

Bilateral Sequence Spaces $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ and $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ defined by Orlicz Function

RITI AGRAWAL*

M. M. M. Engineering College Gorakhpur (U.P.), India

J. K. SRIVASTAVA

D. D. U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur (U.P.), India

(Received on: 29-08-12; Revised & Accepted on: 17-09-12)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we construct new sequence spaces $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ and $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ by using Orlicz function M . We also examine some of the properties like containment, linearity and completeness etc of these newly constructed sequence spaces.

Keywords: Bilateral Sequence, Sequence Space, Paranormed space, Orlicz function.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: Primary- 46A45, Secondary- 46B45.

1. INTRODUCTION

By a bilateral sequence, we mean a function whose domain is the set \mathbb{Z} of all integers with natural ordering. The utility of bilateral sequences can be found in [7] and [8]. We will denote a bilateral sequence by the symbol $(a_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ or $\bar{a} = (a_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$. As usual, by the convergence of the bilateral series $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_k$ to s written as $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_k = s$, we shall mean the convergence of the sequence $(S_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ to s where $S_n = \sum_{-n}^n a_k$ is called n -th partial sum of the bilateral series $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_k$.

Again, let M be the Orlicz function. The definition of Orlicz function and Orlicz sequence spaces are as follows:

Definition 1.1: An Orlicz function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous, non-decreasing and convex function defined for $t \geq 0$ such that

- (i) $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$;
- (ii) $M(0) = 0$ and
- (iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(t) = \infty$.

An Orlicz function M can always be represented in the following integral form (see [1])

$$M(x) = \int_0^x p(t) dt$$

where p is known as the kernel of M , is right differentiable for $t \geq 0$, $p(0) = 0$, $p(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, p is non-decreasing and $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.2: Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri (see [1], [3], [4] and [5]) used the ideas of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space,

$$l_M = \left\{ x \in \omega: \sum_1^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

The space l_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0: \sum_1^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space.

Now let $\bar{p} = (p_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\bar{q} = (q_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ be bilateral sequences of strictly positive real numbers and $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ be bilateral sequences of non-zero complex numbers and M be an Orlicz function. Now we introduce the following classes of Banach space X -valued bilateral sequences:

Corresponding author: RITI AGRAWAL*

M. M. M. Engineering College Gorakhpur (U.P.), India

$$c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) = \{ \bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty} : x_k \in X, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right) \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow -\infty, \text{ as well as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \}.$$

$$\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) = \{ \bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty} : x_k \in X, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right) \right)^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \}.$$

Throughout the paper we denote $t_k = \left| \frac{\lambda_k}{\mu_k} \right|$.

Definition 1.3: Let X be a linear space. A mapping $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a paranorm if it satisfies

- (i) $g(\theta) = 0$;
- (ii) $g(x) = g(-x)$;
- (iii) $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$;
- (iv) if (α_n) is a sequence of scalars with $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ and (x_n) is a sequence in X with $g(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ then $g(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x) \rightarrow 0$ (continuity of scalar multiplication). The paranorm is called total if
- (v) $g(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$, see [9].

In this paper our aim is to investigate results concerning the above defined classes with the help of Orlicz function M .

2. CONTAINMENT

Lemma 2.1: $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf_k t_k > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_k t_k > 0 \text{ with } l = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k.$$

Proof: For the sufficiency of the condition suppose that $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf_k t_k > 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_k t_k > 0$ and $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. Then there exists a real number $m > 0$ such that $m < \left| \frac{\lambda_k}{\mu_k} \right|$ for all sufficiently large values of $|k|$. Thus $m \|\mu_k x_k\| < \|\lambda_k x_k\|$, for all sufficiently large values of $|k|$. Also $\bar{x} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ so we can find some $\rho_1 > 0$ such that $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_1}\right) \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$. Let us choose ρ such that $\rho_1 < m\rho$. Since M is non-decreasing, we have

$$\left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right) \right)^{p_k} \leq \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{m\rho}\right) \right)^{p_k} < \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_1}\right) \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$$

and hence $\bar{x} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ and hence $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$.

For the necessity, let $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ but either $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf t_k = 0$ or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k = 0$. Let us take $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k = 0$. Then there exists a sequence $(k(n))$ such that $k(n+1) > k(n) \geq 1, n \geq 1$, for which $n^2 |\lambda_{k(n)}| < |\mu_{k(n)}|$. Now the bilateral sequence $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \lambda_{k(n)}^{-1} n^{-1} z & \text{if } k = k(n), n \geq 1, \text{ and} \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $z \in X$ and $\|z\| = 1$. Then $\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| = \frac{1}{n}$. Which implies that $\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right) \right)^l \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed l .

Hence

$$\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right) \right)^{p_{k(n)}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ since } l \leq p_k$$

i.e., $\bar{x} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. But $\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| > n$, implies that

$$\left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right) \right)^{p_{k(n)}/l} > 1 \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and for some fixed } l \leq p_k,$$

or $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right) \right)^{p_{k(n)}} > 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and for some ρ .

Which implies $\bar{x} \notin c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$, a contradiction.

Similar proof can be given in the case when $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf_k t_k > 0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.2: $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_k t_k < \infty$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k t_k < \infty$ with $l = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k$.

Proof: Sufficiency is straightforward. On the other hand for the necessity suppose that $(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ but either $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_k t_k = \infty$ or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k t_k = \infty$. Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k t_k = \infty$. Then there exists a sequence $(k(n))$, $k(n) \geq 1$ such that for each $n \geq 1$, $|\lambda_{k(n)}| > n^2 |\mu_{k(n)}|$. Now define the bilateral sequence $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \mu_{k(n)}^{-1} n^{-1} z & \text{if } k = k(n), n \geq 1 \text{ and,} \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $z \in X$ and $\|z\| = 1$. Then $\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| = \frac{1}{n}$ $n \geq 1$ and $\|\mu_k x_k\| = 0$, otherwise. This implies that $\frac{\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some ρ . Thus by the property of Orlicz function, we have $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^l \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some ρ and for any fixed l .

Therefore $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $l = \inf p_k < p_k$. This shows that $\bar{x} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$. But $\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| > n$ implies that $\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k/l} \rightarrow \infty$ for arbitrary large n and $l \leq p_k$. This shows that $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; i.e., $\bar{x} \notin c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$, which is a contradiction.

Similar proof can be given in the case when $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_k t_k = \infty$. This completes the proof.

On combining Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3: For $l = \inf p_k \leq p_k$, $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) = c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf t_k \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup t_k < \infty \text{ and,} \\ 0 < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup t_k < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.4: For $l = \inf p_k \leq p_k$,

- (i) $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf |\lambda_k|^{p_k} > 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf |\lambda_k|^{p_k} > 0$;
- (ii) $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup |\lambda_k|^{p_k} < \infty$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup |\lambda_k|^{p_k} < \infty$;
- (iii) $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) = c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ if and only if $0 < \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf |\lambda_k|^{p_k} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup |\lambda_k|^{p_k} < \infty$ and $0 < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf |\lambda_k|^{p_k} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup |\lambda_k|^{p_k} < \infty$.

Proof:

- (i) Take $\mu_k = 1$, for all k in Lemma 2.1,
- (ii) Take $\mu_k = 1$, for all k in Lemma 2.2,
- (iii) Take $\mu_k = 1$, for all k in Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 2.5: $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{q})$ if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 0 \text{ with } l = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k.$$

Proof: For the sufficiency condition, suppose $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 0$ and $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. Then there exists a real number $m > 0$ such that $q_k > mp_k$ for all sufficiently large values of $|k|$. Further since $\bar{x} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ we have $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k} < 1$, for all sufficiently

large values of $|k|$ and hence $(M(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}))^{q_k} < [(M(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}))^{p_k}]^m < 1$, for all sufficiently large values of $|k|$. This implies that $\bar{x} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{q})$ and hence $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{q})$.

For the necessity of the condition, suppose that inclusion holds but either $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} = 0$ or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} = 0$. Here we prove the result for the case when $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} = 0$, then there exists a sequence $(k(n)), k(n) \geq 1$ such that for each $n \geq 1$ $nq_{k(n)} < p_{k(n)}$. Now taking $z \in X$ and $\|z\| = 1$ for the bilateral sequence $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \lambda_{k(n)}^{-1} n^{-1} z & \text{if } k = k(n), n \geq 1 \text{ and,} \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then $\bar{x} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ as $\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| = \frac{1}{n}$. This implies that $\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by the definition of Orlicz function, we have

$$M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0$$

or $(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^l \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some ρ and some fixed l .

or $(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^{p_{k(n)}} \leq (M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^l$ since $l \leq p_{k(n)}$.

This implies that $(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^{p_{k(n)}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some ρ . Therefore $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. But

$$\left(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho})\right)^{p_{k(n)}} < \left(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho})\right)^{nq_{k(n)}}$$

Now we can choose some $\rho_1 > \rho$ such that $(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho_1}))^{p_{k(n)}}$ does not converge to zero.

Therefore $(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^{nq_{k(n)}}$ does not converge to zero for some $\rho_1 > \rho$ which shows that $\bar{x} \notin c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$, a contradiction.

Similar proof can be given for the case when $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} = 0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.6: $c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{q}) \subset c_o(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \infty \text{ and } \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \infty$$

with $l = \inf_k q_k \leq q_k$.

Proof: Sufficiency is straightforward. On the other hand for the necessity, let the inclusion holds but $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup \frac{q_k}{p_k} = \infty$ or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{q_k}{p_k} = \infty$. Here we prove the result for the case when $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{q_k}{p_k} = \infty$ then there exists a sequence $(k(n)), k(n) \geq 1$ such that $q_{k(n)} > np_{k(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, the bilateral sequence $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \lambda_{k(n)}^{-1} n^{-1} z & \text{if } k = k(n), n \geq 1 \text{ and,} \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $z \in X, \|z\| = 1$. We see that, $\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by the definition of Orlicz function we have

$(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^l \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some ρ and some fixed l and

$(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^{q_{k(n)}} \leq (M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^l$, since $l \leq q_{k(n)}$.

Therefore $(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^{q_{k(n)}} \rightarrow 0$ for some ρ implies that $\bar{x} \in c_o(M, X, \lambda, q)$. But $(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}))^{np_{k(n)}}$ will not surely converge to zero for each $n \geq 1$ as

$$\left(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho})\right)^{q_{k(n)}} < \left(M(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho})\right)^{np_{k(n)}}$$

and we can choose some $\rho_1 < \rho$ such that $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho_1}\right)\right)^{q_{k(n)}} \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{q_{k(n)}} < \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho_1}\right)\right)^{q_{k(n)}} < \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho_1}\right)\right)^{np_{k(n)}}$$

Implies $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_{k(n)}}$ will not converge to zero for some $\rho > \rho_1$. Therefore $\bar{x} \notin c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$, which is a contradiction.

Similar proof can be given for the case when $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{q_k}{p_k} = \infty$. This completes the proof.

On combining Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 we get the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7: $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) = c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{q})$

$0 < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \infty$, and $0 < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{q_k}{p_k} < \infty$.

Lemma 2.8: $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) \subset \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k > 0.$$

Proof: Suppose $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k > 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k > 0$ and $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. Then there exists a real number $m > 0$ such that $m|\mu_k| < |\lambda_k|$ for all sufficiently large values of $|k|$. Thus $m\|\mu_k x_k\| < \|\lambda_k x_k\|$ for all sufficiently large values of $|k|$. Since $\bar{x} \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ so there exists $\rho_1 > 0$ such that $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_1}\right)\right)^{p_k} < \infty$. Let us choose $\rho > 0$ such that $\rho_1 < m\rho$. Since M is non-decreasing therefore

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k} < \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{m\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k} < \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_1}\right)\right)^{p_k} < \infty$$

for some $\rho > 0$. Hence $\bar{x} \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ and this implies that

$$\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) \subset \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p}).$$

Conversely, let the inclusion holds but either $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k = 0$ or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k = 0$. Here we take $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k = 0$, then there exists a sequence $(k(n))$, $k(n) \geq 1$ such that $n^2|\lambda_{k(n)}| < |\mu_{k(n)}|$ for all $n \geq 1$. Now we see that $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \lambda_{k(n)}^{-1} n^{-1} z & \text{if } k = k(n), n \geq 1 \text{ and,} \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $z \in X$, $\|z\| = 1$ is in $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ but not in $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ as $\|\lambda_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\| = \frac{1}{n}$. Therefore $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^l \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some ρ and for any fixed l . Hence $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k} < \infty$ for $\ell = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k$. But $\|\mu_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\| = \left|\frac{\mu_{k(n)}}{\lambda_{k(n)}}\right| \frac{1}{n} > n$, implies that $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_{k(n)}/l} > 1$ for $\rho > 0$ and for some fixed $l \leq p_k$, or $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_{k(n)}x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_{k(n)}}$ > 1

Hence $\bar{x} \notin \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$, which is a contradiction.

Similar proof can be given for the case when we take $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf t_k = 0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.9: $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p}) \subset \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k t_k < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k t_k < \infty \text{ with } l = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k.$$

Proof: Sufficiency is straightforward. On the other hand suppose that

$\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p}) \subset \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ but either $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_k t_k = \infty$ or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k t_k = \infty$. Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k t_k = \infty$. Then there exists a sequence $(k(n))$, $k(n) \geq 1$ such that for each $n \geq 1$, $|\lambda_{k(n)}| > n|\mu_{k(n)}|$. Now define the bilateral sequence $\bar{x} = (x_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \mu_{k(n)}^{-1} n^{-2} z & \text{if } k = k(n), \quad n \geq 1 \text{ and,} \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $z \in X$ and $\|z\| = 1$. Then $\bar{x} \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ since $\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| = \frac{1}{n^2}$ i.e., $\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^l \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed $l = \inf_k p_k$. Hence

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k} < \infty \text{ for } l = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k.$$

But $\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\| = \left|\frac{\lambda_{k(n)}}{\mu_{k(n)}}\right| \cdot n^2 > n$, implies that $M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right) > 1$. Therefore

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_{k(n)} x_{k(n)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_{k(n)}} > 1 \text{ for arbitrary large } n. \text{ Hence } \bar{x} \notin \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}), \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

Similar proof can be given for the case when we take $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup t_k = \infty$. This completes the proof.

On combining above two Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 we easily get:

Theorem 2.10: $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}) = \ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\mu}, \bar{p})$ if and only if

$$0 < \liminf_{k \rightarrow -\infty} t_k < \limsup_{k \rightarrow -\infty} t_k < \infty \\ \text{and } 0 < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k < \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k < \infty.$$

3. LINEARITY

As far as linear space structures of $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ and $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ are concerned, here also we take co-ordinate-wise addition and scalar multiplication in what follows for $\bar{p} = (p_k)_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ we shall use the notation $H = \max(1, \sup_k p_k)$.

Theorem 3.1: $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ forms a linear space over the field \mathbb{C} .

Proof: Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ therefore there exist some positive ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_1}\right)\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow -\infty$ as well as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k y_k\|}{\rho_2}\right)\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow -\infty$ as well as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In order to prove the result, we need to find some $\rho_3 > 0$ such that,

$$\left(M\left(\frac{\|\alpha \lambda_k x_k + \beta \lambda_k y_k\|}{\rho_3}\right)\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as well as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consider $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$ i.e., $\frac{|\alpha|}{\rho_3} \leq \frac{1}{2\rho_1}$ and $\frac{|\beta|}{\rho_3} \leq \frac{1}{2\rho_2}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\alpha \lambda_k x_k + \beta \lambda_k y_k\|}{\rho_3}\right)\right)^{p_k} &\leq \left(M\left(\frac{\|\alpha \lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_3} + \frac{\|\beta \lambda_k y_k\|}{\rho_3}\right)\right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{2\rho_1} + \frac{\|\lambda_k y_k\|}{2\rho_2}\right)\right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_1}\right) + M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k y_k\|}{\rho_2}\right)\right)^{p_k} \\ &< \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_1}\right) + M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k y_k\|}{\rho_2}\right)\right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq c \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_1}\right)\right)^{p_k} + c \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k y_k\|}{\rho_2}\right)\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow -\infty$ as well as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

where $c = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$. This proves that $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ forms a linear space over \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 3.2: $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ forms a linear space over the field \mathbb{C} .

Proof: We can prove the theorem on the lines of Theorem 3.1.

4. PARANORMED SPACE STRUCTURE

We define

$$(4.1) \quad P(\bar{x}) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$(4.2) \quad Q(\bar{x}) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \left(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$$

where $H = (1, \sup_k p_k)$

Theorem 4.1: $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ is a total paranormed space with paranorm defined by (4.1).

Proof:(i) Clearly $P(\bar{x}) = P(-\bar{x})$.

(ii) $P(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) \leq P(\bar{x}) + P(\bar{y})$ follows by putting $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in Theorem 3.1 .

(iii) If $\bar{x} = \theta$ then $P(\theta) = 0$ follows easily since

$$\sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} = 0 \text{ for all } k$$

Conversely suppose $P(\bar{x}) = 0$ then

$$\inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} = 0$$

In such a case, for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\rho_\epsilon, 0 < \rho_\epsilon < \epsilon$ such that $\sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_\epsilon} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\epsilon} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq \sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho_\epsilon} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1.$$

Suppose, $x_{n_m} \neq 0$, for some m. Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $\left(\frac{\|x_{n_m}\|}{\epsilon} \right) \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\sup_m \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_m x_{n_m}\|}{\epsilon} \right) \right)^{p_m/H} \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $x_{n_m} = 0$ for each m .

(iv) Finally, we prove that scalar multiplication is continuous. Let μ be any number. By definition,

$$P(\mu\bar{x}) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\mu\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}.$$

$$\text{Then } P(\mu\bar{x}) = \inf \left\{ (\mu r)^{p_n/H} : \sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{r} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\},$$

where $r = \frac{\rho}{\mu}$. Since $|\mu|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\mu|^H)$. Therefore $|\mu|^{p_k/H} \leq (\max(1, |\mu|^H))^{1/H}$.

$$\text{Hence, } P(\mu\bar{x}) \leq (\max(1, |\mu|^H))^{1/H} \inf \left\{ (r)^{p_n/H} : \sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{r} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \\ = (\max(1, |\mu|^H))^{1/H} P(\bar{x}),$$

which converges to zero as $P(\bar{x})$ converges to zero in $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. Now suppose $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\bar{x} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, let N be a positive integer such that $\left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}(-N, N)$ for some $\rho > 0$. This implies that $\sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}(-N, N)$.

Let $0 < |\mu| < 1$, then by convexity of M, we get

$$\left(M \left(\frac{\|\mu\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} < \left(|\mu| M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} < \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^H, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}(-N, N).$$

Since M is continuous everywhere in $[0, \infty)$, then $f(t) = \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}(-N, N)$ is continuous at 0. So there is $1 > \delta > 0$ such that $|f(t)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $0 < t < \delta$. Let K be such that $|\mu_n| < \delta$ for all $n > K$, then for $n > K$

$$\left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_n \lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k/H} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}(-N, N),$$

Hence $\sup_k \left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_n \lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k/H} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}(-N, N)$. Thus

$$\sup_k \left(M\left(\frac{\|\mu_n \lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k/H} < \epsilon, \quad \text{for } n > K, k \in \mathbb{Z}(-N, N).$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2: Let $1 \leq p_k < \infty$. Then $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ is a complete paranormed space with paranorm

$$P(\bar{x}) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \sup_k \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1, \text{ for some } \rho \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Proof: Let $(\bar{x}^{(i)})$ be a Cauchy sequence in $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. Let r and x_0 be fixed positive real numbers with $M\left(\frac{rx_0}{2}\right) > 1$. Then for each $\frac{\epsilon}{rx_0} > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that

$$(4.3) \quad P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)}) < \frac{\epsilon}{rx_0} \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq N.$$

Using definition of paranorm, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \sup_k \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k^{(j)}\|}{P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)})}\right)\right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq N, \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus

$$\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k^{(j)}\|}{P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)})}\right)\right)^{p_k} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq N \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $1 \leq p_k < \infty$, it implies that

$$\left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k^{(j)}\|}{P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)})}\right)\right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1 \text{ and for all } i, j \geq N.$$

But $M\left(\frac{rx_0}{2}\right) > 1$. Therefore

$$M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k^{(j)}\|}{P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)})}\right) < M\left(\frac{rx_0}{2}\right).$$

But M is non-decreasing therefore

$$\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k^{(j)}\|}{P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)})} < \frac{rx_0}{2}$$

$$\text{or,} \quad \|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k^{(j)}\| < \frac{rx_0}{2} \cdot [P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)})]$$

$$\text{or,} \quad \|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k^{(j)}\| < \frac{rx_0}{2} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{rx_0} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Hence $(x_k^{(i)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in X for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore convergent. But X is complete, therefore $x_k^{(i)} \rightarrow x_k$ (say) as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let us choose $\rho > 0$ such that $P((\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)})) < \rho < \epsilon$ for all $i, j \geq N$. Since M is non decreasing we have by (4.4)

$$\sup_k \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_k^{(j)}\|}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k/H} \leq \sup_k \left(M\left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k^{(j)}\|}{P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}^{(j)})}\right)\right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1,$$

for all $i, j \geq N$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ and using continuity of M , we get

$$\sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_k^{(j)}\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}(-N, N).$$

Thus $\sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}(-N, N)$.

Taking infimum of such ρ 's, we get

$$P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \sup_k \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k^{(i)} - \lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k/H} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i \geq N \right\} \\ \leq \rho < \epsilon.$$

Hence $P(\bar{x}^{(i)} - \bar{x}) < \epsilon$ for all $i \geq N$.

Since $(\bar{x}^{(i)}) \in c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ and M is continuous, it follows that $\bar{x} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.3: $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ is a total paranormed space with

$$Q(\bar{x}) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \left(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$$

where $H = (1, \sup_k p_k)$.

Proof: The theorem can be proved on the lines of Theorem 4.1

Theorem 4.4: Let $1 \leq p_k < \infty$. Then $\ell(\mathbb{Z}, X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ is a complete paranormed space with respect to paranorm

$$Q(\bar{x}) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \left(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(M \left(\frac{\|\lambda_k x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$$

Proof: We can prove this theorem on the lines of Theorem 4.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Krasnoselskii, M. A and Rutitsky, Y. B. (1961). Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces. Groningen, Netherlands.
- [2] Lindberg, K. (1973). On Subspaces of Orlicz Sequence Spaces. *Studia Math.*, 45:119{146.
- [3] Lindenstrauss, J and Tzafriri, L. (1971). On Orlicz Sequence Spaces. *Israel Jour. Math.*, 10(3): 379 -390.
- [4] Lindenstrauss, J and Tzafriri, L. (1972). On Orlicz Sequence Spaces II. *Israel Jour. Math.*, 11(4) :355 - 379.
- [5] Lindenstrauss, J and Tzafriri, L. (1973). On Orlicz Sequence Spaces III. *Israel Jour. Math.*, 14(4): 368 -389.
- [6] Lindenstrauss, J and Tzafriri, L. (1977). *Classical Banach Spaces*. Springer- Verlag, New York/ Berlin.
- [7] Simon, N. C and Marko, L. W. (2011). Limit Operators, Collective Compactness and the Spectral Theory of Infinite Matrices. *Memoirs, Amer. Math. Soc.*, 210.
- [8] Srivastava, J. K and Agrawal, R. (2012). Banach Space X-Valued Bilateral Sequence Spaces $c_0(\mathbb{Z}, X, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ and $C(\mathbb{Z}, X, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$. Submitted for Publication.
- [9] Wilansky, A. (1978). *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*. McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc. New York.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared