International Journal of Mathematical Archive-3(7), 2012, 2699-2703

ON SOME COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN FUZZY METRIC SPACES

Rajesh Shrivastava

Head, Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science College, Benazeer Bhopal, (M. P.), India

Rajendra Kumar Dubey

Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science P. G. College, Rewa, (M. P.), India

Pankaj Tiwari*

Vidhyapeeth Instt. of Science & Technology, Bhopal (M. P.), India

(Received on: 03-07-12; Revised & Accepted on: 20-07-12)

ABSTRACT

T his paper present some common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces under various conditions.

Keywords: Fixed point, occasionally weakly compatible mappings, fuzzy metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy sets introduced by Prof. Lofti Zadeh [11] in 1965 at the University of California plays an important role in topology and analysis. Since then, there were many authors who studied the fuzzy sets with applications. Espectially, Kromosil and J. Michalek [13] put forward a new concept of fuzzy metric spaces. A. George and P. Veermani [2] revised the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norm in 1994. As a result of many fixed point theorem for various forms of mapping are obtained in fuzzy metric spaces. Recently, many researchers have proved common fixed point theorems involving fuzzy sets. Pant [15] introduced the new concept of reciprocally continuous mappings and established some common fixed point theorems. Vasuki [16] and B. Singh and et al. [4] also introduced some fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces for R-weakly commuting and compatible mappings respectively.

Balasubramaniam et al. [14] proved the open problem of Rhoades [3] on the existence of a contractive definition which generates a fixed point but does not force the mapping to be continuous at the fixed point, posses an affirmative answer. Pant and Jha [17] proved an analogue of the result given by Balasubramaniam et al. [14]. Recent work on fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space can be viewed in references [6, 18, 19, 20].

The purpose of this paper is to prove some common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space for more general commutative condition i.e., occasionally weakly compatible mappings.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

We recall the definitions and results that will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1: A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in [0, 1].

Definition 2.2: A triangular norm * (shortly t- norm) is a binary operation on the unit interval [0, 1] such that for all a, b, c, d \in [0, 1] the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) * is commutative and associative;

```
(b) * is continuous;
```

```
(c) a * 1 = a, \forall a \in [0,1];
```

(d) $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$.

Corresponding author: Pankaj Tiwari* Vidhyapeeth Instt. of Science & Technology, Bhopal (M. P.) India Example 2.3: Two typical examples of continuous t-norm are

(a)
$$a * b = ab$$
, and
(b) $a * b = min(a, b)$

Definition 2.4 [2]: A 3-tuple (X, M, *) is said to be a fuzzy metric space, if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous tnorm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all x, y, $z \in X$ and s, t > 0:

 $\begin{array}{l} (f1) \ M(x,y,0) > 0; \\ (f2) \ M(x,y,t) = 1, \forall \ t > 0, if \ and \ only \ if \ x = y; \\ (f3) \ M(x,y,t) = M(y,x,t); \\ (f4) \ M(x,y,t) * M(y,z,s) \le M(x,z,t+s); \\ (f5) \ M(x,y,*): (0,\infty) \to (0,1] is \ continuous, \end{array}$

where M(x, y, t) denote the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t. Then M is called a fuzzy metric on X.

Example 2.5 [2]: Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define $a * b = ab, \forall a, b \in [0,1]$ and let M_d be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as follows:

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$$

Then $(X, M_d, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric induced by a metric d as the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

Definition 2.6 [11]: Let (*X*, *M*,*) be a fuzzy metric space. Then

(a) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to converges to x in X if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each t > 0, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $M(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon \forall n \ge n_0$.

(b) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each t > 0, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that

 $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon \forall n, m \ge n_0.$

(c) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Definition 2.7: Two mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) into itself are said to be weakly commuting if

$$M(fgx, gfx, t) \ge M(fx, gx, t), \ \forall x \in X \ and \ t > 0.$$

Definition 2.8: Two mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) into itself are R- weakly commuting provided there exists some positive real number R such that

$$M(fgx, gfx, t) \ge M\left(fx, gx, \frac{t}{R}\right), \forall x \in X and t > 0.$$

Definition 2.9 [8]: Two self maps f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are called re-ciprocally continuous on X if $\lim_{n\to\infty} fgx_n = fx$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} gfx_n = gx$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = x$$
 for some x in X .

Proposition 1: In a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *), if $a * a \ge a$ for $a \in [0,1]$ then $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0,1]$.

Definition 2.10: Two self mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are called compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} g x_n = x \text{ for some } x \text{ in } X.$$

Definition 2.11 [9]: An element $x \in X$ is called a common fixed point of the mappings

$$F: X \times X \to X$$
 and $g: X \to X$ if $x = g(x) = F(x, x)$.

Rajesh Shrivastava, Rajendra Kumar Dubey and Pankaj Tiwari* / On Some Common Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces/ IJMA- 3(7), July-2012, Page: 2699-2703

Definition 2.12 [5]: Two self maps A and B of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are called weak-compatible (or coincidentally commuting) if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e., if Ax = Bx for some $x \in X$ then ABx = BAx.

Definition 2.13 [8]: A pair of maps S and T is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.

The concept occasionally weakly compatible is introduced by M. Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [1]. It is stated as follows.

Definition 2.14: Two self maps f and g of a set X are occasionally weakly compatible (owc) if there is a point x in X which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

A. Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [1] shown that occasionally weakly is weakly compatible but converse is not true.

Example 2.15: Let R is the usual metric space. Define $f, g: R \to R$ be f(x) = 3x and $g(x) = x^2, \forall x \in R$.

Then fx = gx, for x = 0,3 but f g(0) = g f(0), and $f g(3) \neq T g(3)$, f and g are occasionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

Example 2.16: Let R is the usual metric space. Define $f, g: R \to R$ be f(x) = 9x and $g(x) = x^3, \forall x \in R$. Then fx = gx, for x = 0,3 but fg(0) = gf(0), and $gf(3) \neq fg(3)$, because

 $fg(3) = f(27) = 9 \times 27 = 243$ and $gf(3) = g(3 \times 9) = g(27) = (27)^3 = 19683$. We see that $gf(3) \neq fg(3)$.

Thus f and g are occasionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

Lemma 2.17 [8]: Let X be a set, f, g owc self maps of X. If f and g have a unique point of coincidence,

w = fx = gx, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

The following theorem was proved by Balasubramaniam et al. [14]:

Theorem 1 [16]: Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let f and g be R weakly commuting self mappings of X satisfying the conditions

$$M(fx, fy, t) \ge r(M(gx, gy, t)),$$

where $r: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous function such that r(t) > t for each $0 \le t \le 1$ and r(t) = 1.

The sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X are such that $x_n \to x$, $y_n \to y$, t > 0 implies $M(x_n, y_n, t) \to M(x, y, t)$. If the range of g contains the range of f and either f or g is continuous, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of X. Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are owc. If there exists a point $q \in (0,1)$, $\forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0. Such that

 $M(Ax, By, qt) \ge \min\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t), [M(Ax, Ty, t) + M(By, Sx, t)]\}$ (3.1)

then there exists a unique point of $w \in T$, such that Aw = Sw = w and a unique point $z \in X$, such that Bz = Tz = z. Moreover, z = w, so that there is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Proof: Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) be owc, so there are points $x, y \in X$ such that Ax = Sx and By = Ty, we claim that Ax = By. *If not*, by inequality (3.1) we have

 $M(Ax, By, qt) \ge \min\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t), [M(Ax, Ty, t) + M(By, Sx, t)]\}$

$$= \min\{\mathbb{M}(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, Ax, t), M(By, By, t), [M(Ax, By, t) + M(By, Ax, t)]\}$$

= M(Ax, By, t).

Rajesh Shrivastava, Rajendra Kumar Dubey and Pankaj Tiwari* / On Some Common Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces/ IJMA- 3(7), July-2012, Page: 2699-2703

Thus we have Ax = By, *i.e.* Ax = Sx = By = Ty. Suppose that there is a another point z such that Az = Sz then by (3.1) we have Az = Sz = By = Ty, so Ax = Az and w = Ax = Sx is the unique point of coincidence of A and S.

Similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that. z = Bz = Tz. Using lemma 2.17, we get *w* is the only common fixed point of *A*, and *S*.

Assume that $w \neq z$. We have

$$\begin{split} M(w, z, qt) &= M(Aw, Bw, qt) \geq \min\{M(Sw, Tz, t), M(Sw, Az, t), M(Bz, Tz, t), [M(Aw, Tz, t) + M(Bz, Sw, t)]\}\\ &= \min\{M(w, z, t), M(w, z, t), M(z, z, t), [M(w, z, t) + M(z, w, t)]\}\\ &= M(w, z, t). \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have z = w by Lemma 2.17 and z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

The uniqueness of the fixed point holds from (3.1).

Theorem 3.2 Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of X. Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are owc. If there exists a point $q \in (0,1)$, $\forall x, y \in X$. Such that

 $M(Ax, By, qt) \ge \delta(\min\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t), [M(Ax, Ty, t) + M(By, Sx, t)]\})$ (3.2)

such that $\delta(t) > t$ for all 0 < t < 1, and $\delta: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$, then there exists a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3 Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of X. Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are owc. If there exists a point $q \in (0,1)$, such that

 $M(Ax, By, qt) \ge \delta(M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t), [M(Ax, Ty, t) + M(By, Sx, t)]\}$ (3.3)

 $\forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \delta: [0,1]^5 \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ such that } \delta(t,1,1,t,t) > t \text{ for all } 0 < t < 1, then there exists a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.$

Proof: Let the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) be owc, there are points $x, y \in X$ such that Ax = Sx and By = Ty, we claim that Ax = By. If not, by inequality (3.3) we have

$$\begin{split} M(Ax, By, qt) &\geq \delta\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(By, Ty, t), [M(Ax, Ty, t) + M(By, Sx, t)]\} \\ &= \delta\{M(Ax, By, t), M(Ax, Ax, t), M(By, By, t), [M(Ax, By, t) + M(By, Ax, t)]\} \\ &= \delta\{M(Ax, By, t), 1, 1, M(Ax, By, t), M(By, Ax, t)\} \\ &> M(Ax, By, t). \end{split}$$

A contradiction, therefore Ax = By, *i.e.*, Ax = Sx = By = Ty. Suppose that there is a another point z such that Az = Sz then by (3.3) we have Az = Sz = By = Ty, so Ax = Az and w = Ax = Tx is the unique point of coincidence of A and T. By Lemma 2.17 w is a unique common fixed point of A, and S. Similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that. z = Bz = Tz. Thus z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T, the uniqueness of the fixed point holds from (3.3).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are thankful to Prof. B. P. Gupta, Director of Vidhyapeeth Institute of Science & Technology, Bhopal (M. P.) India, for his kind cooperation and guidance to prepare this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad, "Generalized I-Nonexpansive Selfmaps and Invariant Approximations", *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* Vol. 24, No. 5, pp. (2008), 867-876.

© 2012, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Rajesh Shrivastava, Rajendra Kumar Dubey and Pankaj Tiwari* / On Some Common Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces/ IJMA- 3(7), July-2012, Page: 2699-2703

[2] A. George, P. Veeramani, "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64 (1994), 395-399.

[3] B.E. Rhoades, "Contractive definitions and continuity", Contemporary Math. 72 (1988), 233-245.

[4] B. Singh and M. S. Chauhan, "Common fixed point of compatible maps in fuzzy metric space", *Fuzzy Sets and System* 115 (2000), 471-475.

[5] B. Singh & S. Jain, "Semi-compatible and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces", *Chungcheong Math. Soc*, 18 (2005), 1-22.

[6] C. T. Aage and J. N. Salunke, "On Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., Vol. 3, No. 2 (2010), 123-131.

[7] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed Point Theorems for Occasionally Weakly compatible Mappings", *Fixed Point Theory*, Volume 7, No. 2 (2006) 287-296.

[8] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed Point Theorems for Occasionally Weakly compatible Mappings", Erratum, *Fixed Point Theory*, Volume 9, No. 1 (2008) 383-384.

[9] J.-X. Fang, "Common fixed point theorems of compatible and weakly compatible maps in menger spaces" *Non linear analysis theory, methods & applications* Vol.71, no 5-6 (2009), 1833-1843.

[10] K.S. Dersanambika and Aswathy M.R., 'Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces' *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, Vol. 6, no. 22 (2011), 1079–1089.

[11] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control, Vol. 8 (1965), 338-353.

[12] M. Grabiec, "Fixed points in fuzzy metric spces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27 (1988), 385-389.

[13] O. Kramosil and J. Michalek, "Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces", Kybernetika, 11 (1975), 326-334.

[14] P. Balasubramaniam, S. Muralisankar, R.P. Pant, "Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space", *J. Fuzzy Math.* 10 (2) (2002), 379-384.

[15] R.P. Pant, "Common fixed points of four mappings", Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 90 (1998), 281-286.

[16] R. Vasuki, "Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces", *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 30 (1999), 419-423.

[17] R.P. Pant, K. Jha, "A remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space", J. Fuzzy Math, 12(2) (2004), 433-437.

[18] Seong Hoon Cho, "On common fixed point in fuzzy metric space", Int. Math. Forum, 1, 10 (2006), 471-479.

[19] Servet Kutukcu, Sushil Sharma and Hanifi Tokgoz, "A Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 1, no. 18 (2007), 861-872.

[20] S. Kutukcu, "A fixed point theorem for contraction type mappings in Menger spaces", Am. J. Appl. Sci, 4(6) (2007), 371-373.

[21] R. Shrivastava, and M. Kohli, "Some common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mapping in fuzzy metric spaces", *Int. Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 2(1) (2011), 115-117.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared