



A THEOREM RELAED TO KAZAKOV’S FORMULA

S. Mujeeb-uddin

Department of Mathematics, Gandhi Faiz-e-Aam College, Shahjahanpur-242001, U.P. (India)

E-mail: syedmujeebuddin.gfc@gmail.com

(Received on: 03-01-11; Accepted on: 18-01-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper I have find a theorem related to Kazakov’s Formula. I also find applications of the theorem as proposition and remark.

1. INTRODUCTION:

I will express the correlation kernel of the deformed Laguerre ensemble $K_N(u, v; y)$ as a double integral over some contours in the complex plane. From the unitary invariance of the Gaussian law, we know that the correlation function depends only on H^*H through its empirical spectral measure. “Kazakov’s formula,” which was first used in [1], is the trick to explicitly bring out the spectral measure $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{y_i}$.

$$\text{Let } s = 2t = \frac{4\sigma_1^2 a^2}{N}.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS:

Theorem: 1 The correlation kernel of the deformed Langerre ensemble is given by

$$(a) \quad K_N(u, v; y) = \frac{v-u}{i\pi s^2} \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{z^2-w^2}{s}\right) J_v\left(2\frac{zu^{\frac{1}{2}}}{s}\right) J_v\left(2\frac{wv^{\frac{1}{2}}}{s}\right) \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{w^2 + y_i}{z^2 + y_i} \left(\frac{w}{z}\right)^v \frac{-2zw}{w^2 - z^2} dzdw,$$

where $\gamma = \mathbb{R}^+$ and Γ is a contour encircling the $i\sqrt{y_j}, j = 1, \dots, N$ (but not the $-i\sqrt{y_j}, 1, \dots, N$) not crossing γ .

Proof of Theorem: 1 We can first rewrite, using Cramer’s formula,

$$(b) \quad K_N^T(u, v) = \sum_{j=1}^N p_t(y_j, u) \frac{\det A_j(v)}{\det A}$$

where $A_{i,j} = p_{t,T}(y_j, z_i)$ and $A_j(v)$ is the matrix obtained from A by replacing the column j by $(p_T(v, z_1), \dots, p_T(v, z_N))^T$. This can also be written, by multilinearity of the determinant, as

$$(c) \quad K_N^T(u, v; y) = \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\frac{v}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^N p_t(y_j, u) \left(\frac{y_j}{u}\right)^{\frac{v}{2}} \frac{\det B(v)}{\det B}$$

*Corresponding author: S. Mujeeb-uddin, E-mail: syedmujeebuddin.gfc@gmail.com

where

$$B_{i,j} = I_\nu \left(\frac{\sqrt{y_i z_j}}{T+t} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{y_i + z_j}{2(T+t)} \right)$$

and $B(\nu)$ is obtained from B by replacing $T+t$ with T and y_j with ν .

The next step will be achieved in the following proposition. In this proposition we rewrite the ratio of determinants in (c) and then let T grow infinity to obtain an expression for the correlation kernel of the deformed Laguerre ensemble.

Proposition: 2

$$(d) \quad K_N(u, \nu; y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{2}{s^2} e^{\left(\frac{\nu-u}{s}\right)} \left(\frac{u}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} e^{i\frac{\nu\pi}{2}} \exp(-y_j) I_\nu \left(\frac{\sqrt{y_j} u^{\frac{1}{2}}}{s} \right) \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp\left(-\frac{w^2}{s}\right) J_\nu \left(\frac{2\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} w}{s} \right) \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{-w^2 - y_i}{y_j - y_i} \left(\frac{iw}{\sqrt{y_j}} \right)^\nu w dw.$$

Remark: 3 After wards we will not consider $\left(\frac{u}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}$ any more since it will not play a role in the asymptotic

$$\det \left(K_N(x_i, x_j; y) \right)_{i,j=1}^m = \det \left(\left(\frac{x_j}{x_i} \right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} K_N(x_i, x_j; y) \right)_{i,j=1}^m$$

Proof of Proposition: 2 Because the two matrices under consideration in (c) differ by the j^{th} column only, we will find an integral transform expressing this column in $B(\nu)$ in terms of that of B . This will make use of some kind of time inversion for the semigroup with transition density $p_t(y,x)$. Eventually we will let $T \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain the correlation kernel of the deformed Laguerre ensemble.

Lemma: 4

$$(e) \quad \frac{1}{p^2} I_\nu \left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu} \sqrt{z}}{T} \right) \exp \left(\frac{-\nu(T+t)}{2tT} \right) \exp \left(\frac{-zt}{2(T+t)^2} \right) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left(\frac{x^2(T+t)}{2tT} \right) I_\nu \left(\frac{(T+t)\sqrt{\nu}x}{tT} \right) I_\nu \left(\frac{\sqrt{z}x}{T+t} \right) x dx$$

Proof: We start from formula [2, p. 108], valid for any a, b:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp(-p^2 x^2) x J_\nu(ax) J_\nu(bx) dx = \frac{1}{2p^2} I_\nu \left(\frac{ab}{2p^2} \right) \exp \left(\frac{-a^2 - b^2}{4p^2} \right).$$

The left-hand side can be rewritten as

$$(f) \quad \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp(-x^2) J_\nu\left(\frac{ax}{p}\right) J_\nu\left(\frac{bx}{p}\right) x dx.$$

We first make the change of variables $x = iy$, obtaining that (f) can be rewritten as

$$(f) \quad = \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^-} \exp(y^2) J_\nu\left(\frac{aiy}{p}\right) J_\nu\left(\frac{biy}{p}\right) y dy$$

$$= e^{(v i \pi)} \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^-} \exp(y^2) I_\nu\left(\frac{ay}{p}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{by}{p}\right) y dy$$

Where we have used in the last equality that $I_\nu(z) = J_\nu(iz) \exp \frac{v i \pi}{2}$.

For $p = \sqrt{t/(T(t+T))}$ and making the change of variables $y = x/\sqrt{2t}$, we obtain

$$(g) \quad \int_{i\mathbb{R}^-} e^{y^2} I_\nu\left(\frac{ay}{p}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{by}{p}\right) y dy =$$

$$\frac{1}{2t} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^-} e^{\frac{x^2}{2t}} I_\nu\left(\frac{ax\sqrt{T(t+T)}}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{bx\sqrt{T(t+T)}}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) x dx.$$

Setting then

$$a = \frac{T+t}{T} \frac{\sqrt{2t}\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{T(t+T)}}, \quad b = \frac{T}{T+t} \frac{\sqrt{2t}\sqrt{z}}{T\sqrt{T(t+T)}}$$

in (g) we obtain

$$(h) \quad , \quad g = \frac{1}{2t} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^-} \exp\left(\frac{x^2(T+t)}{2tT}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{(T+t)\sqrt{v}x}{tT}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}x}{T+t}\right) x dx$$

and the left-hand side is then given by

$$(I) \quad \frac{1}{2p^2} I_\nu\left(\frac{\sqrt{v}\sqrt{z}}{T}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-v(T+t)}{2tT}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-zt}{2(T+t)^2}\right)$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma.

We come back to the proof of proposition 2. Then developing the determinant along the j^{th} column, we obtain the representation

$$\frac{\det B(v)}{\det B} = (-1)^v \int_{i\mathbb{R}^-} \frac{1}{t} \exp\left(\frac{u^2(T+t)}{2tT}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{\sqrt{vu}(T+t)}{tT}\right) \frac{\det B(u)}{\det B} u du,$$

where the matrix $\bar{B}(u)$ has been obtained from B by changing y_j to u. We can now pass to the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$, thanks to the dominated convergence theorem and to the fact (proven in [3] that $\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$ is a minimal harmonic function for squared Bessel processes on the Weyl chamber $W = \{x_1 < \dots < x_N\}$. We obtain that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det \tilde{B}(\tau)}{\det B} = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{u^2 - y_i}{y_j - y_i}$$

This then gives that

$$\frac{\det B(v)}{\det B} = \frac{(-1)^v}{t} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^-} \exp\left(\frac{u^2}{2t}\right) I_v\left(\frac{u\sqrt{v}}{t}\right) \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{u^2 - y_i}{y_j - y_i} \left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{y_j}}\right)^v u du.$$

We then change $u \rightarrow iw$ using that $I_v(z) = J_v(iz) \exp \frac{v i \pi}{2}$ and then change t to $\frac{s}{2}$; we thus obtain the result.

CONCLUSION:

We can now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. Then sum over y_j occurring in Proposition 2 can be written as a residue integral. This is Kazakov's formula [4], which seems to have been used first by Brezin and Hikami [5]. We eventually make the change of variable $z \mapsto iz$.

REFERENCES:

1. Brezin, E.; Hikami, S. Correlations of nearby levels induced by a random potential. Nucl. Phys. B 479 (1996), no. 3, 697-706.
2. Oberhettinger, F. Tables of bessel transforms. Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
3. Koing, W.; O' Connell, N. Eigenvalues of the Laguerre process as non-colliding squared Bessel processes. Electro. Comm. Prob. 6(2001), 107-114.
4. Kazakov, V. External matrix field problem and new multicriticalities in (2) - dimensional random surfaces. Nuclear Phys. B 354 (1991), 614-624.
5. Brezin, E.; Hikami, S. Spectral form factor in a random matrix theory. Phys. Rev. E (3) 55 (1997). 4067-4083.