



A NOTE ON SĀLĀGEAN CARLSON-SHAFFER OPERATOR

L. Dileep* and S. Latha**

*Department of mathematics Vidyavardhaka College of Engineering Mysore

Email: dileep184@gmail.com

**Department of mathematics Yuvaraja’s College University of Mysore, Mysore

Email: drlatha@gmail.com

(Received on: 27-01-11; 08-02-11)

ABSTRACT

In the present work, using Sālāgean and Carlson-Shafer operator we introduce a linear operator SL_λ . The objective is to define the classes $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$ and $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n)$ using the above linear operator and for functions belonging to these classes we obtain coefficient estimates and many more properties like extreme points, integral means, unified radii results etc.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30 C 45

Key words and phrases: Univalent functions, Sālāgean operator, Carlson-Shaffer operator, Integral means.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Let $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk and A denote the class of functions normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk U satisfying the conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$.

The class A is closed under the convolution or Hadamard product

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m b_m z^m, \quad z \in U, \tag{1.2}$$

where f is given by (1.1) and $g(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_m z^m$.

For $n \in N_0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $a, c \in R \setminus Z$, we introduce a linear operator $SL_\lambda : A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$SL_\lambda f(z) = (1 - \lambda)[(k * k * \dots * k) * f](z) + \lambda[\phi(a, c) * f](z), \quad z \in U, \tag{1.3}$$

where $k(z) = z(1 - z)^{-2}$ is Koebe function and

$$\phi(a, c; z) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m-1}}{(c)_{m-1}} z^m, \quad |z| < 1, a, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots,$$

is the incomplete beta function.

Corresponding author: L Dileep, *E-mail: dileep184@gmail.com

For functions $f \in A$ of the form (1.1), we have

$$SL_{\lambda} f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n) a_m z^m, \quad (1.4)$$

where
$$B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n) = \left[(1 - \lambda)m^n + \lambda \frac{(a)_{m-1}}{(c)_{m-1}} \right]. \quad (1.5)$$

Here $(a)_m$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of the Gamma function by

$$(a)_m = \frac{\Gamma(a+m)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } m = 0 \\ a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+m-1), & \text{for } m \in N. \end{cases}$$

Now using the linear operator SL_{λ} we define the class $SL_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n)$ consisting functions of the form (1.1) satisfying the condition

$$R \left\{ \frac{z(SL_{\lambda} f(z))'}{SL_{\lambda} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \left| \frac{z(SL_{\lambda} f(z))'}{SL_{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right|. \quad (1.6)$$

Silverman [9] defined the class $V(\theta_m)$ as the class of all functions in A such that $\arg a_m = \theta_m$ for all m . If further there exists a real number t such that $\theta_m + (m-1)t = \pi \pmod{2\pi}$, then f is said to be in the class $V(\theta_m, t)$. The union of $V(\theta_m, t)$ taken over all possible sequences $\{\theta_m\}$ and all possible real numbers t is denoted by V .

Further, we define $VS_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n, \beta) = S_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n, \beta) \cap V$.

Definition 1.1: A function $f \in V$ of the form (1.1) is in $VS_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n, \beta)$ if f satisfies the analytic condition

$$R \left\{ \frac{z(SL_{\lambda} f(z))'}{SL_{\lambda} f(z)} \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z(SL_{\lambda} f(z))'}{SL_{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right| + \alpha, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $z \in U$.

These classes stem essentially from the classes studied earlier by Vijaya and Murugusundaramoorthy [10].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1: A function f of the form (1.1) is in $VS_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (2m-1-\alpha) B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n) |a_m| \leq 1 - \alpha. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof: From (1.6), it suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{z(SL_{\lambda} f(z))'}{SL_{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq R \left\{ \frac{z(SL_{\lambda} f(z))'}{SL_{\lambda} f(z)} - \alpha \right\}.$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z(SL_\lambda f(z))'}{SL_\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right| - R \left\{ \frac{z(SL_\lambda f(z))'}{SL_\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq 2 \left| \frac{z(SL_\lambda f(z))'}{SL_\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq 2 \frac{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (m-1) B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) |a_m| |z|^{m-1}}{1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) |a_m| |z|^{m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the last expression is bounded by $(1 - \alpha)$ if

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (2m - 1 - \alpha) B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) |a_m| \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Conversely, if $f \in VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n)$ then by definition

$$\left| \frac{z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m z^m}{z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m z^m} - 1 \right| \leq R \left\{ \frac{z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m z^m}{z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m z^m} - \alpha \right\}.$$

That is

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (m-1) B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m z^{m-1}}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m z^{m-1}} \right| \leq R \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (m - \alpha) B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m z^{m-1}}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m z^{m-1}} \right\}$$

Since $f \in V$ and f lies in $V(\theta_m, t)$ for some sequence θ_m and a real number t such that $\theta_m + (m-1)t \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$ set $z = re^{it}$ in the above inequality

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (m-1) B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m r^{m-1}}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m r^{m-1}} \right| \leq R \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (m - \alpha) B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m r^{m-1}}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) a_m r^{m-1}} \right\}.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, leads the desired inequality

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (2m - 1 - \alpha) B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) |a_m| \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Corollary.2.2: If $f \in VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n)$ then

$$|a_m| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2m - 1 - \alpha) B_\lambda(a, c, m, n)}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 2.$$

The sharpness follows for the function

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{(2m-1-\alpha)B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n)}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 2, \quad z \in U.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 we get the following result:

Theorem.2.3: A function f of the form (1.1) is in $VS_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} E_m B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n) |a_m| \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad (2.2)$$

where $E_m = m(\beta + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)$.

The result obtained in our next Theorem unifies the radii results concerning close-to-convexity, starlikeness etc.

Theorem.2.4: Let $f \in VS_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n, \beta)$. Then $\left| \frac{f * \Phi}{f * \Psi} - 1 \right| < 1 - \delta$, in $|z| < r$ with $\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \gamma_m z^m$, and

$\Psi(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m z^m$, are analytic in U with the conditions $\gamma_m, \mu_m \geq 0$, $\gamma_m \geq \mu_m$, for $m \geq 2$ and $f * \Psi \neq 0$, where

$$r = \inf_m \left[\frac{E_m B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n)(1 - \delta)}{(1 - \alpha)[(\lambda_m - \mu_m) + \mu_m(1 - \delta)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \quad m \geq 2. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof: Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f * \Phi}{f * \Psi} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{z - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \gamma_m a_m z^m}{z - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m a_m z^m} - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{z - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \gamma_m a_m z^m - z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m a_m z^m}{z - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m a_m z^m} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m [\gamma_m - \mu_m] |z|^{m-1}}{1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m a_m |z|^{m-1}} < 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m [(\gamma_m - \mu_m) + (1 - \delta)\mu_m] \leq 1 - \delta, \quad (|z| < r, 0 \leq \delta < 1), \quad (2.4)$$

where r is given by (2.3). From Theorem 2.3, (2.4) will be true if,

$$\frac{[(\gamma_m - \mu_m) + (1 - \delta)\mu_m]}{1 - \delta} |z|^{m-1} \leq \frac{E_m B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n)(1 - \delta)}{(1 - \alpha)[(\gamma_m - \mu_m) + (1 - \delta)\mu_m]},$$

that is, if

$$|z| = \left[\frac{E_m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n)(1 - \delta)}{(1 - \alpha)[(\gamma_m - \mu_m) + (1 - \delta)\mu_m]} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}}. \quad (2.5)$$

As corollaries to the above Theorem we get the following result:

By choosing $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ and $\Psi(z) = z$, we have

Corollary 2.5: Let the function f defined by (1.1) belongs to $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$. Then f is close-to-convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), hence univalent in the disc $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_1 = \inf_m \left[\frac{E_m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n)(1 - \delta)}{(1 - \alpha)m} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \quad m \geq 2. \quad (2.6)$$

The result is sharp.

For $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ and $\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, we have

Corollary 2.6: Let the function f defined by (1.1) belongs to $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$. Then f is starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), hence univalent in the disc $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_2 = \inf_m \left[\frac{E_m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n)(1 - \delta)}{(1 - \alpha)(m - \delta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \quad m \geq 2. \quad (2.7)$$

The result is sharp.

If $\Phi(z) = \frac{z + z^2}{(1-z)^3}$ and $\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$, we have

Corollary 2.7: Let the function f defined by (1.1) belongs to $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$. Then f is convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), hence univalent in the disc $|z| < r_3$, where

$$r_3 = \inf_m \left[\frac{E_m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n)(1 - \delta)}{m(1 - \alpha)(m - \delta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \quad m \geq 2. \quad (2.8)$$

The result is sharp.

Using the coefficient inequality proved above we can easily prove the following growth and distortion theorem.

Theorem 2.8: Let f of the form (1.1) to be in $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$. then

$$r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} r^2$$

and

$$1 - \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} r.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof: Let f of the form (1.1) belongs to $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$.

$$|f(z)| = \left| z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m \right| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m|,$$

since $f \in VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$ and by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m| \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} E_m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n) |a_m| \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

$$\text{Thus } |f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} |z|^2.$$

That is

$$|f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} r^2,$$

similarly, we get

$$|f(z)| \leq r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} r^2.$$

On the other hand $f'(z) = 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m a_m z^{m-1}$, and

$$|f'(z)| = 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m |a_m| |z|^{m-1} \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m |a_m|,$$

since $f \in VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$.

Then by Theorem 2.3 we have $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m |a_m| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)}$. Thus

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} r. \text{ Similarly we get}$$

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} r.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.9: A function f of the form (1.1) belongs to $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$, with $\arg a_m = \theta_m$ where $[\theta_m + (m-1)t] = \pi \pmod{2\pi}$. Define and $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_m(z) = z + \frac{1 - \alpha}{E_m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n)} e^{i\theta_m} z^m, \quad m \geq 2, \quad z \in U.$$

Then $f \in VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n, \beta)$ if and only if f expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m f_m(z)$ where

$$\mu_m \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m = 1.$$

Proof: If $f(z) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m f_m(z)$ with $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m = 1$ and $\mu_m \geq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} E_m B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n) \frac{1-\alpha}{E_m B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n)} \mu_m \\ &= \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m (1-\alpha) = (1-\mu_1)(1-\alpha) \geq 1-\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \in VS_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n, \beta)$.

Conversely, let the function f defined by (1.1) be in the class $VS_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n, \beta)$, since

$$|a_m| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{E_m B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n)}, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots$$

We may set $\mu_m = \frac{E_m B_{\lambda}(a, c, m, n) |a_m|}{1-\alpha}$, $m \geq 2$ and $\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mu_m$.

Then $f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu_m f_m(z)$, this completes the proof.

Lemma 2.10: [3] If for the functions f and g are analytic in U with $g \prec f$, then for $k > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^k d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^k d\theta.$$

In [6] Silverman found that the function $f_2(z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2}$ is often extremal over the family T . He applied this function to resolve the integral means inequality, conjectured in [7] and [8], such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^k d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(re^{i\theta})|^k d\theta, \quad \text{for all } f \in V, k > 0 \text{ and } 0 < r < 1.$$

In [8] he also proved his conjecture for the subclasses $T^*(\beta)$ and $C(\beta)$ of T .

Theorem 2.11: Let f of the form (1.1) belongs to $VS_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(a, c, n, \beta)$ and f_2 is defined by

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{E_2 B_{\lambda}(a, c, 2, n)} z^2 \quad \text{then for } z = re^{i\theta}, 0 < r < 1, \text{ we have}$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^k d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(z)|^k d\theta.$$

Proof: For $f(z) = z - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m| z^m$, (2.9) is equivalent to prove that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m| |z|^{m-1} \right|^k d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{E_2 B_{\lambda}(a, c, 2, n)} z \right|^k d\theta.$$

By Lemma 2.10 it suffices to show that

$$1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m| |z|^{m-1} < 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} |z| \text{ setting}$$

$$1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m| |z|^{m-1} = 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{E_2 B_\lambda(a, c, 2, n)} \omega(z)$$

And using (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(z) &= \left| \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{E_m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n)}{1-\alpha} |a_m| |z|^{m-1} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{E_m B_\lambda(a, c, m, n)}{1-\alpha} |a_m| \leq |z|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

In Theorem 2.4, 2.8, 2.9 and 2.11 if we substitute $\beta = 1$ we get the result for the class $VS_\lambda^\alpha(a, c, n)$.

References:

- [1] H S Al-Amiri, On Ruscheweyh derivatives, Ann. Polon. Math. 38 (1980). 87-94
- [2] B C Carlson-Shaffer, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, SIAM J. on Math. Analysis 15 (1984), 737-745.
- [3] J E Littlewood, On inequalities in theory of functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 23 (1925), 481-519.
- [4] St. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Ann. Math. Soc., Volume 6, 1975, 109-115.
- [5] G S Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lectures Notes in Math. Springer- Verlag, 2013 (1983), 362-372.
- [6] H Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (1975), 109-116.
- [7] H Silverman, A survey with open problem on univalent functions whose coefficients are negative, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 21 (3) (1991), 1099-1125.
- [8] H Silverman, integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients, Houston J. Math., 23 (1), (1997), 169-174.
- [9] H Silverman, Univalent functions with varying arguments, Houston J. Math., Vol. 7, No. 2 (1981).
- [10] K Vijaya and G Murugusundaramoorthy, Some uniformly starlike functions with varying arguments, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 35, No.1, ASpring 2004.