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#### Abstract

$\boldsymbol{F}_{\text {or }} i=1, \ldots, n$, suppose that $\mathrm{B}_{i}$ is Banach space of analytic functions on a bounded domain $G_{i}$ in the complex plane, and $G_{i} \cap G_{j}=\phi$ for $i \neq j$. Let $M_{i}$ denote the operator of multiplication by Z on $\mathrm{B}_{i}$. It is shown that the commutatnt and the double commutatnt of $M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}$ are equal; furthermore, the commutant of $M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}$ split. That is, $\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}=\left\{M_{1}\right\}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\{M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}$. Also, we prove that the direct sum of an upper or lower triangular operator on $\mathrm{B}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ and another one on $\mathrm{B}_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ split. ${ }^{1} 2000$ Mathematics subject Classification: 47B38.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G$ be bounded domain in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that B is a reflexive Banach space of analytic functions on $G$. Denote the operator of multiplication by $Z$ on B by $M_{Z}$. The operator $M_{Z}$ and many properties of this operator have been studied in literature (see, for example, [1], [3-12]).

A complex-valued function $\phi$ on $G$ is called a multiplier of B if $\phi \mathrm{B} \subseteq \mathrm{B}$. The set of all multipliers will be denoted by $M(B)$. It is known that $M(\mathrm{~B}) \subseteq \mathrm{B} \cap H^{\infty}(G)$, whenever $H^{\infty}(G)$ is the space of bounded analytic functions on $G$ with the supremum norm. ([2, Proposition 3]). Each multiplier $\phi$ of B determines a multiplication operator $M_{\phi}$ defined by $M_{\phi} f=\phi f$, for all $f \in \mathrm{~B}$. For every scalar $\lambda$, let us denote by $e_{\lambda}$ the functional of evaluation at $\lambda$ on B , defined by $e_{\lambda} f:=<f, e_{\lambda}>=f(\lambda)$. It is well known that $M_{\phi}^{*} e_{\lambda}=\phi(\lambda) e_{\lambda}$.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

If $T$ is a bounded linear operator on a Banach space B , the commutant of $T$, denoted by $\{T\}^{\prime}$, consists of all bounded linear operators on B which commute with $T$. That is, $\{T\}^{\prime}=\{S \in L(\mathrm{~B}): S T=T S\}$. Let $\{T\}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the double commutant of $T$;i.e., $\left\{\{T\}^{\prime}\right\}^{\prime}$. In [9] and [10], the commutant of the direct sum of some operators on certain Banach spaces of functions are characterized. For $i=1,2, \cdots, n$, suppose that $\mathrm{B}_{i}$ is a Banach space of analytic functions on the bounded domains $G_{i}$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let $M_{i}$ be the operator of multiplication by $z$ on $B_{i}$ defined by $\left(M_{i} f\right)(z)=z f(z)$, for every $f \in \mathrm{~B}_{i}$, such that $\sigma\left(M_{i}\right)=\overline{G_{i}}$, and dim $\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{i}-\lambda\right)^{*}=1$ for every $\lambda \in G_{i}$.

It follows from [12] that $\left\{M_{i}\right\}^{\prime}=\left\{M_{\phi}: \phi \in M\left(\mathrm{~B}_{i}\right)\right\}$, for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. The matrix of every operator $S$ acting on the Banach space $\mathrm{B}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{~B}_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{~B}_{n}$ can be written as $S=\left(S_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, where the operator $S_{i j}: \mathrm{B}_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{i}, i, j=1,2, \cdots, n$ is defined by $S_{i j}=\left.P_{i} S\right|_{B_{j}}$ in which $P_{i}$ is the projection from $B_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{n}$ onto $B_{i}$.

An operator $X \in L\left(B_{2}, B_{1}\right)$ is said to intertwines the operators $T_{1} \in L\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $T_{2} \in L\left(B_{2}\right)$ if and only if $T_{1} X=X T_{2}$.

Theorem 1. If $G_{i} \cap G_{j}=\phi$, for all $i, j=1, \cdots, n$ with $i \neq j$, then the algebra $\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}$ splits; that is,

$$
\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}=\left\{M_{1}\right\}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\{M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime} & =\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime} \\
& =\left\{M_{\phi_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{\phi_{n}}: \phi_{i} \in M\left(B_{i}\right), i=1, \cdots, n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Suppose that $S=\left(S_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}$. The matrix of the operator $M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}$ is a diagonal matrix $M=\left(M_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ where $M_{i i}=M_{i}$, and $M_{i j}=0$ for $i \neq j$. Then the operator $S_{i j}$ intertwines $M_{i}$ and $M_{j}$; i.e., $M_{i} S_{i j}=S_{i j} M_{j}$. Consequently, $S_{i i} \in\left\{M_{i}\right\}^{\prime}=\left\{M_{\phi}: \phi \in M\left(B_{i}\right)\right\}$. Also, $S_{i j}^{*}$ intertwines $M_{i}^{*}$ and $M_{j}^{*}$. So, if $\lambda \in G_{i}$ then $M_{j}^{*} S_{i j}^{*} e_{\lambda}=\lambda S_{i j}^{*} e_{\lambda}$. If $i \neq j$ and $S_{i j}^{*} e_{\lambda} \neq 0$, then $\lambda \in \sigma\left(M_{j}^{*}\right)=\sigma\left(M_{j}\right)=\overline{G_{j}}$, which is a contradiction, because $G_{i} \cap G_{j}=\phi$. Thus, if $i \neq j$, then for all $\lambda \in G_{i}$, we see that $S_{i j}^{*} e_{\lambda}=0$.

Now, since the linear span of $\left\{e_{\lambda}: \lambda \in G_{i}\right\}$ is dense in $B_{i}^{*}$, we conclude that $S_{i j}^{*}=0$, and so $S_{i j}=0$, for $i \neq j$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime} & =\left\{\hat{M} \quad \phi_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{\phi_{n}}: \phi_{i} \in M\left(B_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{M_{1}\right\}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\{M_{n}\right\}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that $\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \neq M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}$, first note that $\left\{M_{i}\right\}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq\left\{M_{i}\right\}^{\prime}$, for $i=1, \cdots, n$, and $\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}$.

Let $X \in\left\{M_{i}\right\}^{\prime}$. Then $X=M_{\psi}$, for some $\psi \in M\left(B_{i}\right)$, which implies that $M_{\psi}$ commutes with $M_{\phi}$ for all $\phi \in M\left(B_{i}\right)$; thus $M_{\psi} \in\left\{M_{\phi}: \phi \in M\left(B_{i}\right)\right\}^{\prime}=\left\{M_{i}\right\}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, $\left\{M_{i}\right\}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{M_{i}\right\}^{\prime}$, for $i=1, \cdots, n$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime} & =\left\{M_{1}\right\}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\{M_{n}\right\}^{\prime} \\
& =\left\{M_{1}\right\}^{\prime \prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\{M_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \subseteq\left\{\left\{M_{1}\right\}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\{M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}\right\}^{\prime} \\
& =\left\{\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime}\right\}^{\prime} \\
& =\left\{M_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1. Suppose that $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\varnothing$, and $X$ and $Y$ are operators in $L\left(B_{2}, B_{1}\right)$ such that $M_{1} X-X M_{2}=M_{1} Y-Y M_{2}$. Then $X=Y$. In particular, if $X$ intertwines $M_{1}$ and $\quad M_{2}$ then $X=0$.

Proof. Take an arbitrary $\lambda \in G_{1}$. From the hypothesis, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
X{ }^{*} M_{1}^{*} e_{\lambda}-M_{2}^{*} X{ }^{*} e_{\lambda}=Y{ }^{*} M_{1}^{*} e_{\lambda}-M_{2}^{*} Y^{*} e_{\lambda} ; \\
\left(\lambda-M_{2}^{*}\right)\left(X{ }^{*} e_{\lambda}\right)=\left(\lambda-M_{2}^{*}\right)\left(Y{ }^{*} e_{\lambda}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

or equivalently,
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This along with the invertibility of $\lambda-M_{2}^{*}$ imply that $X{ }^{*} e_{\lambda}=Y{ }^{*} e_{\lambda}$. But since the linear span of $\left\{e_{\lambda}: \lambda \in G_{1}\right\}$ is dense in $B_{1}^{*}$, it follows that $X=Y$.

An operator $T$ acting on the Banach space $B_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{n}$ is called upper triangular, if $T_{i j}=0$ for $i>j$; that is, every entry below the main diagonal is zero. Furthermore, $T$ is called lower triangular if $T_{i j}=0$ for $i<j$; that is every entry above the main diagonal is zero. In the next result, we investigate when the direct sum of certain upper triangular and lower triangular operators split.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\varnothing$. Let $T$ and $S$ be upper or lower triangular operators, respectively, on $\underbrace{B_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{1}}_{n \text {-times }}$ and $\underbrace{B_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{2}}_{n \text {-times }}$ with diagonals $T_{i i}=M_{1}$, and $S_{i i}=M_{2}, i=1, \cdots, n$. Then $\{T \oplus S\}^{\prime}=\{T\}^{\prime} \oplus\{S\}^{\prime}$.

Proof. We only prove the theorem, where $T$ and $S$ are both upper triangular operators. The other cases are proved by similar arguments.

Obviously, $\{T\}^{\prime} \oplus\{S\}^{\prime} \subseteq\{T \oplus S\}^{\prime}$. To show that $\{T \oplus S\}^{\prime} \subseteq\{T\}^{\prime} \oplus\{S\}^{\prime}$, let $X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}C & E \\ F & D\end{array}\right]$ commutes with $T \oplus S$; that is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
T & 0 \\
0 & S
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C & E \\
F & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C & E \\
F & D
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
T & 0 \\
0 & S
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $T C=C T, T E=E S, S F=F T$, and $S D=D S$. So it is sufficient to show that $E$ and $F$ are the zero operators. Since $T E=E S$, by the matrix representation of operators, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
M_{1} & T_{12} & T_{13} & \cdots & T_{1 n} \\
0 & M_{1} & T_{23} & \cdots & T_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
E_{11} & E_{12} & \cdots & E_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
E_{n 1} & E_{n 2} & \cdots & E_{n n} \\
& & \\
=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
E_{11} & E_{12} & \cdots & E_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
E_{n 1} & E_{n 2} & \cdots & E_{n n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
M_{2} & S_{12} & S_{13} & \cdots & S_{1 n} \\
0 & M_{2} & S_{23} & \cdots & S_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{2}
\end{array}\right] ;
\end{array}, ;\right. \text {; }}
\end{gathered}
$$

so the following relations are obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (T E)_{n 1}=M_{1} E_{n 1}=(E S)_{n 1}=E_{n 1} M_{2}, \\
& (T E)_{n 2}=M_{1} E_{n 2}=(E S)_{n 2}=E_{n 1} S_{12}+E_{n 2} M_{2} \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& (T E)_{n n}=M_{1} E_{n n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{n i} S_{i n}+E_{n n} M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, applying the preceding lemma, we conclude that $E_{n i}=0$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$. The second step is to show that $E_{(n-1) i}=0$, for $i=1, \cdots, n$. Similar to the above computations, we obtain
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$$
\begin{aligned}
(T E)_{(n-1) 1} & =M_{1} E_{(n-1) 1}+T_{(n-1) n} E_{n 1}=(E S)_{(n-1) 1}=E_{(n-1) 1} M_{2} \\
(T E)_{(n-1) 2} & =M_{1} E_{(n-1) 2}+T_{(n-1) n} E_{n 2}=E_{(n-1) 1} S_{12}+E_{(n-1) 2} M_{2} \\
& \vdots \\
(T E)_{(n-1) n} & =M_{1} E_{(n-1) n}+T_{(n-1) n} E_{n 2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{(n-1) i} S_{i n}+E_{(n-1) n} M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, again applying the preceding lemma, we observe that the $(n-1)$ th row of $E$ is zero. Continuing the above process, every row of the matrix representation of $E$ and so $E$ becomes zero.

Since $S F=F T$, by the same way, we can show that $F=0$.
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