rg -closed in X .

CONCLUSION:

In this paper we defined slightly- rg -continuous functions, studied its properties and their interrelations with other types of slightly-continuous functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abd El-Monsef. M.E., S.N.Eldeeb and R.A.Mahmoud, β -open sets and β -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut. Chiv. A.12,no.1(1983) 77-90.
- [2] Abd El-Monsef. M.E., R.A.Mahmoud and E.R.Lashin, β -closure and β -interior, J.Fac.Educ.Soc A, Ain Shams Univ.10 (1986)235-245.

- [3] Andreivic. D., β -open sets, Math. Vestnick.38 (1986)24-32.
- [4] D. Andrijevic. On b-open sets. Math. Vesnik, 1996, 48: 59 - 64.
- [5] Arse Nagli Uresin, Aynur kerkin, T.Noiri, slightly δ -precontinuous funtions, Commen, Fac. Sci. Univ. Ark. Series 41.56(2) (2007)1-9.
- [6] Arya. S. P., and M.P. Bhamini, Some weaker forms of semi-continuous functions, Ganita 33(1-2) (1982)124-134.
- [7] A.A. El-Atik. A study of some types of mappings on topological spaces. M. Sc. Thesis, Tanta University, Egypt, 1997.
- [8] Baker. C.W., Slightly precontinuous funtions, Acta Math Hung, 94(1-6) (2002) 45-52.
- [9] Balasubramanian. S., Slightly vg -continuous functions, Inter.J.Math.Archive, 2(8) (2011)1455–1463.
- [10] Balasubramanian. S., and P.A.S.Vyjayanthi, Slightly v -continuous functions, J. Adv. Res. Pure Math., Vol.4, No.1 (2012)100–112.
- [11] Balasubramanian.S., and Lakshmi Sarada.M., Slightly gpr -continuous functions-Scientia Magna, Vol.7, No.3 (2011) 46 – 52.
- [12] Balasubramanian.S., Slightly g -continuous functions, somewhat g -continuous functions – Asian Journal of current Engineering and Maths, 1: 3 May - June (2012)120–125.
- [13] Balasubramanian.S., Venkatesh.K.A., and Sandhya.C., Slightly pg -continuous, somewhat pg -continuous and somewhat pg -open functions – Inter. J. Math. Archive, Vol 3, No.4 (2012)1687–1697.
- [14] Balasubramanian.S., Venkatesh.K.A.,and Vyjayanthi.P.A.S., Slightly gp -continuous functions, somewhat gp -continuous functions – Aryabhata Journal of Mathematics and Informatics, Vol.4, No.1, Jan-June(2012)119 – 132.
- [15] Balasubramanian.S., and Sandhya.C., Slightly gs -continuous functions, somewhat gs -continuous functions – Bull. Kerala Math. Soc., Vol.9, No.1 (2012)87 – 98.
- [16] Balasubramanian.S., Sandhya.C., and Vyjayanthi.P.A.S., Slightly sg -continuous functions, somewhat sg -continuous functions – Inter. J. Math. Archive, Vol 3, No. 6(2012)2194 – 2203.
- [17] Balasubramanian.S., Venkatesh.K.A., and Sandhya.C., Slightly spg -continuous functions, somewhat spg -continuous functions – AJCEM.
- [18] Balasubramanian.S., and Lakshmi Sarada.M., Slightly $g\alpha$ -continuous functions, somewhat $g\alpha$ -continuous functions – International journal of Advanced scientific and Technical Research
- [19] Balasubramanian.S., and Chaitanya.Ch. Slightly αg -continuous functions, somewhat αg -continuous functions – IJCFMI
- [20] Beceron. Y., S.Yukseh and E.Hatir, on almost strongly θ -semi continuous functions, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 87, 329-
- [21] Davis. A., Indexed system of neighbourhoods for general topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 68(1961)886-893.
- [22] Di.Maio. G., A separation axiom weaker than R_0 , Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.16 (1983)373-375.
- [23] Di.Maio. G., and T. Noiri, on s-closed spaces, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math (11)226.
- [24] Dunham. W., $T_{1/2}$ Spaces, Kyungpook Math. J.17(1977) 161-169.
- [25] Erdal Ekici and Miguel Caldas, Slightly γ -continuous functions, Bol.Sac.Paran.Mat (38) V.22.2, (2004) 63-74.
- [26] M. Ganster. Preopen sets and resolvable spaces. Kyungpook Math. J., 1987, 27(2):135-143.
- [27] K.R. Gentry, H.B. Hoyle. Somewhat continuous functions. Czechoslovak Math.J., 1971, 21(96):5-12.

- [28] Maheswari. S.N., and R. Prasad, on R_0 spaces, Portugal Math., 34 (1975) 213-217
- [29] Maheswari. S.N., and R. Prasad, some new separation axioms, Ann. Soc.Sci, Bruxelle, 89(1975)395-
- [30] Maheswari. S.N., and R. Prasad, on s-normal spaces, Bull. Math. Soc.Sci.R.S.Roumania, 22(70) (1978)27-
- [31] Maheswari. S.N., and S.S.Thakur, on α -iresolute mappings, Tamkang J. Math.11, (1980)201-214.
- [32] Mahmoud. R.A., and M.E.Abd El-Monsef, β -irresolute and β -topological invariant, Proc. Pak. Acad.Sci,27(3) (1990)285-296.
- [33] Mashhour. A.S., M.E.Abd El-Monsef and S.N.El-Deep, on precontinuous and weak precontinuous functions, Proc.Math.Phy.Soc.Egypt, 3, (1982) 47-53.
- [34] Mashhour. A.S., M.E.Abd El-Monsef and S.N.El-Deep, α -continuous and α -open mappings, Acta Math Hung. 41(3-4) (1983) 231-218.
- [35] Njastad. O., On some class of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math 15(1965) 961-970
- [36] Noiri. T., & G.I.Chae, A Note on slightly semi continuous functions Bull.Cal.Math.Soc 92(2) (2000) 87-92
- [37] Noiri. T., Slightly β -continuous functions, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 28(8) (2001) 469-478
- [38] T. Noiri, N. Rajesh. Somewhat b-continuous functions. J. Adv. Res. in Pure Math., 2011, 3(3):1-7.doi: 10. 5373/jarpm. 515.072810
- [39] Nour. T.M., Slightly semi continuous functions Bull.Cal.Math.Soc 87, (1995) 187-190
- [40] Singhal & Singhal, Almost continuous mappings, Yokohama J.Math.16, (1968) 63-73.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared