International Journal of Mathematical Archive-3(7), 2012, 2563-2567
IJMA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

SOME RESULTS BASED ON RELATIVE DEFECTS OF SPECIAL TYPE OF DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

Sanjib Kumar Datta[1*] & Sudipta Kumar Pal[2]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, P. O. - Kalyani, Dist.-Nadia, PIN-741235, West Bengal, India*

[2]*Uttar Dalkhola High School, P.O.-Dalkhola, Dist. - Uttar Dinajpur, PIN-733201, West Bengal, India*

(Received on: 16-06-12; Revised & Accepted on: 10-07-12)

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to compare the relative Valiron defect with the relative Nevanlinna defect of special type of differential polynomials generated by transcendental meromorphic functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): *30D35, 30D30.*

Keywords and phrases: *Meromorphic function, relative Nevanlinna defect, relative Valiron defect, special type of differential polynomial.*

1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function defined in the open complex plane C. A monomial in f is an expression of the form $M[f]=(f)^{n_0}(f^{(1)})^{n_1}\dots(f^{(k)})^{n_k}$ where $n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$ are non negative integers. $\gamma_M = n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k$ and $\Gamma_M = n_0 + 2n_1 + \dots + (k+1)n_k$ are respectively called the degree and weight of the monomial.

If $M_1[f], M_2[f], \dots, M_n[f]$ denote monomials in f, then $Q[f] = a_1 M_1[f] + a_2 M_2[f] + \cdots + a_n M_n[f]$, where $a_i \neq 0$ (i=1,2,…,n) is called a differential polynomial generated by f of degree $\gamma_Q = Max\,\{\gamma_{M_j} : 1 \le j \le n\}$ and weight $\Gamma_Q = Max\{\Gamma_{M_j} : 1 \le j \le n\}$.

Also we call numbers $\underline{\gamma_Q} = \min\limits_{1\le j\le n} \gamma_{M_j}$ and k (the order of the highest derivative of f) the lower degree and the order of $Q[f]$ respectively. If $\underline{\gamma_Q} = \gamma_Q$, $Q[f]$ is called a homogeneous differential polynomial.

For $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$, the quantity

$$\delta(a;f) = 1 - \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,a;f)}{T(r,f)} = \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,a;f)}{T(r,f)}$$

is called the Nevanlinna's deficiency of the value 'a'. Similarly the Valiron defect of 'a' is defined as

$$\Delta(a;f) = 1 - \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,a;f)}{T(r,f)} = \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,a;f)}{T(r,f)}.$$

The term $\delta_R^{(k)}(a;f) = 1 - \limsup\limits_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,a;f^{(k)})}{T(r,f)}$ for $k = 1,2,3,\dots$ is called the relative Nevanlinna's defect of 'a' with respect to $f^{(k)}$. In a like manner $\Delta_R^{(k)}(a;f) = 1 - \liminf\limits_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,a;f^{(k)})}{T(r,f)}$ for $k = 1,2,3,\dots$ is called the relative Valiron defect of 'a' with respect to $f^{(k)}$. Xiong [3] has shown various relations between the usual defects and relative defects of meromorphic functions. Following Datta and Mondal [1], in the paper we consider $F = f^n Q[f]$, $Q[f]$ being a differential polynomial in f and $n = 1,2,3,\dots$ and compare the relative Valiron defect with the relative Nevanlinna defect of F.

Corresponding author: *Sanjib Kumar Datta[1*]*
[1]*Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, P.O.-Kalyani, Dist.-Nadia, PIN-741235, West Bengal, India*

The term $S(r,f)$denotes any quantity satisfying $S(r,f)=o\{T(r,f)\}$ as $r\to\infty$ through all values of r if $f$is of finite order and except possibly for a set of r of finite linear measure otherwise. We do not explain the standard definitions and notations of the value distribution and the Nevanlinna theory as those are available in [2].

2. LEMMAS

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1: Let k be any positive integer and $\varphi=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i\, f^{(i)}$, where a_i are meromorphic functions such that $T(r,a_i)=S(r,f)$ for$i=0,1,2,\ldots,k$.

Lemma 2: Let $F=f^nQ[f]$ where $Q[f]$ is a differential polynomial in f. If $n\geq 1$ then $\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,F)}{T(r,f)}=1$.

The proof is omitted.

Lemma 3: Let $F=f^nQ[f]$ where $Q[f]$ is a differential polynomial in f. If $n\geq 1$ then for any

α, $\delta_R^F(\alpha;f)=\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,f)}$ and $\Delta_R^F(\alpha;f)=\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,f)}$.

Proof: In view of Lemma 2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_R^F(\alpha;f) &= 1-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,f)}\\
&= 1-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,F)}.\ \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,F)}{T(r,f)}\\
&= 1-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,F)}.1\\
&= 1-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,F)}\\
&= \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,F)}\\
&= \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,f)}.\ \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,f)}{T(r,F)}\\
&= \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,f)}.1\\
&= \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,\alpha;F)}{T(r,f)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part of the lemma.

Similarly the second part of Lemma 3 follows.

3. THEOREMS.

In this section we present the main results of the paper.

Theorem 1: Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order ρ_f and satisfying the condition $m(r,f)=S(r,f)$. If a,b and c are three non zero finite complex numbers then

$$3\delta(a;f)+2\delta(b;f)+\delta(c;f)+5\Delta_R^F(\infty;F)\leq 5\Delta(\infty;f)+5\Delta_R^F(\infty;F)$$

where F is a differential polynomial in f of the form $F=f^nQ[f]$ with $n\geq 1$.

Proof: Let us consider the following identity

$$\frac{b-a}{f-a}=\left[\frac{F}{f-a}\left\{\frac{f-a}{F}-\frac{f-b}{F}\right\}-\frac{f-c}{F}.\frac{F}{f}.\frac{F}{f-a}\left\{\frac{f-a}{F}-\frac{f-b}{F}\right\}\right].\frac{f}{c}$$

Since $m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)\leq m\left(r,\frac{b-a}{f-a}\right)+O(1)$ and $m\left(r,\frac{f}{c}\right)\leq m(r,f)+O(1)$, we get from the above identity in view of Lemma 1 that

$$m\left(r,\frac{b-a}{f-a}\right)\leq m\left(r,\frac{f-a}{F}\right)+m\left(r,\frac{f-b}{F}\right)+m\left(r,\frac{f-a}{F}\right)+m\left(r,\frac{f-b}{F}\right)+m\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right)+m\left(r,\frac{f}{c}\right)+S(r,f)$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2m\left(r,\frac{f-a}{F}\right) + 2m\left(r,\frac{f-b}{F}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1)$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2T\left(r,\frac{f-a}{F}\right) - 2N\left(r,\frac{f-a}{F}\right) + 2T\left(r,\frac{f-b}{F}\right) - 2N\left(r,\frac{f-b}{F}\right) + T\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1). \quad (1)$$

Now by the relation $T\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) = T(r,f) + O(1)$ and in view of Lemma 1 it follows from (1) that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2T\left(r,\frac{F}{f-a}\right) - 2N\left(r,\frac{f-a}{F}\right) + 2T\left(r,\frac{F}{f-b}\right) - 2N\left(r,\frac{f-b}{F}\right) + T\left(r,\frac{F}{f-c}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1)$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2\left\{N\left(r,\frac{F}{f-a}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f-a}{F}\right)\right\} + 2\left\{N\left(r,\frac{F}{f-b}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f-b}{F}\right)\right\} + N\left(r,\frac{F}{f-c}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1). \quad (2)$$

In view of {p.34, [2]} it follows from (2) that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 2\left\{N(r,F) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) - N(r,f-a) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right)\right\} + 2\left\{N(r,F) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-b}\right) - N(r,f-b) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right)\right\} + \left\{N(r,F) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right) - N(r,f-c) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right)\right\} + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1). \quad (3)$$

Now applying the condition $m(r,f) = S(r,f)$ it follows from (3) that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) \le 5N(r,F) - 5N(r,f) - 5N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + 2N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) + 2N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-b}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$i.e., \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r,f)} \le 5\liminf_{r\to\infty}\left\{\frac{N(r,F)}{T(r,f)} - \frac{N(r,f)}{T(r,f)} - \frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right)}{T(r,f)}\right\} + 2\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r,f)} + 2\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-b}\right)}{T(r,f)} + \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right)}{T(r,f)}$$

$$i.e., \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r,f)} \le 5\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,F)}{T(r,f)} - 5\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,f)}{T(r,f)} - 5\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right)}{T(r,f)} + 2\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r,f)} + 2\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-b}\right)}{T(r,f)} + \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right)}{T(r,f)}$$

$$i.e., \delta(a;f) \le 5\{1-\Delta_R^F(\infty;f)\} - 5\{1-\Delta(\infty;f)\} - 5\{1-\Delta_R^F(0;f)\} + 2\{1-\delta(a;f)\} + 2\{1-\delta(b;f)\} + \{1-\delta(c;f)\}$$

$$i.e., 3\delta(a;f) + 2\delta(b;f) + \delta(c;f) + 5\Delta_R^F(\infty;f) \le 5\Delta(\infty;f) + 5\Delta_R^F(0;f).$$

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 2: Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order satisfying the condition $m(r,f) = S(r,f)$ and also let If $F = f^nQ[f]$ is a differential polynomial in f with $n \ge 1$. If $a, b,\ c$ and d are any four distinct complex numbers then

$$\delta(d;f) + \delta_R^F(b;f) + \delta_R^F(c;f) \le 2.$$

Proof: Let us consider the following identity

$$\frac{1}{f-d} = \left[\frac{1}{a}\left\{\frac{F}{f-a} - \frac{F-a}{f^n}.\frac{f^n}{f-a}\right\}.\left\{\frac{F}{f-d}.\frac{1}{F}\right\}\right].(f-a)$$

Since $m(r,f-a) \le m(r,f) + O(1)$, we get from the above identity in view of Lemma 1 that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le m\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1)$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le T\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1)$$

Now by the relation $T\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) = T(r,f) + O(1)$ we get from the above

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le T(r,F) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1). \tag{4}$$

Now by Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem it follows from (4) that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-b}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-c}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1). \tag{5}$$

As $\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) \le 0$ and applying the condition $m(r,f) = S(r,f)$ it follows from (5) that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-b}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-c}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le N\left(r,\frac{1}{F-b}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{F-c}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le T\left(r,\frac{1}{F-b}\right) - m\left(r,\frac{1}{F-b}\right) + T\left(r,\frac{1}{F-c}\right) - m\left(r,\frac{1}{F-c}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right) \le T(r,F) - m\left(r,\frac{1}{F-b}\right) - m\left(r,\frac{1}{F-c}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$i.e., \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m\left(r,\frac{1}{f-d}\right)}{T(r,f)} \le 2\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,F)}{T(r,f)} - \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m\left(r,\frac{1}{F-b}\right)}{T(r,f)} - \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m\left(r,\frac{1}{F-c}\right)}{T(r,f)}$$

$$i.e., \delta(d;f) \le 2.1 - \delta_R^F(b;f) - \delta_R^F(c;f)$$

$$i.e., \delta(d;f) + \delta_R^F(b;f) + \delta_R^F(c;f) \le 2.$$

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3: Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order ρ_f and satisfying the condition $m(r,f) = S(r,f)$. If a and c are any two distinct complex numbers and let $F = f^n Q[f]$ is a differential polynomial in f with $n \ge 1$ then

$$\delta(0;f) + \delta(c;f) + \Delta_R^F(\infty;f) \le \Delta(\infty;f) + 2\Delta_R^F(0;f).$$

Proof: Consider the following identity

$$\frac{c}{f} = \left[\left\{1 - \frac{f-c}{F}.\frac{F}{f}\right\}\left\{\frac{F}{f-a}.\frac{1}{F}\right\}\right].(f-a)$$

Since $m\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le m\left(r,\frac{c}{f}\right) + O(1) and\ m(r,f-a) \le m(r,f) + O(1)$, we get from the above identity in view of Lemma 1 that

$$m\left(r,\frac{c}{f}\right) \le m(r,\frac{f-c}{F}) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1)$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le T\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) + T\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1). \tag{6}$$

Now by Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem and in view of Lemma 1 it follows from (6) that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le T\left(r,\frac{F}{f-c}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) + T(r,F) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1).$$

$$i.e., m\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le N\left(r,\frac{F}{f-c}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f-c}{F}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + T(r,F) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1). \tag{7}$$

In view of {p.34, [2]} it follows from (7) that

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \le N(r,F) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right) - N(r,f-c) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + T(r,F) + m(r,f) + S(r,f) + O(1)$$

$$i.e., \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m(r,\frac{1}{f})}{T(r,f)} \le \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,F)}{T(r,f)} - \liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,f)}{T(r,f)} - 2\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,\frac{1}{F})}{T(r,f)} + \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-c}\right)}{T(r,f)} + \limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{T(r,F)}{T(rf)}$$

$$i.e., \delta(0;f) \le \{1-\Delta_R^F(\infty;f)\} - \{1-\Delta(\infty;f)\} - 2\{1-\Delta_R^F(0;f)\} + \{1-\delta(c;f)\} + 1$$

$$i.e., \delta(0;f) + \delta(c;f) + \Delta_R^F(\infty;f) \le \Delta(\infty;f) + 2\Delta_R^F(0;f).$$

Thus the theorem is established.

REFERENCES

[1] S.K. Datta and S. Mondal: Relative defects of a special type of differential polynomial, J. Mech. Cont. & Math. Soc., Vol. 5, No. 2, January (2011), pp. 691-706.

[2] W.K. Hayman: Meromorphic Functions, The Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).

[3] Q.L. Xiong : A fundamental inequality in the theory of meromorphic functions and its applications, Chinese Mathematics, Vol. 9, No. 1 (1967), pp. 146-167.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared