International Journal of Mathematical Archive-3(3), 2012, Page: 1000-1004 Available online through <u>www.ijma.info</u> ISSN 2229 - 5046

HOMOMORPHISM IN Q-INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SUBNEARRINGS OF A NEARRING

¹M. M. SHANMUGAPRIYA* & ²K. ARJUNAN

¹Department of Mathematics, Karpagam University, Coimbatore -641021, Tamilnadu, India E-mail: mirdu.priva@rediffmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, H. H. The Rajah's College, Pudukkottai-622001, Tamilnadu, India E-mail: arjunan 1975@yahoo.co.in, arjunan.karmegam@gmail.com

(Received on: 15-03-12; Accepted on: 28-03-12)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study some of the properties of Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of a nearring and prove some results on these.

2000 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 03F55, 08A72, 20N25.

KEYWORDS: (*O*, *L*)-fuzzy subset, *O*-intuitionistic *L*-fuzzy subset, *O*-intuitionistic *L*-fuzzy subnearring, *O*-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring.

INTRODUCTION

After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L. A. Zadeh [15], several researchers explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The concept of intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset was introduced by K. T. Atanassov [4, 5], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. Azriel Rosenfeld [6] defined fuzzy groups. Asok Kumer Ray [3] defined a product of fuzzy subgroups and A.Solairaju and R.Nagarajan[13,14] have introduced and defined a new algebraic structure called Qfuzzy subgroups. We introduce the concept of Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of a nearring and established some results.

1. PRELIMINARIES:

1.1 Definition: Let X be a non-empty set and $L = (L, \leq)$ be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1 and Q be a non-empty set. A (**Q**, **L**)-fuzzy subset A of X is a function A: $XxQ \rightarrow L$.

1.2 Definition: Let (L, \leq) be a complete lattice with an involutive order reversing operation N: $L \rightarrow L$ and Q be a nonempty set. A **Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset** (QILFS) A in X is defined as an object of the form $A = \{ < (x, q), \mu_A(x, q), \}$ $v_A(x, q) > / x$ in X and q in Q }, where $\mu_A : XxQ \to L$ and $v_A : XxQ \to L$ define the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element $x \in X$ respectively and for every $x \in X$ satisfying $\mu_A(x) \leq N(\nu_A(x))$.

1.3 Definition: Let (R, +, .) be a nearring. A Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset A of R is said to be a Q-intuitionistic Lfuzzy subnearring(QILFSNR) of R if it satisfies the following axioms:

- $\mu_A(x y, q) \ge \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$ (i)
- (ii) $\mu_A(xy, q) \ge \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$
- (iii) $v_A(x-y, q) \leq v_A(x, q) \lor v_A(y, q)$

 $(iv) \quad \nu_A(xy,q) \leq \nu_A(x,q) \lor \nu_A(y,q), \, \text{for all } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } R \text{ and } q \text{ in } Q.$

1.4 Definition: Let X and X' be any two sets. Let f: $X \to X'$ be any function and A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset in X, V be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset in f(X) = X', defined by $\mu_V(y, q) =$ Sup $\mu_A(x, q)$ and $\nu_V(y, q) =$

inf $v_A(x, q)$, for all x in X and y in X'. A is called a preimage of V under f and is denoted by $f^{-1}(V)$. $x \in f^{-1}(y)$

Corresponding author: ¹M. M. SHANMUGAPRIYA, *E-mail: mirdu.priya@rediffmail.com International Journal of Mathematical Archive- 3 (3), Mar. - 2012

1.5 Definition: Let (R, +, .) be a nearring. A Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring A of R is said to be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring(QILFNSNR) of R if

(i) $\mu_A(x+y, q) = \mu_A(y+x, q)$ and $\nu_A(x+y, q) = \nu_A(y+x, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q. (ii) $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_A(yx, q)$ and $\nu_A(xy, q) = \nu_A(yx, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF Q-INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SUBNEARRINGS OF A NEARRING:

2.1 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and (R', +, .) be any two nearrings. The homomorphic image of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of $f(R) = R^1$.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and (R', +, .) be any two nearrings Q be a non-empty set. Let $f: R \to R'$ be a homomorphism. Then f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xy) = f(x) f(y), for all x and y in R. Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R. We have to prove that V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of f(R) = R'.

Now, for f(x), f(y) in R¹ and q in Q, $\mu_v(f(x) - f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(x - y), q) \ge \mu_A(x - y, q) \ge \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x) - f(y), q) \ge \mu_v(f(x), q) \land \mu_v(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in R¹ and q in Q.

Again, $\mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(xy), q) \geq \mu_A(xy, q) \geq \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) \geq \mu_v(f(x), q) \land \mu_v(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in \mathbb{R}^1 and q in Q. Also, $\nu_v(f(x) - f(y), q) = \nu_v(f(x - y), q) \leq \nu_A(x - y, q) \leq \nu_A(x, q) \lor \nu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\nu_v(f(x) - f(y), q) \leq \nu_v(f(x), q) \lor \nu_v(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in \mathbb{R}^1 and q in Q.

Again, $v_v(f(x)f(y), q) = v_v(f(xy), q) \le v_A(xy, q) \le v_A(x, q) \lor v_A(y, q)$, which implies that $v_v(f(x)f(y), q) \le v_v(f(x), q) \lor v_v(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in \mathbb{R}^1 and q in \mathbb{Q} . Hence V is a \mathbb{Q} -intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearing of \mathbb{R}^1 .

2.2 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{1}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. The homomorphic preimage of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of $f(R)=R^{1}$ is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{!}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. Let $f : R \to R^{!}$ be a homomorphism. Then, f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xy) = f(x) f(y), for all x and y in R. Let V be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearing of $f(R) = R^{!}$.

We have to prove that A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R. Let x and y in R.

Then, $\mu_A(x - y, q) = \mu_v(f(x - y), q) = \mu_v(f(x) - f(y), q) \ge \mu_v(f(x), q) \land \mu_v(f(y), q) = \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\mu_A(x - y, q) \ge \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Again, $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_v(f(xy), q) = \mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) \ge \mu_v(f(x), q) \land \mu_v(f(y), q) = \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\mu_A(xy, q) \ge \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Also, $v_A(x - y, q) = v_v(f(x - y), q) = v_v(f(x) - f(y), q) \le v_v(f(x), q) \lor v_v(f(y), q) = v_A(x, q) \lor v_A(y, q)$, which implies that $v_A(x - y, q) \le v_A(x, q) \lor v_A(y, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Again, $\nu_A(xy, q) = \nu_v(f(xy), q) = \nu_v(f(x)f(y), q) \le \nu_v(f(x), q) \lor \nu_v(f(y), q) = \nu_A(x, q) \lor \nu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\nu_A(xy, q) \le \nu_A(x, q) \lor \nu_A(y, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q. Hence A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R.

2.3 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{1}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. The anti-homomorphic image of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of $f(R) = R^{1}$.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{\dagger}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. Let $f : R \to R^{\dagger}$ be an anti-homomorphism. Then, f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x) and f(xy) = f(y) f(x), for all x and y in R. Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R.

We have to prove that V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of $f(R) = R^{!}$. Now, for f(x), f(y) in $R^{!}$ and q in Q, μ_{v} $(f(x) - f(y), q) = \mu_{v}(f(y-x), q) \ge \mu_{A}(y-x, q) \ge \mu_{A}(y, q) \land \mu_{A}(x, q) = \mu_{A}(x, q) \land \mu_{A}(y, q)$, which implies that $\mu_{v}(f(x) - f(y), q) \ge \mu_{v}(f(x), q) \land \mu_{v}(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in $R^{!}$ and q in Q.

Again, $\mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(yx), q) \ge \mu_A(yx, q) \ge \mu_A(y, q) \land \mu_A(x, q) = \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) \ge \mu_v(f(x), q) \land \mu_v(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in R^1 and q in Q. Also, $\nu_v(f(x) - f(y), q) = \nu_v(f(y - x), q) \le \nu_A(y, q) \lor \nu_A(x, q) = \nu_A(x, q) \lor \nu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\nu_v(f(x) - f(y), q) \le \nu_v(f(x), q) \lor \nu_v(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in R^1 and q in Q.

Again, $v_v(f(x)f(y), q) = v_v(f(yx), q) \le v_A(yx, q) \le v_A(y, q) \lor v_A(x, q) = v_A(x, q) \lor v_A(y, q)$, which implies that $v_v(f(x)f(y), q) \le v_v(f(x), q) \lor v_v(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in R^1 and q in Q. Hence V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearing of R^1 .

2.4 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{\dagger}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. The anti-homomorphic preimage of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of $f(R) = R^{\dagger}$ is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{\dagger}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. Let $f: R \to R^{\dagger}$ be an anti-homomorphism.

Then, f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x) and f(xy) = f(y) f(x), for all x and y in R. Let V be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearing of $f(R) = R^{1}$.

We have to prove that A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R.

Let x and y in R, then $\mu_A(x-y, q) = \mu_v(f(x-y), q) = \mu_v(f(y) - f(x), q) \ge \mu_v(f(y), q) \land \mu_v(f(x), q) = \mu_v(f(x), q) \land \mu_v(f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(x), q) \land \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q), \text{ which implies that } \mu_A(x - y, q) \ge \mu_A(x) \land \mu_A(y), \text{ for all } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } R \text{ and } q \text{ in } Q.$

Again, $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_v(f(xy), q) = \mu_v(f(y)f(x), q) \ge \mu_v(f(y), q) \land \mu_v(f(x), q) = \mu_v(f(x), q) \land \mu_v(f(y), q) = \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\mu_A(xy, q) \ge \mu_A(x, q) \land \mu_A(y, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Also, $v_A(x - y, q) = v_v(f(x - y), q) = v_v(f(y) - f(x), q) \le v_v(f(y), q) \lor v_v(f(x), q) = v_v(f(x), q) \lor v_v(f(y), q) = v_A(x, q) \lor v_A(y, q)$, which implies that $v_A(x - y, q) \le v_A(x, q) \lor v_A(y, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Again, $\nu_A(xy, q) = \nu_v(f(xy), q) = \nu_v(f(y)f(x), q) \le \nu_v(f(y), q) \lor \nu_v(f(x), q) = \nu_v(f(x), q) \lor \nu_v(f(y), q) = \nu_A(x, q) \lor \nu_A(y, q)$, which implies that $\nu_A(xy, q) \le \nu_A(x, q) \lor \nu_A(y, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q. Hence A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of R.

2.5 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{\dagger}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. The homomorphic image of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of R is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of $f(R) = R^{\dagger}$.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{\dagger}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings and $f : R \to R^{\dagger}$ be a homomorphism. Then f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xy) = f(x) f(y), for all x and y in R.

Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of a nearring R. We have to prove that V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of a nearring R^{1} .

Now, for f(x), f(y) in R¹, clearly V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of the nearring R¹, since A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of a nearring R.

Now, $\mu_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(x + y), q) \ge \mu_A(x + y, q) = \mu_A(y + x, q) \le \mu_v(f(y + x), q) = \mu_v(f(y) + f(x), q)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(y) + f(x), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in \mathbb{R}^1 and q in Q.

Also, $v_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = v_v(f(x + y), q) \le v_A(x + y, q) = v_A(y + x, q) \ge v_v(f(y + x), q) = v_v(f(y) + f(x), q)$, which implies that $v_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = v_v(f(y) + f(x), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in R^1 and q in Q.

Now, $\mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(xy), q) \ge \mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_A(yx, q) \le \mu_v(f(yx), q) = \mu_v(f(y), f(x), q)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(y), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in \mathbb{R}^1 and q in \mathbb{Q} .

Also, $v_v(f(x)f(y), q) = v_v(f(xy), q) \le v_A(xy, q) = v_A(yx, q) \ge v_v(f(yx), q) = v_v(f(y) f(x), q)$, which implies that $v_v(f(x)f(y), q) = v_v(f(y) f(x), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in R^1 and q in Q. Hence V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearing of a nearing R^1 .

2.6 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{\dagger}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. The homomorphic preimage of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of $f(R) = R^{\dagger}$ is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of R.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{!}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings and $f : R \to R^{!}$ be a homomorphism. Then f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) and f(xy) = f(x) f(y), for all x and y in R. Let V be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of a nearring $f(R) = R^{!}$.

We have to prove that A is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of a nearring R. Let x and y in R and q in Q. Then, clearly A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of a nearring R, since V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of a nearring R^1 .

Now, $\mu_A(x + y, q) = \mu_v(f(x + y), q) = \mu_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(y) + f(x), q) = \mu_v(f(y + x), q) = \mu_A(y + x, q)$, which implies that $\mu_A(x + y, q) = \mu_A(y + x, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Also, $v_A(x + y, q) = v_v(f(x + y), q) = v_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = v_v(f(y) + f(x), q) = v_v(f(y + x), q) = v_A(y + x, q)$, which implies that $v_A(x + y, q) = v_A(y + x, q)$, for all x and y in R.

Now, $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_v(f(xy), q) = \mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(y)f(x), q) = \mu_v(f(yx), q) = \mu_A(yx, q)$, which implies that $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_A(yx, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Also, $v_A(xy, q) = v_v(f(xy), q) = v_v(f(x)f(y), q) = v_v(f(y)f(x), q) = v_v(f(yx), q) = v_A(yx, q)$, which implies that $v_A(xy, q) = v_A(yx, q)$, for all x and y in R. Hence A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearing of a nearing R.

2.7 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{1}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. The anti-homomorphic image of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of R is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of $f(R) = R^{1}$.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{\dagger}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings and $f : R \to R^{\dagger}$ be an anti-homomorphism. Then f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x) and f(xy) = f(y) f(x), for all x and y in R. Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearing of a nearring R.

We have to prove that V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of a nearring $f(R) = R^{1}$. Now, for f(x), f(y) in R¹, clearly V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of a nearring R¹, since A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of a nearring R.

Now, $\mu_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(y + x), q) \ge \mu_A(y + x, q) = \mu_A(x + y, q) \le \mu_v(f(x + y), q) = \mu_v(f(y) + f(x), q)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(y) + f(x), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in R^1 and q in Q.

Also, $v_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = v_v(f(y + x), q) \le v_A(y + x, q) = v_A(x + y, q) \ge v_v(f(x + y), q) = v_v(f(y) + f(x), q)$, which implies that $v_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = v_v(f(y) + f(x), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in \mathbb{R}^1 and q in \mathbb{Q} .

Now, $\mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(yx), q) \ge \mu_A(yx, q) = \mu_A(xy, q) \le \mu_v(f(xy), q) = \mu_v(f(y) f(x), q)$, which implies that $\mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(y) f(x), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in \mathbb{R}^1 and q in \mathbb{Q} . Also, $\nu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \nu_v(f(yx), q) \le \nu_A(yx, q) = \nu_A(xy, q) \ge \nu_v(f(xy), q) = \nu_v(f(y) f(x), q)$, which implies that $\nu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \nu_v(f(y) f(x), q)$, for all f(x) and f(y) in \mathbb{R}^1 and q in \mathbb{Q} . Hence V is a \mathbb{Q} -intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of the nearring $f(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^1$.

2.8 Theorem: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{\dagger}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings. The anti-homomorphic preimage of a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of $f(R) = R^{\dagger}$ is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of R.

Proof: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{l}, +, .)$ be any two nearrings and $f : R \to R^{l}$ be an anti-homomorphism. Then f(x+y) = f(y) + f(x) and f(xy) = f(y) f(x), for all x and y in R. Let V be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of the nearring $f(R) = R^{l}$.

We have to prove that A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearring of a nearring R. Let x and y in R, then, clearly A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of a nearring R, since V is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subnearring of the nearring $f(R) = R^{1}$.

Now, $\mu_A(x + y, q) = \mu_v(f(x + y), q) = \mu_v(f(y) + f(x), q) = \mu_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(y + x), q) = \mu_A(y + x, q)$, which implies that $\mu_A(x + y, q) = \mu_A(y + x, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Also, $v_A(x + y, q) = v_v(f(x + y), q) = v_v(f(y) + f(x), q) = v_v(f(x) + f(y), q) = v_v(f(y + x), q) = v_A(y + x, q)$, which implies that $v_A(x + y, q) = v_A(y + x, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Now, $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_v(f(xy), q) = \mu_v(f(y)f(x), q) = \mu_v(f(x)f(y), q) = \mu_v(f(yx), q) = \mu_A(yx, q)$, which implies that $\mu_A(xy, q) = \mu_A(yx, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.

Also, $v_A(xy, q) = v_v(f(xy), q) = v_v(f(y)f(x), q) = v_v(f(x)f(y), q) = v_v(f(yx), q) = v_A(yx, q)$, which implies that $v_A(xy, q) = v_A(yx, q)$, for all x and y in R and q in Q.Hence A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy normal subnearing of the nearring R.

REFERENCE

- 1. Ajmal.N and Thomas. K.V., Fuzzy lattices, Information sciences 79 (1994), 271-291.
- 2. Akram.M and Dar.K.H, On fuzzy d-algebras, Punjab university journal of mathematics, 37(2005), 61-76.
- 3. Asok Kumer Ray, On product of fuzzy subgroups, fuzzy sets and systems, 105, 181-183 (1999).

© 2012, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

- 4. Atanassov.K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1), 87-96 (1986).
- 5. Atanassov.K., Stoeva.S., Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets, Cybernetics and systems research 2 (Elsevier Sci. Publ., Amsterdam, 1984), 539-540.
- 6. Azriel Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications 35, 512-517 (1971).
- 7. Chakrabarty, K., Biswas, R., Nanda, A note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, 3(4), (1997).
- 8. Davvaz.B and Wieslaw. A. Dudek, Fuzzy n-ary groups as a generalization of rosenfeld fuzzy groups, ARXIV-0710.3884VI(MATH.RA)20 OCT 2007,1-16.
- 9. Goran Trajkovski, An approach towards defining L-fuzzy lattices, IEEE, 7(1998), 221-225.
- 10. Mohammad M. Atallah, On the L-fuzzy prime ideal theorem of distributive lattices, The journal of fuzzy mathematics, Vol.9, No.4, 2001.
- 11. Palaniappan.N and Arjunan.K, The homomorphism, anti-homomorphism of a fuzzy and anti fuzzy ideals, Varahmihir journal of mathematical sciences, Vol.6 No.1 (2006), 181-188.
- 12. Rajesh Kumar, Fuzzy Algebra, Volume 1, University of Delhi Publication Division, July -1993.
- 13. Solairaju.A and Nagarajan.R, A new structure and construction of Q-fuzzy groups, Advances in fuzzy mathematics, Volume 4, Number 1 (2009) pp 23-29.
- 14. Solairaju.A and Nagarajan.R, Lattice Valued Q-fuzzy left R-submodules of near rings with respect to Tnorms, Advances in fuzzy mathematics, Vol 4, Num. 2, 137-145(2009).
- 15. Zadeh.L.A., Fuzzy sets, Information and control, Vol.8, 338-353 (1965).
