# A UNIQUENESS RESULT RELATED TO CERTAIN NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

# HARINA P. WAGHAMORE\* & A. TANUJA

Department of Mathematics, Central College Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 001, INDIA Department of Mathematics, Central College Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 001, INDIA

(Received on: 02-04-12; Accepted on: 19-04-12)

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we deal with some uniqueness question of meromorphic functions whose certain non-linear differential polynomials have a nonzero finite value, and obtain some results, which improve and generalize the related results due to I. Lahiri and R. Pal[4], X. M. Li and H. X. Yi[6] and A. Banerjee and P. Bhattacharjee[1].

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, by meromorphic function we will always mean meromorphic function in complex plane. We adopt the standard notations of Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic function as explained in [2], [7] and [8]. It will be convenient to let E denote any set of positive real numbers of finite linear measure, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. For a nonconstant meromorphic function h, we denote by T(r, h) the Nevanlinna characteristic of h and by S(r, h) any quantity satisfying  $S(r, h)=o\{T(r, h)\}$ , as  $r \to \infty$  and  $r \in E$ .

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let a be a value in the extended plane. We say that f and g share the value a CM, provided that f and g have the same a -points with the same multiplicities. We say that f and g share the value a IM, provided that f and g have the same a-points ignoring multiplicities (see [8]). We say that a is a small function of f, if a is a meromorphic function satisfying T(r, a) = S(r, f) (see [8]). Let l be a positive integer or  $\infty$ . Next we denote by  $E_{l}(a; f)$  the set of those a-points of f in the coplex plane, where each point is of multiplicity  $\leq l$  and counted according to its multiplicity. By  $\bar{E}_{l}(a; f)$  we denote the reduced form of  $E_{l}(a; f)$ . If  $\bar{E}_{l}(a; f) = \bar{E}_{l}(a; g)$ , we say that a is a l-order pseudo common value of f and g (see[3]).

Obviously, if  $E_{\infty}(a; f) = E_{\infty}(a; g)$  ( $\bar{E}_{\infty}(a; f) = \bar{E}_{\infty}(a; g)$ ), resp. then f and g share a CM (IM, resp.).

In 2006, I. Lahiri and R. Pal [4] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem A:** Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let  $n \ge 14$  be positive integer.

If 
$$E_{3}(1; f^n(f^3 - 1)f') = E_{3}(1; g^n(g^3 - 1)g')$$
, then  $f \equiv g$ .

**Theorem B:** Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let n, k be two positive integers satisfying n>3k+11 and max  $\{\chi_1, \chi_2\} < 0$ , where

$$\chi_1 = \frac{2}{n-2k+1} + \frac{2}{n+2k+1} + \frac{2k+1}{n+k+1} + 1 - \theta_{k}(1,f) - \theta_{k-1}(1,f)$$

and

$$\chi_2 = \frac{2}{n-2k+1} + \frac{2}{n+2k+1} + \frac{2k+1}{n+k+1} + 1 - \theta_{k}(1,g) - \theta_{k-1}(1,g).$$

If  $\theta > 2/n$  and if  $\{f^n(f-1)\}^{(k)} - P$  and  $\{g^n(g-1)\}^{(k)} - P$  share OCM, where P is nonzero polynomial, then  $f \equiv g$ .

**Theorem C:** Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let n, k be two positive integers satisfying n>9k+20 and where  $\max\{\chi_1, \chi_2\}<0$ , where  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are defined as in Theorem B.

If  $\theta > 2/n$  and if  $\{f^n(f-1)\}^{(k)} - P$  and  $\{g^n(g-1)\}^{(k)} - P$  share 0 IM, where P is a nonzero polynomial, then  $f \equiv g$ .

In 2011, A. Banerjee and P. Bhattacharjee [1] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem D:** Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let n, k ( $\geq 1$ ) and m ( $\geq 2$ ) be three positive integers. Suppose for two nonzero constants a and b,  $E_{l}(1;[f^{n}(af^{m}+b)]^{(k)})=E_{l}(1;[g^{n}(ag^{m}+b)]^{(k)})$ . Then  $f \equiv g$  or  $f \equiv -g$  or  $[f^{n}(af^{m}+b)]^{(k)}[g^{n}(ag^{m}+b)]^{(k)} \equiv 1$  provided one of the following holds:

- (i) when  $l \ge 3$  and n > 3k+m+8;
- (ii) when l = 2 and  $n > 4k + \frac{3m}{2} + 9$ ;
- (iii) when l = 1 and n > 7k + 3m + 12.

When k=1 the possibility  $[f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}$   $[g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)} \equiv 1$  does not occur. Also the possibility  $f \equiv -g$  arises only if n and m are both even.

**Question:** What can be said about the relationship between two meromorphic functions f and g, if the condition  $E_{l}(1; [f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)}) = E_{l}(1; [g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)})$  in Theorem B is replaced with the condition  $\bar{E}_{l}(1; [f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)}) = \bar{E}_{l}(1; [g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)})$ .

We prove the following two theorems, which generalize and improves Theorem A, B, C and D and deals with above Ouestion.

**Theorem 1.1:** Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let n,  $k(\ge 1)$  and  $m(\ge 2)$  be three positive integers with  $n > \frac{13k + 13m + 28}{3}$  and a and b be nonzero constants.

If  $\bar{E}_{l}(1;[f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}) = \bar{E}_{l}(1;[g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)})$  and  $E_{1}(1;[f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}) = E_{1}(1;[g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)})$ , where  $l \geq 3$  is an integer, then either  $f \equiv g$  or  $f \equiv -g$  or  $[f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)} \equiv 1$ .

The possibility  $[f^n(af^m + b)]^{(k)}[g^n(ag^m + b)]^{(k)} \equiv 1$  does not arise for k=1 and the possibility  $f \equiv -g$  does not arise if n and m are both odd or if n is even and m is odd or if n is even.

**Theorem 1.2:** Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let n,  $k \ge 1$ ) and  $m \ge 2$  be three positive integers with  $n > \frac{3k+m+8}{3}$  and a and b be nonzero constants. If  $\bar{E}_{l_1}(1; [f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}) = \bar{E}_{l_1}(1; [g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)})$  and  $E_{2}(1; [f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}) = E_{2}(1; [g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)})$ , where  $l \ge 4$  is an integer, then the conclusions of Theorem 1.1 still holds.

**Remark 1:** Theorem 1.2 is an improvement of Theorem A and Theorem D.

**Remark 2:** Theorem 1.2 is an improvement of Theorem C for m = 1, a = 1 and b = -1.

### 2. LEMMAS

In this section, we present some lemmas which are needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1:** ([7]) Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and

 $P(f) = a_0 + a_1 f + \dots + a_n f^n$ , where  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  are constants and  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then

$$T(r,P(f)) = nT(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

**Lemma 2.2:** ([5]) Let  $\bar{E}_D(1; [F*]^{(k)}) = \bar{E}_D(1; [G*]^{(k)}), E_D(1; [F*]^{(k)}) = E_D(1; [G*]^{(k)})$  and  $H^* \neq 0$ , where  $1 \geq 3$ .

Then

$$T(r, F^*) \leq \left(\frac{8}{3} + \frac{2}{3}k\right) \overline{N}(r, \infty; F^*) + \frac{5}{3} \overline{N}(r, 0; F^*) + \frac{2}{3} N_k(r, o; F^*) + N_{k+1}(r, o; F^*) + (k+2)\overline{N}(r, \infty; F^*) + \overline{N}(r, 0; G^*) + N_{k+1}(r, o; G^*) + S(r, F^*) + S(r, G^*)$$

Where

$$H^* \equiv \left[ \frac{(F_*)^{(k+2)}}{(F_*)^{(k+1)}} - \frac{2(F_*)^{(k+1)}}{(F_*)^{(k)} - 1} \right] - \left[ \frac{(G_*)^{(k+2)}}{(G_*)^{(k+1)}} - \frac{2(G_*)^{(k+1)}}{(G_*)^{(k)} - 1} \right].$$

**Lemma 2.3:** ([5]) Let 
$$\bar{E}_{l}(1; [F *]^{(k)}) = \bar{E}_{l}(1; [G *]^{(k)})$$
 and  $E_{1}(1; [F *]^{(k)}) = E_{1}(1; [G *]^{(k)})$ , where  $l \ge 3$ .

If 
$$\Delta_{1l} = \left(\frac{8}{3} + \frac{2}{3}k\right)\theta(\infty, F*) + (k+2)\theta(\infty, G*) + \frac{5}{3}\theta(0, F*) + \theta(0, G*) + \delta_{k+1}(0, F*) + \delta_{k+1}(0, G*) + \frac{2}{3}\delta_k(0, F*)$$

$$\Delta_{1l} > \frac{5}{3}k + 9$$
, then either  $[F *]^{(k)}[G *]^{(k)} \equiv 1$  or  $F^* = G^*$ .

**Lemma 2.4:** ([5]) Let 
$$\bar{E}_{l}(1; [F *]^{(k)}) = \bar{E}_{l}(1; [G *]^{(k)}), E_{2}(1; [F *]^{(k)}) = E_{2}(1; [G *]^{(k)})$$
 and  $H^* \neq 0$ , where  $1 \geq 4$ .

Then

$$\begin{array}{l} {\rm T}(r,F^*) + {\rm T}(r,G^*) \leq & (k+4) \overline{N}(r,\infty;F^*) + 2\,\overline{N}(r,0;F^*) + 2N_{k+1}(r,o;F^*) \\ & + (k+4) \overline{N}(r,\infty;G^*) + 2\overline{N}(r,0;G^*) + 2N_{k+1}(r,o;G^*) + S(r,F^*) + S(r,G^*) \end{array}$$

Where H\* is defined as Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.5:** ([5]) Let 
$$\bar{E}_{l}(1; [F *]^{(k)}) = \bar{E}_{l}(1; [G *]^{(k)})$$
 and  $E_{2}(1; [F *]^{(k)}) = E_{2}(1; [G *]^{(k)})$ , where  $l \ge 4$ .

If 
$$\Delta_{2l} = \left(2 + \frac{1}{2}k\right)\theta(\infty, F*) + \left(\frac{1}{2}k + 2\right)\theta(\infty, G*) + \theta(0, F*) + \theta(0, G*) + \delta_{k+1}(0, F*) + \delta_{k+1}(0, G*)$$

$$\Delta_{2l} > k + 5$$
, then either  $[F *]^{(k)}[G *]^{(k)} \equiv 1$  or  $F^* = G^*$ .

**Lemma 2.6:** ([1]) Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and a and b be nonzero constants. Then  $[f^n(af^m+b)]^1[g^n(ag^m+b)]^1 \neq 1$ , where n,  $m \geq 2$  be two positive integers and n ( $\geq m+3$ ).

#### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:** Let  $F^* = f^n(af^m + b)$ ,  $G^* = g^n(ag^m + b)$ .

By Lemma 2.1, we get

(3.1) 
$$\theta(0,F*) = 1 - \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{T(r,F*)} \frac{N(r,0;F*)}{T(r,F*)} \ge \frac{n-1}{n+m}$$

Similarly

$$(3.2) \theta(0,G*) \ge \frac{n-1}{n+m}$$

(3.3) 
$$\theta(\infty, F *) = 1 - \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{T(r, F *)} \frac{N(r, \infty; F *)}{T(r, F *)} \ge \frac{n + m - 1}{n + m}$$

Similarly

(3.4) 
$$\theta(\infty, G *) \ge \frac{n+m-1}{n+m}$$

(3.5) 
$$\delta_{k+1}(0, F *) = 1 - \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{t \to \infty} \frac{N_{k+1}(r, o; F *)}{T(r, F *)} \ge \frac{n - k - 1}{n + m}$$

Similarly

(3.6) 
$$\delta_{k+1}(0, G^*) \ge \frac{n-k-1}{n+m}, \quad \delta_k(0, F^*) \ge \frac{n-k}{n+m} \text{ and } \delta_k(0, G^*) \ge \frac{n-k}{n+m}$$

From the condition of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\bar{E}_{l)}\big(1;[f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}\big) = \bar{E}_{l)}\big(1;[g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)}\big) \ and \ E_{1)}\big(1;[f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}\big) = E_{1)}\big(1;[g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)}\big),$$
 where  $l \geq 3$ .

From (3.1) - (3.6) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\Delta_{1l} = \left(\frac{14}{3} + \frac{5}{3}k\right)\frac{n+m-1}{n+m} + \frac{8}{3}\frac{n-1}{n+m} + 2\frac{n-k-1}{n+m} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{n-k}{n+m}$$

It is easily verified that if  $n > \frac{13k+13m+28}{3}$ , then  $\Delta_{1l} > \frac{5}{3}k + 9$ . So by Lemma 2.3, we have  $[F *]^{(k)}[G *]^{(k)} \equiv 1$  or  $F^* \equiv G^*$ . Also by Lemma 2.6 the case  $[F *]^{(k)}[G *]^{(k)} \equiv 1$  does not arise for k = 1 and  $m \ge 2$ .

Let  $F^* \equiv G^*$ , i.e.,

$$f^n(af^m + b) \equiv g^n(ag^m + b)$$

Clearly if n and m are both odd or if n is even and m is odd or if n is odd and m is even, then  $f \equiv -g$  contradicts  $F^* \equiv G^*$ . Let neither  $f \equiv g$  nor  $f \equiv -g$ . We put  $h = \frac{g}{f}$ . Then  $h \neq 1$  and  $h \neq -1$ . Also  $F^* \equiv G^*$  implies

$$f^m = -\frac{b}{a} \frac{h^n - 1}{h^{n+m} - 1}$$
.

Since f is non-constant it follows that h is non-constant. Again since  $f^m$  has no simple pole  $h-u_r$  has no simple zero, where  $u_r = exp\left(\frac{2\pi i r}{n+m}\right)$  and r=1, 2...n+m-1. Therefore either  $f \equiv g$  or  $f \equiv -g$ . This proves the theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2:** From the condition of Theorem 1.2,

we have 
$$\bar{E}_{l}(1; [f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}) = \bar{E}_{l}(1; [g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)})$$
  
and  $E_{2}(1; [f^n(af^m+b)]^{(k)}) = E_{2}(1; [g^n(ag^m+b)]^{(k)})$ , where  $1 \ge 4$ .

From (3.1)-(3.6) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\Delta_{2l} = (k+4)\frac{n+m-1}{n+m} + 2\frac{n-1}{n+m} + 2\frac{n-k-1}{n+m}.$$

It is easily verified that if  $n > \frac{3k+m+8}{3}$ , then  $\Delta_{2l} > k+5$ . So by Lemma 2.5, we have  $[F *]^{(k)}[G *]^{(k)} \equiv 1$  or  $F^* \equiv G^*$ .

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can get the conclusion of Theorem 1.2. Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Banerjee and P. Bhattacharjee, A uniqueness result related to certain non-linear differential polynomials sharing *1-points*, Math. Slovaca, **61**(2011), no. 2, 181-196.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [3] Lahiri, I., Sarkar, A., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and its derivative*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 5, (2004), Art. 20.
- [4] Lahiri, I., Pal, R., Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing 1-points, Bull. Korean Math.Soc. 43, (2006), 161-168.
- [5] X.-Y.Xu, T.-B.Cao and S.Liu, *Uniqueness results of meromorphic functions whose nonlinear differential polynomials have one nonzero pseudo value*, Matemat.Bech. **62**, 1(2012), 1-16.
- [6] X. M. Li., H. X. Yi., Uniqueness of meromorphic functions whose certain nonlinear differential polynomials share a polynomial, Comput. Math. Appl., **62** (2011), 539-550.
- [7] L. Yang, Value Distribution Theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] H.X.Yi, C.C. Yang, Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared