

Theorem on Fixed Points in n- Metric Spaces

Vishal Gupta*, Ashima Kanwar and Richa Sharma

Department of mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana, India

(Received on: 05-06-12; Accepted on: 30-06-12)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove a fixed point theorem on n - metric spaces. Here we are dealing with n -metric spaces and n mapping. This paper result extends the result of L.Kikina [1] from three metric spaces to n -metric spaces and also it generalizes the results of S.Č.Nešić [2] from two metric spaces to n -metric spaces.

Keywords: Complete metric space, fixed point.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

The following Fixed point theorem on two complete metric spaces proved by B. Fisher [3].

Theorem1.1: Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be complete metric spaces. If T is a mapping of X into Y and S is mapping of Y into X satisfying the Inequality

$$\begin{aligned} e(Tx, TSy) &\leq c \max\{d(x, Sy), e(y, Tx), e(y, TSy)\} \\ d(Sy, STx) &\leq c \max\{e(y, Tx), d(x, Sy), d(x, STx)\} \end{aligned}$$

For all x in X and y in Y . where $0 \leq c < 1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

In 1991, V. Popa [5] gave a result on two complete metric spaces.

Theorem1.2: Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces, if T is a mapping of X into Y and S is a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(Sy, STx) &\leq c_1 \max\{\rho(y, Tx) d(x, Sy), \rho(y, Tx) d(x, STx), d(x, Sy) d(x, STx)\} \\ \rho^2(Tx, TSy) &\leq c_2 \max\{d(x, Sy) \rho(y, Tx), d(x, Sy) \rho(y, TSy), \rho(y, Tx) \rho(y, TSy)\} \end{aligned}$$

For all x in X and y in Y where $0 \leq c_1, c_2 < 1$, then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

The following Fixed point theorem on three complete metric spaces proved by R.K .Jain [4].

Theorem1.3: Let (X, d_1) , (Y, d_2) and (Z, d_3) be complete metric spaces. If T is continuous mapping X into Y , S is continuous mapping Y into Z and R is mapping of Z into X satisfying the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(RSTx, RSTx') &\leq c \max\{d_1(x, x'), d_1(x, RSTx), d_1(x', RSTx'), d_2(Tx, Tx'), d_3(STx, STx')\} \\ d_2(TRSy, TRSy') &\leq c \max\{d_2(y, y'), d_2(y, TRSy), d_2(y', TRSy'), d_3(Sy, Sy'), d_1(RSy, RSy')\} \\ d_3(STRz, STRz') &\leq c \max\{d_3(z, z'), d_3(z, STRz), d_3(z', STRz'), d_1(Rz, Rz'), d_2(TRz, TRz')\} \end{aligned}$$

for all x, x' in X , y, y' in Y and z, z' in Z , where $0 \leq c < 1$, then RST has unique fixed point u in X , TRS has unique fixed point v in Y and STR has fixed point w in Z . Further, $Tu = v$, $Sv = w$ and $Rw = u$.

Corresponding author: Vishal Gupta

Department of mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana, India

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 A Metric space is an ordered pair (X, d) where X is a non empty Set and d is a metric on X or also called distance function or simple distance, i.e a function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- i. $d(x, y) \geq 0$ (non -negative)
- ii. $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$
- iii. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (symmetric)
- iv. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ (triangle inequality)

Definition 2.2: Let (X, d) be a Metric Space. A Sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to converge to point x in X iff the following criterion is satisfied.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a positive integer $n_0(\varepsilon)$, Such that

$$d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

Definition 2.3: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a metric space (X, d) is said to be a Cauchy Sequence iff for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a positive integer number $n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } n, m \geq n_0$$

Definition 2.4: A metric space (X, d) is said to be complete iff every Cauchy sequence in X converges to point of X .

Let (X_i, d_i) be complete metric spaces where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. If A_i is mapping of X_i to X_{i+1} where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ and A_n is mapping of x_n to x_1 .

we denote

$$M_1(x^1, x^2) = \{d_1^p(x^1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x^2), d_1^p(x^1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 x^1), d_2^p(x^2, A_1 x^1)\} \quad (2.1)$$

$$M_2(x^2, x^3) = \{d_2^p(x^2, A_1 A_n \dots A_3 x^3), d_2^p(x^2, A_1 A_n \dots A_2 x^2), d_3^p(x^3, A_2 x^2)\} \quad (2.2)$$

$$M_3(x^3, x^4) = \{d_3^p(x^3, A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_4 x^4), d_3^p(x^3, A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_3 x^3), d_4^p(x^4, A_3 x^3)\} \quad (2.3)$$

And so on,

$$M_n(x^n, x^1) = \{d_n^p(x^n, A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 x^1), d_n^p(x^n, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n x^n), d_1^p(x^1, A_n x^n)\} \quad (2.4)$$

Let $F: [0, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be continuous mapping in 0 with $F(0) = 0$

In this paper we prove a fixed point theorem on n metric spaces and some corollaries which extend the result of L.Kikina [1] from three metric spaces to n-metric spaces and a version of Vishal Gupta et al [6-7].

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1: Let (X_i, d_i) be complete metric spaces where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. If A_i is mapping of X_i to X_{i+1} where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ and A_n is mapping of x_n to x_1 satisfying the following inequalities.

$$d_1^p(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x^2, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 x^1) \leq c \max M_1(x^1, x^2) + F(\min M_1) \quad (3.1)$$

$$d_2^p(A_1 A_n \dots A_4 A_3 x^3, A_1 A_n \dots A_3 A_2 x^2) \leq c \max M_2(x^2, x^3) + F(\min M_2) \quad (3.2)$$

$$d_3^p(A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_5 A_4 x^4, A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_4 A_3 x^3) \leq c \max M_3(x^3, x^4) + F(\min M_3) \quad (3.3)$$

So continuously like above.

$$d_n^p(A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_2 A_1 x^1, A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_2 A_1 A_n x^n) \leq c \max M_n(x^n, x^1) + F(\min M_n) \quad (3.4)$$

$\forall x^1 \in X_1, x^2 \in X_2, \dots, x^n \in X_n$, where $0 \leq c < 1$. Then $A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_1 \in X_1$, $A_1 A_n \dots A_3 A_2$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_2 \in X_2$, $A_2 A_1 \dots A_4 A_3$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_3 \in X_3$ and so on $A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_1 A_n$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_n \in X_n$. Further, $A_1(\beta_1) = \beta_2, A_2(\beta_2) = \beta_3, A_3(\beta_3) = \beta_4, \dots, A_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1}) = \beta_n, A_n(\beta_n) = \beta_1$

Proof: Let x_0^1 be an arbitrary point in X_1 , let define sequence $\{x_m^1\}, \{x_m^2\}, \dots, \{x_m^n\}$ in X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_3 A_2 A_1)^m x_0^1 &= x_m^1, \\ x_m^2 &= A_1(x_{m-1}^1), \\ x_m^3 &= A_2(x_m^2) \\ &\vdots \\ x_m^n &= A_{n-1}(x_m^{n-1}) \\ x_m^1 &= A_n(x_m^n) \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

We will assume that $x_m^1 \neq x_{m+1}^1, x_m^2 \neq x_{m+1}^2$ and so on $x_m^n \neq x_{m+1}^n$ for all m. Otherwise, if $x_m^1 = x_{m+1}^1$ for some m, then $x_m^2 = x_{m+1}^2, x_m^3 = x_{m+1}^3$ and so on $x_m^n = x_{m+1}^n$, we could put $x_m^1 = \beta_1, x_{m+1}^2 = \beta_2$ and so on $x_{m+1}^n = \beta_n$.

First, we prove the sequences $\{x_m^1\}, \{x_m^2\}, \dots, \{x_m^n\}$ are cauchy sequences.

Taking $x^1 = x_m^1, x^2 = x_{m+1}^2$ in (2.1) and (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^2) &= \{d_1^p(x_m^1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x_m^2), d_1^p(x_m^1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 x_m^1), d_2^p(x_m^2, A_1 x_m^1)\} \\ &= \{d_1^p(x_m^1, x_m^1), d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1), d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2)\} \\ &= \{0, d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1), d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1) &= d_1^p(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x_m^2, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 x_m^1) \\ &\leq c \max M_1(x_m^1, x_m^2) + F(\min M_1(x_m^1, x_m^2)) \\ &= c \max\{0, d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1), d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2)\} + F(0) \\ &= c d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2) \end{aligned}$$

Since, if $\max M_1(x_m^1, x_m^2) = d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1)$, then

$$d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1) \leq c d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1)$$

It follows $x_m^1 = x_{m+1}^1$ since $0 \leq c < 1$, so

$$d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1) \leq c d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2) \quad (3.5)$$

Taking $x^2 = x_m^2, x^3 = x_{m-1}^3$ in (2.2) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(x_m^2, x_{m-1}^3) &= \{d_2^p(x_m^2, A_1 A_n \dots A_3 x_{m-1}^3), d_2^p(x_m^2, A_1 A_n \dots A_2 x_m^2), d_3^p(x_{m-1}^3, A_2 x_m^2)\} \\ &= \{d_2^p(x_m^2, x_m^2), d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2), d_3^p(x_{m-1}^3, x_m^3)\} \\ &= \{0, d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2), d_3^p(x_{m-1}^3, x_m^3)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2) &= d_2^p(A_1 A_n \dots A_4 A_3 x_{m-1}^3, A_1 A_n \dots A_3 A_2 x_m^2) \\ &\leq c \max M_2(x_m^2, x_{m-1}^3) + F(\min M_2(x_m^2, x_{m-1}^3)) \\ &= c \max\{0, d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2), d_3^p(x_{m-1}^3, x_m^3)\} + F(0) \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq c < 1$, we get

$$d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2) \leq c d_3^p(x_{m-1}^3, x_m^3) \quad (3.6)$$

Taking $x^3 = x_m^3, x^4 = x_{m-1}^4$ in (2.3) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(x_m^3, x_{m-1}^4) &= \{d_3^p(x_m^3, A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_4 x_{m-1}^4), d_3^p(x_m^3, A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_3 x_m^3), d_4^p(x_{m-1}^4, A_3 x_m^3)\} \\ &= \{d_3^p(x_m^3, x_m^3), d_3^p(x_m^3, x_{m+1}^3), d_4^p(x_{m-1}^4, x_m^4)\} \\ &= \{0, d_3^p(x_m^3, x_{m+1}^3), d_4^p(x_{m-1}^4, x_m^4)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_3^p(x_m^3, x_{m+1}^3) &= d_3^p(A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_5 A_4 x_{m-1}^4, A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_4 A_3 x_m^3) \\ &\leq c \max M_3(x_m^3, x_{m-1}^4) + F(\min M_3(x_m^3, x_{m-1}^4)) \\ &= c \max\{0, d_3^p(x_m^3, x_{m+1}^3), d_4^p(x_{m-1}^4, x_m^4)\} + F(0) \\ &= c d_4^p(x_{m-1}^4, x_m^4) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing m with m-1 we obtain

$$d_3^p(x_{m-1}^3, x_m^3) \leq c d_4^p(x_{m-2}^4, x_{m-1}^4) \quad (3.7)$$

Continuously like above, taking $x^n = x_m^n, x^1 = x_{m-1}^1$ in (2.4) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(x_m^n, x_{m-1}^1) &= \{d_n^p(x_m^n, A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 x_{m-1}^1), d_n^p(x_m^n, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n x_m^n), d_1^p(x_{m-1}^1, A_n x_{m-1}^1)\} \\ &= \{d_n^p(x_m^n, x_m^n), d_n^p(x_m^n, x_{m+1}^n), d_1^p(x_{m-1}^1, x_m^1)\} \\ &= \{0, d_n^p(x_m^n, x_{m+1}^n), d_1^p(x_{m-1}^1, x_m^1)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^p(x_m^n, x_{m+1}^n) &= d_n^p(A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_2 A_1 x_{m-1}^1, A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_2 A_1 A_n x_m^n) \\ &\leq c \max M_n(x_m^n, x_{m-1}^1) + F(\min M_n(x_m^n, x_{m-1}^1)) \\ &= c \max\{0, d_n^p(x_m^n, x_{m+1}^n), d_1^p(x_{m-1}^1, x_m^1)\} + F(0) \\ &= c d_1^p(x_{m-1}^1, x_m^1) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing m with m-n+2, we get

$$d_n^p(x_{m-n+2}^n, x_{m-n+3}^n) \leq c d_1^p(x_{m-n+1}^1, x_{m-n+2}^1) \quad (3.8)$$

Using (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1) &\leq c d_2^p(x_m^2, x_{m+1}^2) \leq c^2 d_3^p(x_{m-1}^3, x_m^3) \leq \dots \leq c^{n-1} d_n^p(x_{m-n+2}^n, x_{m-n+3}^n) \leq c^n d_1^p(x_{m-n+1}^1, x_{m-n+2}^1) \\ &\leq c^{2n} d_1^p(x_{m-2n+2}^1, x_{m-2n+3}^1) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \begin{cases} c^{nk} d_1^p(x_1^1, x_2^1), m = (n-1)k + 1 \\ c^{nk} d_1^p(x_0^1, x_1^1), m = (n-1)k \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq c < 1$, the sequences $\{x_m^1\}, \{x_m^2\}, \dots, \{x_m^n\}$ are cauchy sequences. Since (X_i, d_i) be complete metric spaces where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m^1 &= \beta_1 \in X_1 \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m^2 &= \beta_2 \in X_2 \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m^3 &= \beta_3 \in X_3 \\ &\vdots \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m^n &= \beta_n \in X_n\end{aligned}$$

Now taking $x^1 = x_m^1$ and $x^2 = \beta_2$ in the inequality (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}d_1^p(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 \beta_2, x_{m+1}^1) &= d_1^p(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 \beta_2, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 x_m^1) \\ &\leq c \max M_1(x_m^1, \beta_2) + F(\min M_1(x_m^1, \beta_2))\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Where } M_1(x_m^1, \beta_2) &= \{d_1^p(x_m^1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 \beta_2), d_1^p(x_m^1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 x_m^1), d_2^p(\beta_2, A_1 x_m^1)\} \\ &= \{d_1^p(x_m^1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 \beta_2), d_1^p(x_m^1, x_{m+1}^1), d_2^p(\beta_2, x_{m+1}^2)\}\end{aligned}$$

As m tend to infinity in (3.9) and F is continuous in 0 we get

$$d_1^p(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 \beta_2, \beta_1) \leq c d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 \beta_2)$$

$$\text{So we get, } A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 \beta_2 = \beta_1$$

In same way, we obtain

$$A_1 A_n \dots A_4 A_3 \beta_3 = \beta_2, A_2 A_1 A_n \dots A_5 A_4 \beta_4 = \beta_3, \dots, A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1 = \beta_n$$

Using (3.1), taking $x^1 = \beta_1, x^2 = x_m^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}d_1^p(x_m^1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1) &= d_1^p(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x_m^2, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1) \\ &\leq c \max M_1(\beta_1, x_m^2) + F(\min M_1(\beta_1, x_m^2))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Where, } M_1(\beta_1, x_m^2) &= \{d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x_m^2), d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1), d_2^p(x_m^2, A_1 \beta_1)\} \\ &= \{d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x_m^1), d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1), d_2^p(x_m^2, A_1 \beta_1)\}\end{aligned}$$

Now letting m tend to infinity we get

$$\begin{aligned}d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1) &\leq c \max\{d_1^p(\beta_1, \beta_1), d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1), d_2^p(\beta_2, A_1 \beta_1)\} \\ &= c \max\{d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1), d_2^p(\beta_2, A_1 \beta_1)\}\end{aligned}$$

From which it follows, or

$$d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1) \leq c d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1) \Leftrightarrow A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1 = \beta_1$$

Or

$$d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 A_1 \beta_1) \leq c d_2^p(\beta_2, A_1 \beta_1)$$

This can be also written in following form

$$d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n\beta_n) \leq cd_2^p(\beta_2, A_1\beta_1) \quad (3.10)$$

Since, $A_{n-1}A_{n-2} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1 = \beta_n$

Taking $x^3 = x_m^3, x^2 = \beta_2$ in inequality (3.2) in the same way as above, we obtain

$$d_2^p(\beta_2, A_1\beta_1) \leq cd_3^p(\beta_3, A_2\beta_2) \quad (3.11)$$

Continuously like above, we get

$$d_n^p(\beta_n, A_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}) \leq cd_1^p(\beta_1, A_n\beta_n) \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n\beta_n) &\leq cd_2^p(\beta_2, A_1\beta_1) \leq c^2d_3^p(\beta_3, A_2\beta_2) \leq \dots \leq c^{n-1}d_n^p(\beta_n, A_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}) \leq c^nd_1^p(\beta_1, A_n\beta_n) \\ &\Rightarrow d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n\beta_n) \leq c^nd_1^p(\beta_1, A_n\beta_n) \\ &\Leftrightarrow A_n\beta_n = \beta_1, \text{ since } 0 \leq c < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus again $d_1^p(\beta_1, A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1) = d_1^p(\beta_1, A_n\beta_n) = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1 = \beta_1$$

So, we proved that β_1 is a fixed point of $A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1$

In same way it can be shown that $A_1A_n \dots A_3A_2$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_2 \in X_2$, $A_2A_1 \dots A_4A_3$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_3 \in X_3$ and so on $A_{n-1}A_{n-2} \dots A_1A_n$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_n \in X_n$.

Further, we also showed that $A_1(\beta_1) = \beta_2, A_2(\beta_2) = \beta_3, A_3(\beta_3) = \beta_4, \dots, A_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1}) = \beta_n, A_n(\beta_n) = \beta_1$

Now let assume now that $\beta_1^1 \in X_1$ is another fixed point of $A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1$, different from β_1 .

Using (3.1), if we take $x^1 = \beta_1, x^2 = A_1\beta_1^1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^p(\beta_1^1, \beta_1) &= d_1^p(A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1^1, A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1) \\ &\leq c \max M_1(\beta_1, A_1\beta_1^1) + F(\min M_1(\beta_1, A_1\beta_1^1)) \end{aligned}$$

Where, $M_1(\beta_1, A_1\beta_1^1) = \{d_1^p(\beta_1, A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1^1), d_1^p(\beta_1, A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1), d_2^p(A_1\beta_1^1, A_1\beta_1)\}$
 $= \{d_1^p(\beta_1, \beta_1^1), d_1^p(\beta_1, \beta_1), d_2^p(A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_2)\}$

From which it follows

$$d_1^p(\beta_1^1, \beta_1) \leq cd_2^p(A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_2) \quad (3.13)$$

Taking $x^3 = \beta_3, x^2 = A_1\beta_1^1$ in inequality (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_2^p(\beta_2, A_1\beta_1^1) &= d_2^p(A_1A_n \dots A_4A_3\beta_3, A_1A_n \dots A_3A_2A_1\beta_1^1) \\ &\leq c \max M_2(A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_3) + F(\min M_2(A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_3)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where, } M_2(A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_3) = \{d_2^p(A_1\beta_1^1, A_1A_n \dots A_3\beta_3), d_2^p(A_1\beta_1^1, A_1A_n \dots A_2A_1\beta_1^1), d_3^p(\beta_3, A_2A_1\beta_1^1)\} \\ = d_2^p(A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_2), d_2^p(A_1\beta_1^1, A_1\beta_1^1), d_3^p(\beta_3, A_2A_1\beta_1^1)\}$$

$$\text{Then, } d_2^p(\beta_2, A_1\beta_1^1) \leq cd_3^p(\beta_3, A_2A_1\beta_1^1) \quad (3.14)$$

Continuously like above, using (3.4), we get

$$d_n^p(A_{n-1}A_{n-2} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_n) \leq cd_1^p(\beta_1^1, \beta_1) \quad (3.15)$$

By using (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15)

$$d_1^p(\beta_1^1, \beta_1) \leq cd_2^p(A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_2) \leq c^2d_3^p(\beta_3, A_2A_1\beta_1^1) \leq \dots \leq c^{n-1}d_n^p(A_{n-1}A_{n-2} \dots A_2A_1\beta_1^1, \beta_n) \leq c^nd_1^p(\beta_1^1, \beta_1) \\ \Leftrightarrow d_1^p(\beta_1^1, \beta_1) \leq c^nd_1^p(\beta_1^1, \beta_1) \text{ where } 0 \leq c < 1.$$

It follows that $\beta_1^1 = \beta_1$.

Thus we proved β_1 is the fixed point of $A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2A_1$.

In the same way, it can be shown that $A_1A_n \dots A_3A_2$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_2 \in X_2$, $A_2A_1 \dots A_4A_3$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_3 \in X_3$ and so on $A_{n-1}A_{n-2} \dots A_1A_n$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_n \in X_n$.

Corollary3.2: if we take $n=3$, then we obtain [1] proved by Luljeta kikina.

Corollary3.3: if we take $n=2$, then we obtain [2] proved by S.Č.Nešić.

Corollary3.4: if we take $n=3$, $p=2$ and $F(t)=0$ for all t belongs to \mathbb{R}^+ , then we obtain a gereneralization of theorem 2[5], extended to three metric spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.Kikina, Fixed point theorem in three metric spaces, Int.Journal of math.analysis. , Vol.3 (2009), no.13, 619-626.
- [2] S.Č.Nešić, A fixed point theorem in two metric spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci.Math. Roumanie (N.S.), Vol. 44(2001), no.92, 253-257.
- [3] B. Fisher, Fixed points theorem on two metric spaces, Glasnik Mat., Vol. 16 (1981) no.36, 333-337.
- [4] R. K. Jain, H. K. Sahu, and B. Fisher, Related fixed point theorems for three metric spaces, Novi Sad J. Math., Vol. 26 (1996), no.1, 11-17.
- [5] V. Popa, Fixed points on two metric spaces, Zb. Rad. Prirod, Mat. Fak (N.S.)Ser. Mat., Vol. 21 (1991), 83-93.
- [6] Vishal Gupta, Ashima Kanwar and S.B. Singh, "A Fixed Point Theorem For n-Mapping on n-Metric Spaces" International journal of *Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development*, Vol.1, Issue 2, p.p.257-268, 2012.
- [7] Vishal Gupta, Ashima Kanwar and R.K. Saini, "Related Fixed Point Theorem on n Metric Spaces", International Journal of Mathematical Archive Vol.3 , No. 3, pp. 902-908, 2012, ISSN: 2229 – 5046.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared