



## A GENERALIZED COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR SET VALUED MAPPINGS

**Rajesh Kumar Saini\***

*Department of Mathematical Sciences and Computer Applications,  
Bundelkhand University, Jhansi, India*

&

**Bhupendra Singh**

*Deptt of Mathematics, Govt. College, Gaziabad, India*

(Received on: 13-04-12; Accepted on: 30-04-12)

### ABSTRACT

*In this paper we generalize a common fixed point theorem which properly generalized the theorem of Ahmed [1], and theorem of Itoh and Takahashi [3], and extend the theorems of Kasahara and Rhoades [7], Tas, Telei and Fisher [9] and Telei, Tas and Fisher [10] of the set valued mapping.*

**KEY WORDS:** *Common Fixed Point, Compact Metric Space, Weakly Compatible Mappings, Set Valued Mapping.*

**2000 Mathematical subject classification:** *47H10, 54A40.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $B(X)$  the set of all non empty bounded subsets of  $X$ . Let for all  $A, B \in B(X)$ ,  $\delta(A, B)$  and  $D(A, B)$  be the functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(A, B) &= \sup \{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\} \\ D(A, B) &= \inf \{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}\end{aligned}$$

If  $A = \{a\}$ ,  $\delta(A, B) = \delta(a, B)$ .

If  $B = \{b\}$  also,  $\delta(A, B) = d(A, b)$ .

For all  $A, B, C \in B(X)$ , it follows immediately from the definition that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(A, B) &= \delta(B, A) \geq 0 \\ \delta(A, B) &\leq \delta(A, C) + \delta(C, B) \\ \delta(A, B) &= 0 \text{ iff } A = B = \{a\}, \\ \delta(A, A) &= \text{diam } A,\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.1 [2]:** A sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to be convergent to a subset  $A$  of  $X$  if

- (i) given  $a \in A$ , there is a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $a_n \in A_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\{a_n\}$  converges to  $a$
- (ii) given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $A_n \subseteq A_\varepsilon$  for  $n > N$  where  $A_\varepsilon$  is the union of all open spheres with centers in  $A$  and radius  $\varepsilon$ .

**Definition 1.2 [2] :** A set valued mapping  $F$  of  $X$  into  $B(X)$  is said to be continuous at  $x \in X$  if the sequence  $\{Fx_n\}$  in  $B(X)$  converges to  $Fx$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  converging to  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $F$  is said to be continuous on  $X$  if it is continuous at every point  $X$ .

Let  $I: X \rightarrow X$  be self mapping and  $f: X \rightarrow B(X)$  a set valued mapping. Sessa et al. [7] defines  $I$  and  $f$  to be weakly commuting if  $Ifx \in B(X)$  and

$$\delta(Ifx, fIx) \leq \text{Max} \{d(Ix, fx), \text{diam } Ifx\}$$

**Corresponding author: Rajesh Kumar Saini\***

*Department of Mathematical Sciences and Computer Applications, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi, India*

Jungck and Rhoades [3] defines I and f to be  $\delta$  – compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(fI x_n, If x_n) = 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \{t\} \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I x_n = t$$

for some  $t \in X$ . Clearly, commuting mappings are weakly commuting and weakly commuting are  $\delta$ – compatible but neither implication is reversible as shown by example in [7] and [3] respectively.

Recently Jungck and Rhoades [3] defines I and f to be weakly compatible if for each point u in X such that  $fu = \{Iu\}$ , we have  $flu = Ifu$ . In [3], it is shown that  $\delta$  – compatible mappings are weakly compatible but the converse need not to be true.

**IMPLICIT RELATIONS:** Let  $F^*$  be the collection of real functions  $F(t_1, \dots, t_6): (\mathbb{R}_+)^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (F<sub>1</sub>): f is non increasing in each co – ordinate variable except  $t_1$ ,
- (F<sub>2</sub>):  $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) < 0$  or  $F(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v) < 0$  implies  $u < v$ .
- (F<sub>3</sub>):  $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) \geq 0$  for all  $u > 0$ .

**Example 1.1:**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ .

(F<sub>1</sub>): obviously

(F<sub>2</sub>): Let  $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - \max\{v, v, u\} < 0$ , if  $u \geq v$  then  $u < u$  a contradiction.

Thus  $u < v$ . Similarly if  $F(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v) < 0$  then  $u < v$ .

(F<sub>3</sub>):  $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = 0$  for all  $u > 0$ .

**Example 1.2:**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}\}$ .

F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> can be shown as in example 1.1.

**Example 1.3:**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \max\{t_2, \frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}\}$ .

F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> can be shown as in example 1.1.

**Example 1.4:**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1^2 - c_1 \max\{t_2^2, t_3^2, t_4^2\} - c_2\{t_3 t_4, t_4 t_6\} - c_3\{t_5 t_6\}$ , where  $c_1 + 2c_2 \leq 1$ ,

$$c_1 + c_3 \leq 1 \text{ and } c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 0.$$

(F<sub>1</sub>): obviously

(F<sub>2</sub>): Let  $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u^2 - \max\{u^2, v^2\} < 0$ , if  $u \geq v$  then  $u < u$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $u < v$ . Similarly if  $F(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v) < 0$  then  $u < v$ .

(F<sub>3</sub>):  $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = u^2\{1 - (c_1 + c_3)\} \geq 0$ , for all  $u > 0$ .

**Example 1.5:**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}, b\sqrt{t_5 t_6}\}$ , where  $0 < b < 1$

F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> can be shown as in example 1.1.

(F<sub>3</sub>):  $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = u - \max\{u, bu\} \geq 0$  for all  $u > 0$ .

**Example 1.6:**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \alpha \cdot \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4\} - (1 - \alpha)(at_5 + bt_6)$ ,

where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,

$$0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq b \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

(F<sub>1</sub>): obviously

(F<sub>2</sub>): Let  $u > 0, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - \alpha \cdot \max\{v, v, u\} - (1 - \alpha)a(u + v) < 0$ .

If  $u \geq v$ , then  $(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2a)u < 0$  a contradiction. Thus  $u < v$ .

Similarly  $F(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v) < 0$  implies  $u < v$ . If  $u = 0, v > 0$  then  $u < v$ .

(F<sub>3</sub>):  $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = u(1 - \alpha)(1 - (a + b)) \geq 0$ , for all  $u > 0$ .

**Example 1.7:**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1^3 - a t_1^2 t_2 - b t_1 t_3 t_4 - c t_5^2 t_6 - d t_5 t_6^2$  where  $a, b, c, d \geq 0$  and  $a + b + c + d < 1$ .

(F<sub>1</sub>): Obviously

(F<sub>2</sub>): Let  $u > 0, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u^2 \{u - (a + b)v\} < 0$ , which implies

$$u < (a + b)v < v. \text{ If } u = 0, v > 0, \text{ then } u < v.$$

Similarly  $F(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v) < 0$  implies  $u < v$ .

(F<sub>3</sub>):  $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = u^3(1 - (a + b + c + d)) \geq 0$  for all  $u > 0$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The following theorems are proved in [1], [2], [5], [7], [9] and [10]:

**Theorem 2.1 [2]:** Let  $F, G$  be continuous mappings of a compact metric space  $(X, d)$  into  $B(X)$  and  $I, J$  continuous mappings of  $X$  into itself satisfying the inequality

$$d(Fx, Gy) < \max\{d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, Fx), \delta(Jy, Gy)\}, \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  for which the righthand side of the inequality (2.1) is positive. If the mapping  $F$  and  $I$  commute and  $G$  and  $J$  commute and  $G(X) \subset J(X), F(X) \subset J(X)$ , then there is a unique point  $u$  in  $X$  such that

$$Fu = Gu = \{u\} = \{Iu\} = \{Ju\}.$$

**Theorem 2.2 [1]:** Let  $I, J$  be functions of a compact metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself and  $F, G: X \rightarrow B(X)$  two set-valued functions with  $\cup F(X) \subseteq J(X)$  and  $\cup G(X) \subseteq I(X)$ . Suppose that the inequality

$$\delta(Fx, Gy) < \alpha \cdot \max\{d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, Fx), \delta(Jy, Gy)\} + (1 - \alpha)[aD(Ix, Gy) + bD(Jy, Fx)], \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a \leq \frac{1}{2}, b < \frac{1}{2}, \alpha|a - b| < 1 - (a + b)$ , holds whenever the righthand side of (2.2) is positive. If the pairs  $\{F, I\}$  and  $\{G, J\}$  are weakly compatible, and if the functions  $F$  and  $I$  are continuous, then there is a unique point  $u$  in  $X$  such that

$$Fu = Gu = \{u\} = \{Iu\} = \{Ju\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3 [4]:** Let  $A, B, S, T$  be continuous self mappings of a compact metric space with  $A(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ . If  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  are compatible pairs and

$$d(Ax, By) < \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Sx))\} \tag{2.3}$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  for which the right hand side of (7.4.4) is positive. Then  $A, B, S, T$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 2.4 [7]:** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be self mappings of a non empty compact metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying

$$d(Sx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), \frac{1}{2}(d(x, Sx) + d(y, Ty)), \frac{1}{2}(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))\} \tag{2.4}$$

If  $S$  or  $T$  is continuous then  $S$  and  $T$  has a unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 2.5 [9] :** Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be continuous self maps of a compact metric space  $(X, d)$  with  $A(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ . If  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  are compatible pairs and

$$d^2(Ax, By) < c \cdot \max\{d^2(Sx, Ax), d^2(Ty, By), d^2(Sx, Ty)\} + \frac{1}{2}(1-c) \cdot \max\{d(Sx, Ax) d(Sx, By), d(Ax, Ty), d(By, Ty)\} + (1-c) d(Sx, By) \cdot d(Ty, Ax) \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  for which the right hand side of (2.5) is positive, where  $c \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point  $z$ . Further,  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A$  and  $S$  and of  $B$  and  $T$ .

**Theorem 2.6 [10]:** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be continuous self mappings of a compact metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying inequality

$$d(Sx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}\{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)\}, b\sqrt{d(x, Ty) \cdot d(y, Sx)}\} \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  for which the right hand side of (2.6) is positive, where  $b > 0$ . Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point. Further, if  $b < 1$ , then the common fixed point is unique.

### 3. MAIN RESULT

We prove the following theorem

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $I, J$  be self mappings of a compact metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $f, g: X \rightarrow B(X)$  two set valued mappings satisfying

- (i)  $\cup f(X) \subset J(X)$  and  $\cup g(X) \subset I(X)$ ,
- (ii)  $F\{\delta(fx, gy), d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, fx), \delta(Jy, gy), D(Ix, gy), D(Jy, fx)\} < 0$  for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  for which at least one of  $d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, fx), \delta(Jy, gy)$  is positive, where  $F \in F^*$ .
- (iii) The pair  $\{f, I\}$  and  $\{g, J\}$  are weakly compatible,
- (iv) The mapping  $f$  and  $I$  are continuous.

Then there exists a unique point  $u \in X$  such that  $fu = gu = \{u\} = \{Iu\} = \{Ju\}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\varepsilon = \inf \{\delta(Ix, fx) : x \in X\}$ . Since  $X$  is compact space, there is a convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with limit  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(Ix_n, fx_n) = \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\delta(Ix_0, fx_0) \leq d(Ix_0, Ix_n) + \delta(Ix_n, fx_n) + \delta(fx_n, fx_0)$ ,

therefore by the continuity of  $f$  and  $I$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ , we get  $\delta(Ix_0, fx_0) \leq \varepsilon$  and that  $\delta(Ix_0, fx_0) = \varepsilon$ .

Since  $\cup f(X) \subseteq J(X)$ , there exists a point  $y_0 \in X$  such that  $Jy_0 \in fx_0$  and  $d(Ix_0, Jy_0) \leq \varepsilon$ .

If  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then, by (ii) we have

$$F\{\delta(fx_0, gy_0), \delta(Ix_0, Jy_0), \delta(Ix_0, fx_0), \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), D(Ix_0, gy_0), D(Jy_0, fx_0)\} < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow F\{\delta(fx_0, gy_0), \varepsilon, \varepsilon, \delta(fx_0, gy_0), \delta(fx_0, gy_0) + \varepsilon, 0\} < 0.$$

By  $(F_2)$  it implies

$$\delta(fx_0, gy_0) < \varepsilon \text{ and hence } \delta(Jy_0, gy_0) \leq \delta(fx_0, gy_0) < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\cup g(X) \subset I(X)$ , then there exists a point  $z_0$  in  $X$  such that  $Iz_0 \in gy_0$  and  $d(Iz_0, Jy_0) < \varepsilon$ .

Now, since  $\delta(Iz_0, Jy_0) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ . Then, we have,

$$F\{\delta(fz_0, gy_0), \delta(Iz_0, Jy_0), \delta(Iz_0, fz_0), \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), D(Iz_0, gy_0), D(Jy_0, fz_0)\} < 0$$

$$F\{\delta(fz_0, gy_0), \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), \delta(fz_0, gy_0), \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), 0, \delta(fz_0, gy_0) + \delta(Jy_0, gy_0)\} < 0$$

which by  $(F_2)$  yields  $\delta(fz_0, gy_0) < \delta(Jy_0, gy_0)$ , but then,

$$\varepsilon \leq \delta(Iz_0, fz_0) \leq \delta(fz_0, gy_0) < \delta(Jy_0, gy_0) < \varepsilon.$$

a contradiction. Thus  $\varepsilon = 0$ . Then we get  $\{Ix_0\} = \{Jy_0\} = fx_0$ .

If  $\delta(Jy_0, gy_0) > 0$  then by (ii), we have

$$F \{ \delta(fx_0, gy_0), d(Ix_0, Jy_0), \delta(Ix_0, fx_0), \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), D(Ix_0, gy_0), D(Jy_0, fx_0) \} < 0$$

$$F \{ \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), 0, 0, \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), 0 \} < 0$$

which, by  $(F_2)$ , implies that  $\delta(Jy_0, gy_0) < 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\delta(Jy_0, gy_0) = 0$  and so  $gy_0 = \{Jy_0\}$ .

Therefore  $\{Ix_0\} = fx_0 = \{Jy_0\} = gy_0 = \{p\}$ , (say) (3.1)

Then, by weak compatibility of the pair  $\{f, I\}$  we have

$$fp = f(Ix_0) = \{Ifx_0\} = \{Ip\} \tag{3.2}$$

If  $Ip \neq p = Jy_0$ , then by an application of (ii), we have,

$$F \{ \delta(fp, gy_0), d(Ip, Jy_0), \delta(Ip, fp), \delta(Jy_0, gy_0), D(Ip, gy_0), D(Jy_0, fp) \} < 0, \tag{3.3}$$

Now using, (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$F \{ d(fp, p), d(fp, p), 0, 0, d(fp, p), d(fp, p) \} < 0$$

which, by  $(F_3)$ , is a contradiction. Therefore  $d(fp, p) = 0$  and hence  $fp = \{p\}$  and so

$$fp = \{Ip\} = \{p\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Now, since  $J$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible  $\{Jp\} = \{Jgy_0\} = gJy_0 = gp$ . Suppose  $Ip \neq Jp$ , then  $d(Ip, Jp) > 0$  and so

$$F \{ \delta(fp, gp), d(Ip, Jp), \delta(Ip, fp), \delta(Jp, gp), D(Ip, gp), D(Jp, fp) \} < 0$$

$$F \{ d(Ip, Jp), d(Ip, Jp), 0, 0, d(Ip, Jp), d(Ip, Jp) \} < 0$$

which by  $\{F_3\}$ , is a contradiction. Thus  $Ip = Jp$  and hence

$$fp = gp = \{Ip\} = \{Jp\} = \{p\}.$$

Again suppose,  $q$  be a point such that, i.e.  $fq = gq = \{Iq\} = \{Jq\} = q$ . Then, by (ii) we have,

$$F \{ \delta(fp, gq), d(Ip, Jq), \delta(Ip, fp), \delta(Jq, gq), D(Ip, gq), D(Jq, fp) \} < 0$$

$$F \{ d(p, q), d(p, q), 0, 0, d(p, q), d(p, q) \} < 0$$

which by  $(F_3)$ , yields  $d(p, q) = 0$  and so  $p = q$ .

**Corollary 3.1:** Let

- (i),  $J: X \rightarrow X$  be self mapping of compact metric spaces  $(X, d)$  and  $f, g$  set valued mappings satisfying (i), (iii), (iv) and (ii)  $\delta(fx, gy) < \max\{d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, fx), \delta(Jy, gy)\}$

for all  $x, y \in X$  for which the right hand side of the inequality (ii)\* is positive. Then  $f, g, I$  and  $J$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Follows from theorem 3.1 and example 1.2.

**Remark 3.1:** The corollary 3.1 generalizes theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 3.2:** Theorem 2.2.

**Proof:** Follows from theorem 3.1 and example 1.6.

**Remark 3.2:** Theorem 2.2 holds, only for  $0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 \leq b < \frac{1}{2}$  while the result obtained by theorem 3.1 and example 1.6. [Corollary 3.2] holds for  $0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 \leq b \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus theorem 3.1 is a proper generalization of theorem 2.2.

**Remark 3.3:** Theorem 3.1 and example 1.2 yields the extension of theorem 2.3 for self mappings, to set valued mappings with more weakened condition of weak compatibility.

(B). Theorem 3.1 with  $I = J =$  identity mapping and example 1.3 provide the extension of theorem 2.4 for set valued mappings.

(C) Theorem 3.1 and example 1.4 with  $c_1 = c$ ,  $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1-c)$  and  $c_3 = (1-c)$  gives the extension of theorem 2.5.

(D). Theorem 3.1 and example 1.5 with  $I = J$  identity mapping gives the extension of theorem 2.6.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, M.A., Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings, Rocky MJM., 33 (2003), 1189-1203.
- [2] Fisher, B., Common fixed points of mappings and set-valued mappings on metric spaces, Kyungpook MJ, 25 (1985), 35-42.
- [3] Itoh, S. and Takahashi, W., Single-valued mappings, multi-valued mappings and fixed point theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 59 (1977), 514-521.
- [4] Jungck G. and Rhoades, B.E., Some fixed point theorems for compatible maps, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 16 (1993), 417-428.
- [5] Jungck, G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Acad. Math. Sci. 11 (1986), 771-779.
- [6] Jungck, G., and Rhoades, B.E., Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian JPAM. 16(1998), 227-238.
- [7] Kasahara, S., and Rhoades, B.E., Common fixed point theorems in compact metric spaces, Math. Japonica, 23 (2) (1978), 222 – 279.
- [8] Sessa, S., Khan, M.S., and Imdad, M., Common fixed point theorem with a weak commutativity conditions, Glas. Mat. 21(41) (1986), 225 – 235.
- [9] Tas, K., Telei, M., and Fisher, B., Common fixed points theorems for compatible mappings, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci, 19, (3) (1996), 451 – 456.
- [10] Telei, M., Tas, K., and Fisher, B., A generalization of the fixed point theorem of Bhola and Sharma, Math. Balkanica, New Series, 9, (1995), Fasc. 2 – 3, 113 – 116.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**