



COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR FOUR MAPPINGS IN \mathcal{M} - FUZZY METRIC SPACE

T. Veerapandi

Associate Professor of Mathematics, P.M.T. College, Melaneelithanallur – 627953, India

E-mail: tveerapandi@ymail.com

G. Uthaya Sankar*

*Department of Mathematics, Mano College (An Institution of M.S.University, Tirunelveli),
Sankarankovil – 627756, India*

E-mail: uthayaganapathy@yahoo.com

A. Subramanian

Department of Mathematics, The M.D.T. Hindu College, Tirunelveli – 627010, India

E-mail: asmani1963@gmail.com

(Received on: 16-03-12; Accepted on: 31-03-12)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for four mappings in \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space using the notion of semi compatibility. Also, we prove a common fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible mappings in \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: Complete \mathcal{M} – Fuzzy metric space, Semi compatible mappings, weakly compatible mappings, Common fixed point.

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Zadeh [16] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets in 1965. George and Veeramani [2] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [7] and defined the Hausdorff topology of fuzzy metric spaces. Many authors [4, 8] have proved fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space. Recently Sedghi and Shobe [13] introduced D^* - metric space as a probable modification of the definition of D - metric introduced by Dhage [1], and prove some basic properties in D^* - metric spaces. Using D^* - metric concepts, Sedghi and Shobe define \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space and proved a common fixed point theorem in it. Jong Seo Park [5] introduced the concept of semi compatible and weak compatible in \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space and prove some fixed point theorems satisfying some conditions in \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space. In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for four mappings in \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space using the notion of semi compatibility. Also, we prove a common fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible mappings in \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space.

Definition: 1.1 Let X be a nonempty set. A generalized metric (or D^* - metric) on X is a function: $D^* : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that satisfies the following conditions for each $x, y, z, a \in X$

- (i) $D^*(x, y, z) \geq 0$,
- (ii) $D^*(x, y, z) = 0$ iff $x = y = z$,
- (iii) $D^*(x, y, z) = D^*(p\{x, y, z\})$, (symmetry) where p is a permutation function,
- (iv) $D^*(x, y, z) \leq D^*(x, y, a) + D^*(a, z, x)$.

The pair (X, D^*) , is called a generalized metric (or D^* - metric) space.

Example: 1.2 Examples of D^* - metric are

- (a) $D^*(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$,
- (b) $D^*(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$.

Here, d is the ordinary metric on X .

***Corresponding author: G. Uthaya Sankar*, *E-mail: uthayaganapathy@yahoo.com**

Definition: 1.3 A fuzzy set \mathcal{M} in an arbitrary set X is a function with domain X and values in $[0, 1]$.

Definition: 1.4 A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t -norm if it satisfies the following conditions

- (i) $*$ is associative and commutative,
- (ii) $*$ is continuous,
- (iii) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Examples for continuous t -norm are $a * b = ab$ and $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$.

Definition: 1.5 A 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary non-empty set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, and \mathcal{M} is a fuzzy set on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $t, s > 0$

- (FM-1) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$
- (FM-2) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1$ iff $x = y = z$
- (FM-3) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$, where p is a permutation function
- (FM-4) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, s) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t+s)$
- (FM-5) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous
- (FM-6) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1$.

Example: 1.6 Let X be a nonempty set and D^* is the D^* -metric on X . Denote $a * b = a.b$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. For each $t \in (0, \infty)$, define

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + D^*(x, y, z)}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. We call this \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric induced by D^* -metric space. Thus every D^* -metric induces a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric.

Lemma: 1.7 ([13]) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Then for every $t > 0$ and for every $x, y \in X$, we have $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t)$.

Lemma: 1.8 ([13]) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Then $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$ is non-decreasing with respect to t , for all x, y, z in X .

Definition: 1.9 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X

- (a) $\{x_n\}$ is said to be converges to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$
- (b) $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$
- (c) A \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Remark: 1.10 Since $*$ is continuous, it follows from (FM-4) that the limit of the sequence is uniquely determined.

Definition: 1.11 Let S and T be two self mappings of a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Then the mappings are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(STx_n, TSx_n, TSx_n, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition: 1.12 Let S and T be two self mappings of a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Then the mappings are called semi compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(STx_n, Tz, Tz, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(TSx_n, Sz, Sz, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition: 1.13 Let S and T be two self mappings of a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Then the mappings S and T are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points; that is, if $Sx = Tx$ for some $x \in X$, then $STx = TSx$.

Lemma: 1.14 ([11]) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with the condition (FM-6). If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, t) \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ and } n = 1, 2, 3 \dots, \text{ then } \{x_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence.}$$

Lemma 1.15 ([11]) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with condition (FM-6). If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$, then $x = y = z$.

MAIN RESULTS:

Theorem: 2.1 Let S and T be two continuous self mappings of a complete \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Let A and B be two self mappings of X satisfying

- (1) $A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X)$.
- (2) (A, S) and (B, T) are semi compatible.
- (3) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(By, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t) \}.$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary element.

Since $A(X) \subset T(X)$, then there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$.

Also, since $B(X) \subset S(X)$, then there exists another point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$.

Then by induction, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} \text{ and } y_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now using condition (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) = \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bx_{2n+3}, Tx_{2n+3}, Tx_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3}, Tx_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t)$.

Hence $\mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t)$, for all n .

By lemma 1.14, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space X .

Since X is \mathcal{M} – fuzzy complete, sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to the point $z \in X$.

Also, since $\{Ax_{2n}\}, \{Bx_{2n+1}\}, \{Sx_{2n}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ are subsequences of $\{y_n\}$, they also converge to the point z .

Case I: Since S is continuous, we have $Sx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz, SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$.

Also (A, S) is semi compatible, we have $ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$.

Let $x = Sx_{2n}$, $y = x_{2n+1}$ in condition (3) we get

$$\mathcal{M}(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(SSx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}, t), \mathcal{M}(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}(Sz, z, z, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Sz, Sz, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, z, z, t) \} \\ = \mathcal{M}(Sz, z, z, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $Sz = z$.

Now let $x = z$, $y = x_{2n+1}$ in condition (3) we get

$$\mathcal{M}(Az, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Sz, Sz, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Az, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Sz, Sz, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, t) \} \\ = \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, t) \} \\ = \mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $Az = z$.

Therefore $Az = z = Sz$.

Case II: Since T is continuous, we have $TBx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Tz$, $TTx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Tz$.

Also (B, T) is semi compatible; we have $BTx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Tz$.

Let $x = x_{2n}$, $y = Tx_{2n+1}$ in condition (3) we get

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, BTx_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, TTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, BTx_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n+1}, t) \}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}(z, Tz, Tz, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Tz, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, Tz, t) \} \\ = \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, Tz, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $Tz = z$.

Now let $x = x_{2n}$, $y = z$ in condition (3) we get

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Bz, Bz, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bz, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Bz, Bz, t) \}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bz, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t) \} \\ = \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bz, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t) \} \\ = \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bz, Bz, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t) \} \\ = \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) \} \\ = \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $Bz = z$.

Therefore $Bz = z = Tz$.

Thus we have $Az = Sz = Bz = Tz = z$.

Hence z is a common fixed point of A, B, S , and T .

Uniqueness: Suppose $z' (\neq z)$ is another common fixed point of A, B, S , and T .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mathcal{M}(z, z', z', kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Az, Bz', Bz', kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bz', Tz', Tz', t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tz', Tz', t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Sz, Sz, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Bz', Bz', t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z', z', z', t), \mathcal{M}(z, z', z', t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z', z', t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(z, z', z', t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $z = z'$.

This completes the proof.

Remark: 2.2 Putting $B = A$ in theorem 2.1, we get the following result.

Corollary: 2.3 Let S and T be two continuous self mappings of a complete \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Let A be a self mapping of X satisfying

- (1) $A(X) \subset T(X), A(X) \subset S(X)$.
- (2) (A, S) and (A, T) are semi compatible.
- (3) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Ay, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, t) \}.$$

Then A, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Remark: 2.4 Putting $B = A, T = S$ in theorem 2.1, we get the following result.

Corollary: 2.5 Let S be continuous self mapping of a complete \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Let A be a self mapping of X satisfying

- (1) $A(X) \subset S(X)$
- (2) (A, S) semi compatible pair of mappings
- (3) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Ay, Sy, Sy, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sy, Sy, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, t) \}.$$

Then A and S have a unique common fixed point.

Remark: 2.6 Putting $B = A, T = S = I$ in theorem 2.1, we get the following result.

Corollary: 2.7 Let A be a self mapping of a complete \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Ay, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, t) \} \text{ for all } x, y \in X, t > 0 \text{ and } 0 < k < 1. \text{ Then } A \text{ has a unique fixed point.}$$

Theorem: 2.8 Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a complete \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ satisfying the following conditions

- (1) $A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X)$.
- (2) (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.
- (3) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(By, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, By, By, t) \}.$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary element.

Since $A(X) \subset T(X)$, then there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$.

Also, since $B(X) \subset S(X)$, then there exists another point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$.

Then by induction, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} \text{ and } y_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now using condition (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) = \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2}, t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(Ax_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t), \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t)$.

Hence $\mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t)$, for all n .

By lemma 1.14, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space X .

Since X is \mathcal{M} -fuzzy complete, sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to the point $z \in X$.

Also, since $\{Ax_{2n}\}$, $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$, $\{Sx_{2n}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ are subsequences of $\{y_n\}$, they also converge to the point z .

Since $B(X) \subset S(X)$, there exists a point $u \in X$ such that $z = Su$.

Then by condition (3) we have

$$\mathcal{M}(Au, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(Su, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Au, Su, Su, t), \mathcal{M}(Au, Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Au, z, z, kt) &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Su, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Au, Su, Su, t), \mathcal{M}(Au, z, z, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Au, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Au, z, z, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(Au, z, z, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $Au = z$.

Therefore $Au = z = Su$.

Similarly, since $A(X) \subset T(X)$, there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $z = Tv$.

Then by condition (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(z, Bv, Bv, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Au, Bv, Bv, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bv, Tv, Tv, t), \mathcal{M}(Su, Tv, Tv, t), \mathcal{M}(Au, Su, Su, t), \mathcal{M}(Au, Bv, Bv, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bv, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Bv, Bv, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(z, Bv, Bv, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $Bv = z$.

Therefore $Bv = z = Tv$.

Hence $Au = z = Su = Bv = Tv$.

Since the pair of mappings (A, S) is weakly compatible, so $ASu = SAu$ gives $Az = Sz$.

Now we prove z is a fixed point of A .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Az, Bv, Bv, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bv, Tv, Tv, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tv, Tv, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Sz, Sz, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Bv, Bv, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Az, Az, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(Az, z, z, t).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $Az = z$.

Hence $Az = z = Sz$.

Since the pair of mappings (B, T) is weakly compatible, so $BTv = TBv$ gives $Bz = Tz$.

Now we prove z is a fixed point of B .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Az, Bz, Bz, kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bz, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tz, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Sz, Sz, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Bz, Bz, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bz, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $Bz = z$.

Hence $Bz = z = Tz$.

Thus we have $Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z$.

Hence z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Uniqueness: Suppose $z' (\neq z)$ is another common fixed point of A, B, S , and T .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now } \mathcal{M}(z, z', z', kt) &= \mathcal{M}(Az, Bz', Bz', kt) \\ &\geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Bz', Tz', Tz', t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Tz', Tz', t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Sz, Sz, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, Bz', Bz', t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathcal{M}(z', z', z', t), \mathcal{M}(z, z', z', t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z', z', t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(z, z', z', t)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 1.15, $z = z'$.

This completes the proof.

Remark: 2.9 Putting $B = A$ in theorem 2.8, we get the following result.

Corollary: 2.10 Let A, S and T be self mappings of a complete \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ satisfying the following conditions

- (1) $A(X) \subset T(X), A(X) \subset S(X)$.
- (2) (A, S) and (A, T) are weakly compatible.
- (3) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Ay, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Ty, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, t) \}.$$

Then A, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Remark: 2.11 Putting $B = A, T = S$ in theorem 2.8, we get the following result.

Corollary: 2.12 Let A and S be self mappings of a complete \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ satisfying the following conditions

- (1) $A(X) \subset S(X)$.
- (2) (A, S) weakly compatible pair of mappings.
- (3) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Ay, Sy, Sy, t), \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sy, Sy, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Sx, Sx, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, t) \}.$$

Then A and S have a unique common fixed point.

Remark: 2.13 Putting $B = A, T = S = I$ in theorem 2.8, we get the following result.

Corollary: 2.14 Let A be a self mapping of a complete \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, kt) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(Ay, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, x, x, t), \mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Ay, t) \}$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and $0 < k < 1$. Then A has a unique fixed point.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Dhage .B.C, Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 84(4), (1992), 329-336.
- [2] George .A and Veeramani .P, On some results in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [3] Grabiec .M, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27 (1988), 385-389.
- [4] Gregori V and Sapena A, On fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 125 (2002), 245-252.
- [5] Jong Seo Park, Some fixed point theorems and examples in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space, J. Korean Soc. Math. Education Series B: Pure Appl. Math., Vol.17, No.3, (2010), 205-209.
- [6] Jungck .G, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [7] Kramosil .I and Michalek .J, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 11 (1975), 326-334.
- [8] Mihet D, A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 144 (2004), 431-439.
- [9] Naidu .S.V.R, Rao .K.P.R and Srinivasa .R.N, On the topology of D-metric spaces and the generation of D-metric spaces from metric space, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 51 (2004), 2719-2740.
- [10] Naidu .S.V.R, Rao .K.P.R and Srinivasa .R.N, On the convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 12 (2005), 1969-1988.
- [11] Park .J.H, Park .J.S and Kwun .Y.C, Fixed point in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces, Optimization and Decision Making, 7 (2008), No.4, 305-315.
- [12] Schweizer .B and Sklar .A, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific. J. Math., 10 (1960), 314-334.
- [13] Sedghi .S and Shobe .N, Fixed point theorem in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces with property (E), Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, Vol.1, No.1 (2006), 55-65.
- [14] Singh .B and Chouhan .M. S, Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 115 (2000), 471-475.
- [15] Veerapandi .T, Jeyaraman .M and Paul Raj Josph .J, Some fixed point and coincident point theorem in generalized \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol.3, (2009), 627-635.
- [16] Zadeh .L. A, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.
